

*Law*

118540

**DECISION**



**THE COMPTROLLER GENERAL  
OF THE UNITED STATES  
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20548**

**FILE: B-205791.2 DATE: June 1, 1982**

**MATTER OF: Riverport Industries, Inc. -- Request for  
Reconsideration**

**DIGEST:**

Request for reconsideration will not be considered where protester timely files short notice request- ing reccnsideration of prior decision but fails to provide detailed statement within 10 working days after basis for reconsideration was known or should have been known.

Riverport Industries, Inc. requests that we recon- sider our decision in Riverport Industries, Inc., B-205791, April 22, 1982, 82-1 CPD 369, in which we denied in part and dismissed in part the firm's protest against the pro- posed award of a contract to ARVCO Containers Corporation by the General Services Administration (GSA).

Riverport acknowledged receipt of the above decision in a telephone conversation with a member of our Office of the General Counsel on May 5, 1982 and filed its re- quest for reconsideration on the following day. Although Riverport did not provide any factual or legal grounds upon which the request was based, Riverport stated, "De- tailed and supporting documentation enroute under separate cover." Notwithstanding this statement, Riverport has not submitted any details or supporting documentation.

We will not consider the request for reconsideration because Riverport failed to timely submit a detailed statement. Requests for reconsideration must be filed within 10 working days after the basis for reconsidera- tion is known or should have been known, whichever is earlier, and contain a detailed statement of the factual and legal grounds upon which reversal or modification of the decision is deemed warranted, specifying any errors of law made or information not previously con- sidered. 4 C.F.R. § 21.9(a) and (b) (1981). A timely

request for reconsideration must contain that detailed statement and the mere statement that evidence to support a request for reconsideration exists and will be forthcoming does not fulfill that requirement. See Department of Commerce; International Computaprint Corporation, 57 Comp. Gen. 615 (1978), 78-2 CPD 84.

Since the required detailed statement was not filed within 10 working days after the protester's receipt of our decision, the request for reconsideration is untimely and not for reconsideration. See Anchorage Telephone Utility --Reconsideration, B-19749.2, February 9, 1981, 81-1 CPD 74.

The request for reconsideration is dismissed.

*Harry R. Van Cleve*  
Harry R. Van Cleve  
Acting General Counsel