

COMPTROLLER GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES WASHINGTON, D.C. 1214

30997

B-178433

June 4, 1973

Hr. T. A. Evans
Civilian Disbursing Officer
Ohio River Division, Corps of Engineers
Department of the Army
P.O. Box 1159
Cincinnati, Ohio 45201

Dear Mr. Evens:

This refers to your letter of March 1, 19/3, reference ORDDC-F, forwarded to this Office on April 10, 1973, by the Per Diem, Travel and Transportation Allowance Committee, PDTATAC Control No. 73-21, in which you request a decision as to the propriety of certifying for payment a reclaim voucher in the amount of \$150 submitted by Mr. Walter E. Hamilton, an employee of the Ohio River Division of the Corps of Engineers.

The amount in question represents a loan accumption fee charged Wr. Hamilton in connection with the purchase of a residence following his permanent change of station to Cincinnati, Ohio, on June 1, 1970. His claim was administratively disallowed on the basis that our decisions B-169158, April 15, 1970; E-168870, February 13, 1970; and B-168359, February 2, 19/3, held that a loan assumption for is to be regarded as a finance charge under Regulation Z of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, and as such is not reimbursable. Hr. Hamilton points out, however, that the cited decisions have all involved percentage fees rather than a flat fee as in his case, and that the Savings and Loan Associvtion with which he dealt did not make a new loan but merely transferred on existing one. He has submitted a statement from an officer of the Yavings and Loan Ansociation to the effect that the \$1.50 fee charged is not a finance charge but is instead a flat charge to reluburse the nortgage holder for expenses incurred in processing the assumption.

We addressed the question of whether a flat fee purportedly charged only for administrative costs in connection with a loan assumption was reimbursable in B-170787, November 17, 1970, a copy of which is enclosed. In that decision we noted that an official of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System had advised us that a loan assumption fee on a flat fee basis is regarded as a finance charge, notwithstanding the assertion that such a fee merely covers administrative costs.

BEST DECUMENT AVAILABLE

[[Claim for Reimbursement of Lan Assumption Fee]

418312 091875

B-178433

Accordingly, there is no basis by which Mr. Hamilton's claim for reimbursement of the loan assumption fee charged him in connection with the purchase of his residence may be authorized. The voucher, together with the supporting papers, will be retained in our files.

Sincerely yours,

Paul G. Dozbling

Acting of the United States

hr. Roland P. Ceolla, Executive Assistant Per Diem, Travel and Transportation Allowance Committee Forrestal Building, Room 7A153 Washington, D.C. 20314

Reference: PDTATAC No. 73-21

BEST DOCUMENT AVAILABLE