DOCUMENT RESUME

 $02301 - [\lambda 1592567]$

[Transportation of Household Effects by Government Bill of Lading and Common Carrier]. B-187736. May 31, 1977. 3 pp.

Decision re: James D. Deal; by Robert P. Keller, Deputy Comptroller General.

Issue Area: Personnel Management and Compansation: Compensation (305).

Contact: Office of the General Counsel: Civilian Personnel. Budget Function: General Government: Central Personnel Funagement (805).

Organization Concerned: Federal Crop Insurance Corp.
Authority: F.T.R. (FPMR 101-7), para. 2-8.3c(4)(a). F.T.R. (FPMR 101-7), para. 2-8,2d. B-173557 (1971).

Orris C. Huet, Authorized Certifying Officer, Department of Agriculture, requested a decision on the allowability of a claim for the cost of transporting household goods of transferred employee. It was predetermined that shipment by Government bill of lading (GBL) would save over \$100. Therefore, shipment by both GBL and private carrier would be limited to reimbursement as if shipment were made in one lot on GBL. (Author/DJM) DECISION



Civ.Fers. COMPTROLLER GENERAL HE UNITED STATZE

Herbert Dunn

WARHINGTON, D.C. 20541

FILE: B-187736

DATE: May 31, 1977

MATTER OF: James D. Deal - Transportation of Household

Effects

DIGEST:

Where it was predetermined that pursuant to a change or station it would be change or ship employee's household goods on GBL and employee ships cart by GBL and part by private conveyant, employee would be limited to reimoursement as if shipment had been made in one lot on GBL.

This action is in response to the request of Ms. Orris C. Huet, an authorized certifying officer of the United States Department of Agriculture, National Finance Center, whether a voucher in favor of Mr. James D. Deal in the amount of \$806.20 may be certified for payment. The voucher represents a claim for reimbursement of the cost of transporting 6,040 pounds of household goods incident to his change of station from St. Paul, Minnesota, to Des Moines, Iowa, as an employee of the Federal Crop Insurance Corporation, Department of Agriculture, pursuant to Travel Order No. 04-19-6-1, dated September 22, 1975.

Incident to that change of station, Mr. Deal was authorized transportation of 11,000 pounds of household goods. It had been administratively determined that Mr. Deal's household goods should be shipped on a CBL since there would be a savings to the Government of more than \$100 by this method. See FTR 2-8.3c(4)(a). While the Government has paid the transportation company on the actual expense basis under Government bill of lading for commercial transportation of 5,440 pounds of household goods, Mr. Deal has received no reimbursement in connection with the movement of additional household affects which, in the course of four trips, he transported on his own. Mr. Deal claims that the goods which he transported totaled 6,040 pounds and in support of this statement he has submitted five weight certificates. The \$806.20 now claimed by Mr. Deal were for the shipment on a commuted rate basis of 6,040 pounds.

نک

Since the household effects were transported in five shipments, four of which (alleged to be 6,040) were moved noncommercially by the employee, the provisions of paragraph 2-8.2d of the Federal Travel Regulations (FPMR 101-7) (May 1973) are applicable. That regulation provides, in pertinent part, as follows:

> "Cost of transportation of household goods may be paid by the Government whether the shipment originates at the employee's last official station or place of residence or at some other point, or if part of the shipment originates at the last official station and the remainder at one or more other points. Similarly, these expenses are allowable imether the point of destination is the new official station or some other point selected by the employee, or if the destination for part of the property is the new official station and the remainder is shipped to one or more other points. However, the total amount which may be paid or reimbursed by the Government shall not exceed the cost o. transporting the property intone lot by the most economical zoute from the last official station of the transferring employee (or/the place of actual residence of the new appointoe at time of appointment) to the new official station. * * * * (Emphasis added.)

Under the above-quoted paragraph when an employee ships household effects in two or more shipments the total amount which may be paid or reimbursed by the Government shall not exceed the cost of transporting the property in one lot by the most economical route. B-173557, August 30, 1971. Therefore, since it was predetermined that the actual expense method would result in 2 savings to the Government, the total cost of the

3-187736

four shipments mad: by Mr. Deal may be reimbursed only to the extent that when added to the \$1,123.91 already paid under Government bill of lading, it does not exceed the cost of shipping 11,000 pounds in one lot on a GBL.

The woucher may be certified for payment in accordancs with the guidelines set forth above.

Deputy

Comptroller General of the United States