

DECISION**THE COMPTROLLER GENERAL
OF THE UNITED STATES
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20548**

27294

FILE: B-213233.2**DATE:** January 30, 1984**MATTER OF:** Baird Corporation--Reconsideration**DIGEST:**

GAO will not reconsider a prior decision rendered in response to an expression of interest from a court unless the court expresses an interest in the reconsideration of the decision.

Baird Corporation (Baird) requests reconsideration of our decision in Baird Corporation, B-213233, December 20, 1983, 83-2 CPD _____. That decision was rendered in response to an expression of interest from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia in connection with Civil Action No. 83-3149.

It is our policy not to decide matters where, as here, the material issues are before a court of competent jurisdiction. However, if the court expresses an interest in a decision by our Office, we will then consider the matter on the merits. John Carlo, Inc.--Reconsideration, B-204928.2, March 31, 1982, 83-1 CPD 1. In the present case, the court did express an interest in our earlier decision.

Baird requests that we reconsider our decision. However, since that decision was issued in response to an expression of interest from the court and the court has not indicated any interest in our reconsideration of the prior decision, we will take no further action on the merits of this matter. A.B. Dick Company--Reconsideration, B-211119.4, November 4, 1983, 83-2 CPD 526.

We dismiss Baird's request for reconsideration.

Harry R. Van Cleve

Harry R. Van Cleve
Acting General Counsel

027680

DECISION



THE COMPTROLLER GENERAL
OF THE UNITED STATES
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20548

27294

123290

FILE: B-213233.2

DATE: January 30, 1984

MATTER OF: Baird Corporation--Reconsideration

DIGEST:

GAO will not reconsider a prior decision rendered in response to an expression of interest from a court unless the court expresses an interest in the reconsideration of the decision.

Baird Corporation (Baird) requests reconsideration of our decision in Baird Corporation, B-213233, December 20, 1983, 83-2 CPD _____. That decision was rendered in response to an expression of interest from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia in connection with Civil Action No. 83-3149.

It is our policy not to decide matters where, as here, the material issues are before a court of competent jurisdiction. However, if the court expresses an interest in a decision by our Office, we will then consider the matter on the merits. John Carlo, Inc.--Reconsideration, B-204928.2, March 31, 1982, 83-1 CPD 1. In the present case, the court did express an interest in our earlier decision.

Baird requests that we reconsider our decision. However, since that decision was issued in response to an expression of interest from the court and the court has not indicated any interest in our reconsideration of the prior decision, we will take no further action on the merits of this matter. A.B. Dick Company--Reconsideration, B-211119.4, November 4, 1983, 83-2 CPD 526.

We dismiss Baird's request for reconsideration.

Harry R. Van Cleve

Harry R. Van Cleve
Acting General Counsel

027680