## DOCUMENT RESUME

02601 - [1882935]

[Real Estate Expenses, Time Limitation]. 5-189043. Jujy 1, 1977. 2 pp.

Decision re: Michael J. Mahoney; by Robert F. Keller, Deputy Comptroller General.

Issue Area: Personal Management and Compensation: Compensation (305).

Contact: Office of the General Counsel: Civilian Personnel. Budget Punction: General Government: Central Personnel

Management (805).

I

Organization Concerned: Pederal Bureau of Investigation. Authority: 5 U.S.C. 5724a. P.T.R. (FPHR 101-7), para. 2-6.1e. 49 CCMP. Gen. 145. 49 Comp. Gen. 147. B-187677 (1976).

D. E. Cox, an Authorized Certifying Officer of the Department of Justice, Federal Bureau of Investigation, requested a decision with regard to a claim for reimbursement of residence transaction expanses incurred incident to a permanent change of station. The real estate expenses for purchase of a new residence may not be reimbursed since settlement did not occur until more than 2 years after the date of reporting to the new duty station. This time limitation may not be walved in any individual case. (Author/SC)

Willion Naubert Civ.Pers.

STATES

LER GENERAL

0. C. 2054 .

TED



DATE: July 1, 1977

MATTER OF:

FILE: B-189043

02601

Michael J. Mahoney - Real Estate Expenses -Time Limitation

гне ссмртеоl

DIGEST: Employee who transferred from Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, to San Francisco, California, and reported for duty on November 13, 1974, may not be reimburshed for real estate expenses for purchase of new residence since settlement did not occur until February 16, 1977, more than 2 years after date of reporting. Time limitation imposed by FTR para. 2-6.1e has force and effect of law and may not be vaived in any individual case. See 49 Comp. Gen. 145, 147 (1969).

By a letter dated May 5, 1977, Mr. D. E. Cox, an authorized certifying officer of the Department of Justice, Federal Eureau of Investigation (FBI), requested our decision concerning a voucher submitted by Mr. Michael J. Mahoney, an FBI employee, for reimbursement of residence transaction expenses incurred in connection with the purchase of a new residence incident to a permanent change of station.

The record indicates that Mr. Mahoney was transferred from Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, to San Francisco, California, effective November 13, 1974. On February 16, 1977, more than 2 years later, Mr. Mahoney completed Bettlement on a residence purchased in San Francisco by himself and two other persons. Mr. Mahoney presently claims reimbursement of \$223.53, representing one-third of the residence transaction expenses.

The certifying officer states that Mr. Mahoney had previously requested and was granted an extension of time for the real estate transaction. In that regard, it is stated that Mr. Mahoney was advised at that time that final settlement was required to be completed on or before November 11, 1976, in order to be eligible for reimbursement, and that the applicable regulations do not allow for an extension of time beyond 2 years from the effective date of the transfer.

In requesting reimbursement notwithstanding Mr. Mahoney's failure to complete settlement within 2 years, it is stated that while stationed in Philadelphia, Mr. Mahoney was given a special

## B-189043

assignment in an undercover capacity. After being transferred to San Francisco, Mr. Mahoney continued to function in the special assignment until September 13, 1976. We are told that the special assignment demanded from the claimant a continuous commitment which did not permit him to engage in the customary search for a private residence. In view of the above facts, we are asked whether Mr. Mahoney's claim may be paid.

At the time of Mr. Mahoney's transfer the regulations governing time limitations on residence transactions were contained in para. 2-6.1e of the Federal Travel Regulations (FRMR 101-7, May 1973) and provided as follows:

"Time limitation. The settlement dites for the sale and purchase or lease termination transactions for which reimbursement is requested are not later than 1 (initial) year after the date on which the employee reported for duty at the new official station. Upon an employee's written request this time limit for completion of the sale and purchase or lease termination transaction may be extended by the head of the agency or his designee for an additional period of time, not to exceed 1 year, regardless of the reasons therefor so long as it is determined that the particular residence transaction is remonably related to the transfer of official station."

The above-quoted regulation was promulgated under the specific statutory authority of 5 U.S.C. 5724a (1970) and has the force and effect of law. Therefore, the regulation may not be waived in an individual case. See 49 Comp. Gen. 145, 147 (1969). While it is unfortunate that the purchase of Mr. Mahoney's residence may have been delayed in part due to his duty assignment, it is undisputed that settlement did not occur until February 16, 1977, beyond the maximum time limit permitted by the regulations. See Matter of Robert J. Dion, B-187677, December 3, 1976.

Accordingly, the voucher submitted by Mr. Mahoney may not be certified for payment.

r 11L Deputy Comptroller General of the United States

- 2 -