DECISION



THE COMPTROLLER GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20548

808

FILE:

DIGEST:

DATE:

MAY 29 1975

3~182902 MATTER OF:

- Reinburnement for relocation expenses incident to transfers to and from an overseas duty station.

- 1. Claim for expanses incurred in sale and purchase of residences incident to transfers of official station to and from an overseas location is not allowable since 5 U.S.C. 5724a(4) authorizes reimbursement of such expenses only when both old and new duty stations are located in the United States.
- 2. Claim of employee who transferred from overseas duty station to the U.S. where travel and transportation expenses were authorized in accordance with AID Manual Order 560.2, may not be rein-bursed for miscellaneous expenses incurred incident to the purchase of a residence nor would be be entitled to reinbursement of subsistence expenses while occupying temporary quarters since no provisions are made in AID Manual Order 560.2 for reinbursement of such expenses.

The Department of the Interior, National Park Service (NPS), requests a decision as to whether an employee, Mr. transferred from Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, to Aman, Jordan, to Ankara, Turkey, to Washington, D. C., may be reimbursed for expenses incurred in the sele of his house in Philadelphia and purchase of a new house in Washington, D. C., pursuant to Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular No. A-56. Mr. is also claiming subsistence expenses for quarters while occupying temporary quarters for the period from September 10, 1971, through November 9, 1971, as well as \$200 miscellaneous expenses.

It appears that the claiment was selected as the Supervising Architect on the National Park Service team assigned to Jordan through an agreement with the Agency for International Development (AID). Incident to this appointment, effective October 9, 1966, the claiment was required to voluntarily relinquish his competitive position at his old NPS duty station in Philadelphia. His personnel action placed him in an excepted Foreign Service position, with a

new duty station in Amman, Jordan, as an FC Schedule employee. The employment agreement signed by claimant stipulated that the Government would reimburse travel and transportation expenses for the claimant and family from Philadelphia to Amman, Jordan, and return to Washington, D. C.

Claimant recognizes that the provision of CMB Circular No. A-36 V are not applicable to foreign service employees since their assignment to foreign posts and return do not involve geographical changes of duty stations within the continental United States. However, since the personnel action appointing him to foreign service also showed his employing office as Washington, D. C., claimant maintains he was effectively transferred to a new duty station within the United States without the normal compensation incident to such move. The employee states that all actions were made for the benefit of the Government and favorable consideration is requested of claimed expenses pursuant to CMB Circular No. A-56. The matter has been referred to us for decision by the EPS.

Subsection 5724a(a)(4)Vof title 5, United States Code, provides that an employee transferred in the interest of the Government from one official station to another for permanent duty may be reimbursed the expenses of the sale of his residence at the old station and the purchase of a home at the new official station when both the old and new duty stations are located within the United States.

Since the claimant's old official duty station before transfer to Amman was Philadelphia and before his transfer to Washington, D. C., was Ankara, Turkey, claiment does not qualify for reimbursament for the real estate expense he incurred in connection with the sale of residence at Philadelphia and purchase of a new residence in Washington, D. C.

It has been informally ascertained from the NPS that Mr.
was not assigned to duty in Washington, D. C., in 1966, although the
NPS, Division of International Affairs, Washington, D. C., was shown
on the Notification of Personnel Action issued November 30, 1966, as
the employing office. Furthermore, no travel orders were issued
authorising Mr. to travel to Washington, D. C., incident to a
change of station. The only travel order issued incident to his move
from Philadelphia was for his direct travel from Philadelphia to
Amman. The travel order authorized travel and necessary expenses in
accordance with AID Manual Order 560.2. The copy of the travel order

on file dated July 20, 1971, authorizing Mr. to travel from Ankars, Turkey, to Washington, D. C., for separation and reassignment in Washington, D. C., also contained the provision that travel and necessary expenses were authorized in accordance with AIB Manual Order 560.2. Therefore, Federal travel regulations contained in OMB Circular No. A-56 would not be applicable in Mr. 's case.

Section 111 of AID Manual Order 560.2 provides that those regulations also cover PC Schedule employees of participating agencies. Section 112 provides that the Federal Travel Regulations do not apply to Foreign Service personnel except in two instances not applicable here. While the AID Manual Order 560.2 provides for payment of certain travel and transportation expenses of employees incident to a transfer of station, no provisions are made therein for reimbursement of miscellaneous expenses and subsistence expenses while occupying temporary quarters.

Accordingly, the voucher may not be certified for payment.

R.F. KELLER

Deputy Comptroller General of the United States