

WASHINGYON, D.C. 20148

30941

3-177977

Nay 21, 1973

Mrs. Jans P. Hanium 41 Yenvick Road Yort Monroe, Virginia 23351

Bear Mrs. Hannum:

We refer to your letter of January 2, 1973, asking that we reconsider our Certificate of Settlement dated November 29, 1972, by which you were allowed \$73.04 as lump-sum payment for 22 hours of secrued leave for a period of 5 months from January 1 to June 5, 1966. You note that your original claim was for the considerably longer period of 28 months that you were in a lower leave accrual category than you should have been and quantion the method of calculation used to derive the 3-south figure.

The record indicates that you were employed with the Department of the Air Force at MacDill Air Force Base, Florida, when it was determined that you had become eligible to accrue leave at the rate of 8 hours per pay period on June 5, 1966. However, it appears that in determining your service computation data for lasve accrual purposes a period of approximately 28 months of employment in the office of Senutor Stuart Symington was erroneously omitted in calculating your creditable service. Had this time been included, as it proporty should have, you would have been entitled to the 6-hour leave accrual rate beginning February 2, 1964, and would have earned an additional 114 hours of leave during the period between February 2, 1964, and June 5, 1966.

When an employee is recredited with leave in order to correct an administrative error, his leave records are reconstructed for each leave period affected. In thus reconstructing the exployee's leave account. he may not be recredited with any leave which would cause his leave belance for the beginning of a new leave year during the paried in question to exceed the statutory limitation of 240 hours imposed on aunual leave carry-over from one loove year to the next by the Annual and Sick Leave Act of 1951, as smended, now 5 U.S.C. 6304.

In reconstructing your account from June 5, 1966, the date you were placed in the 8-hour category, for each period in the 1966 leswy year, it was determined that you were entitled to an additional. 22 hours of

Claim Concerning improvious of LEAVE

-718376 09/924

annual larve for ther year end that crediting you with that amount would not cause your leave balance at the end of 1966 to exceed the statutory maximum. However, the only record of your leave still available is the balance you carried forward on January 1, 1967, the year you resigned from your position at RecDill Air Force Hase. All of your time and attendence reports and leave records prior to that date have been destroyed in accordance with table 177-21 of Air Force Hanual 12-50, which parmits such destruction after 3 payroll years. Decause of the absence of those records, it was impossible to restore you with leave prior to 1966 while at the same time assuring that you were not being granted leave which would cause your year-and balances to exceed the statutory maximum. Therefore allowance of your claim was confined to the additional 22 hours leave you should have sareed in 1966.

It is a vell-settled rule of this Office that when an employee subwits a claim for leave for a period for which official records are unsyallable, then other evidence, such as sworn statements from supervisors or fellow employees who can attest to the amount of leave used by an employee, may form a basis for deciding claims involving an employee's leave account. In your case, if you can submit similar evidence a basis would exist for further considering your claim for the years 1964 and 1965.

In the absence of much evidence, however, the action of our Claims Division in allowing payment for loave only for the 5-month period in 1966 must be sustained.

Bincerely yours,

PAUL G. DEMBLING

For the Comptroller Ceneral of the United States