INFORMATI ONFUNDI CORRECTION PROJECT



RELEASED


089991

RESTRICTED

OF

Report to the Chairman, Subcommittee No. 3, House Committee on the Judiciary, pursuant to his request dated October 16, 1972. The report contained information on corrections and pretrial diversion projects funded by grants from the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration (LEAA). Data was obtained and updated from the LEAA Grant Management Information System (GMIS) for five selected State Planning Agencies (SPAs). Information for corrections projects only was presented from the GMIS printout for the 50 other SPAs.

No index prepared.







RESTRICTED — Not to be released on side the Graph of Accounting Office except on the back of specific approval by the Office of Legislative Laison, a record of which is Kepk by the Distribution Section, Publications Branch ons

WASHINGTON DC 20548

RELEASED

APR 4 1973

B-171019

The Honorable Robert W Kastenmeier Chairman, Subcommittee No. 3 Committee on the Judiciary House of Representatives

Dear Mr. Chairman

By letter dated October 16, 1972, you requested information on corrections and pretrial diversion projects funded by grants from the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration (LEAA), Department of Justice. During subsequent meetings our representatives agreed to obtain nationwide information from records available at LEAA headquarters and to update this information for five States

- --We visited State Planning Agencies¹ (SPAs) in California, Illinois, Massachusetts, Texas, and Virginia We obtained information from LEAA's Grant Management Information System (GMIS) printout on corrections and pretrial diversion projects for which grants had been awarded as of March 31, 1972, from funds appropriated to LEAA from fiscal years 1970 through 1972. We then updated the information in the printout to December 31, 1972, compared some of the information with SPA records, and expanded upon the information, when necessary We did not verify the information in the SPA records
- --We summarized information on the status of the corrections and pretrial diversion projects in the five States (See app. VIII.)
- --We summarized information obtained from the GMIS printout for the 50 other SPAs The information pertained to corrections projects for which grants had been awarded as of March 31, 1972, from funds appropriated to LEAA from fiscal years 1969 through 1972.

¹Agencies set up in the 50 States, American Samoa, the District of Columbia, Guam, Puerto Rico, and the Virgin Islands to administer the grant programs

B-171019

7 1

é.

GMIS is a computerized system which contains information on block action grants awarded by SPAs, discretionary action grants awarded by LEAA, and grants and contracts awarded by the National Institute for Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice, which is LEAA's research and development arm The system is still in the developmental stage, and the information has not been verified by LEAA or SPAs

IDENTIFYING PROJECTS

The Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968, as amended (42 U S C. 3701), does not define the term "corrections," nor has LEAA defined it The States therefore have determined which projects to report as corrections projects

Because of the lack of uniform criteria, we developed the following criteria, with assistance from LEAA, and used the criteria to identify corrections projects in the five States we visited

- 1 An activity related to juveniles or adults whose cases have been processed through the courts, including probation or confinement in an institution, halfway house, group home, etc A day-care center would be included if attendance was mandatory
- 2 A project which offers services to persons following their release from incarceration These services include rehabilitation, vocational training or assistance, and temporary housing.
- 3. A project which concerns persons confined in county jails either while serving sentences or while awaiting trial.
- 4 Miscellaneous projects, such as
 - --Detoxification centers which divert the alcoholic from jail
 - --Followup assistance to attempt to divert the alcoholic from the "revolving door" cycle
 - --Programs to treat offenders with drug addiction problems.

The projects that we identified as corrections were reported under several categories. One State, for example,

B-171019

- 1

reported the fiscal year 1971 corrections projects under the following categories juvenile delinquency, community-based correctional services, personnel development, correctional administration and statutory law reform, adult correctional services, drugs/alcohol, and resources and management. Not all the projects listed in these categories were corrections.

Because corrections projects are reported under various categories, as determined by each State, LEAA cannot readily provide information to the Congress on the types of corrections projects funded or the amount of expenditures incurred

Pretrial diversion projects are not a major area in LEAA's reporting system. As a result a pretrial diversion project may be reported under the categories of juvenile delinquency, courts, or corrections, as determined by the State Therefore we developed criteria, with the assistance of LEAA, to identify pretrial diversion projects

For consistency we defined a pretrial diversion project as one designed to permit a judge to offer a person acknowledging guilt of the offense charged the opportunity to perform a prescribed task or to undergo physical or psychological treatment or therapy for a period of time in lieu of standing trial The task assigned the offender can be to attend a designated school or facility or to serve as a volunteer in a program designated by the judge If the judge is satisfied with the offender's performance, the charges generally will be dropped and there will be no record of the offense. If the judge is not satisfied with the offender's performance, the offender can be tried for the initial offense

BLOCK ACTION GRANT ACTIVITIES IN THE FIVE STATES

LEAA allocates block action grant funds to States according to their respective populations. SPAs award grants on the basis of applications

We identified the corrections projects listed on the GMIS printout for the five States. We also reviewed all applications processed by SPAs in those five States to identify and document correction projects not listed on the GMIS printout. We then classified the corrections projects as research, planning, probation, and parole, educational programs for offenders, vocational training or assistance for offenders, medical programs for offenders, legal assistance to offenders, facilities, or assistance to corrections administration In - .

addition, we obtained information on pretrial diversion projects We also identified projects that aided corrections as well as other elements of the criminal justice system. When a project had impact on several categories, we classified it in the category on which it had the greatest impact

The projects that met our criteria for corrections projects and those that partially met the criteria but were not considered corrections projects were discussed with SPA employees involved in the corrections area We changed a classification when the SPA employees determined that it was inappropriate on the basis of their knowledge of the project

Many of the projects which were coded by GMIS as correction projects but which did not meet our criteria for corrections were projects dealing with juveniles and drug abusers These projects did not meet our criteria because, according to SPA employees, most persons served by the projects were participating voluntarily and not to fulfill a requirement established by the courts or probation officers.

Information on the projects in the five States we visited is presented in appendixes I through VIII A general discussion of the various categories of projects follows

Research

Projects in the research category generally were for studies that

- --Sought to improve methods of carrying out current activities.
- --Sought to develop innovative techniques to replace or assist current activities.
- --Obtained and analyzed information on new activities to evaluate the results

Many projects classified under other categories contained provisions for collecting and analyzing data on the projects. The amount applicable to the research portion of those projects is not included under this category

Planning

The types of projects accounted for in the planning category vary significantly, possibly because of varying views of SPAs as to what type of planning can be done with block action grant funds Projects in the five States involved the following types of planning

- --Design and specifications for proposed construction
- --Realignment of existing staffs to improve services
- --Development of performance standards for corrections personnel or for the training of such personnel
- --Development of regional or State-wide plans to improve the corrections system

Probation and parole

Projects classified in the probation and parole category generally involved work with offenders not confined to jails or institutions. Some of these projects involved assistance to offenders during their last few weeks or months of confinement The levels and types of activities carried out under probation and parole projects varied significantly among the States.

In California the projects were generally for continuing or expanding the scope of activities funded by SPA in the previous year In Texas the projects were generally for initial probation activities within a county or a number of counties Illinois was funding very few probation activities, apparently because of few requests for such funding

The age group served by the projects also varied among the States. Using each State's distinction between juveniles and adults, the number of projects aiding juveniles, adults, or both for the 3-year period reviewed were as follows

State	Juveniles	Adults	Both	<u>Total</u>
Calıfornıa	16	25	26	67
Illinois	7	8	7	22
Massachusetts	25	12	3	40
Texas	42	34	26	102
Virginia	33	4	4	41

Many of the projects involved volunteer assistance For example, 11 projects funded by Illinois and 16 projects funded by Massachusetts were volunteer assistance projects

Educational program for offenders

~

Projects accounted for in the category of educational program for offenders generally involved formal educational

activities ranging from elementary to college credit courses Some courses were conducted by professional instructors and others by volunteers or corrections personnel.

Several of the projects included in this category called for the establishment of library facilities for inmates. The funds to be used for the library facilities are not shown separately because the facilities are generally only a minor part of the total project. Many of the projects included plans for both educational and vocational training or assistance to inmates, but SPA personnel considered the major impact of the projects to be educational

We determined that, of 30 educational projects (funded at \$1,773,535) in the five States, 27 (funded at \$1,369,005) were for the benefit of inmates in institutions. Of the 27 projects, 3 (funded at \$102,632) were specifically for juveniles

Vocational training or assistance to offenders

Projects included in the category of vocational training or assistance to offenders were those that

- --offered vocational training to offenders,
- --assisted offenders in obtaining vocational training from other sources, and
- --aided offenders in locating jobs while on probation, after release from confinement, or as a work-release project.

Medical programs for offenders

Projects accounted for in the category of medical programs for offenders provided medical, dental, psychiatric, or psychological services to offenders

Legal assistance to offenders

Projects accounted for in the category of legal assistance to offenders offered legal services to offenders after their court appearances Projects establishing public defender activities without indicating that posttrial services would be involved were not classified as corrections projects

Facilities

Projects included in the facilities category were those that centered around the facility, including

- --Constructing or renovating correctional facilities
- --Renting or leasing of live-in community-based facilities, such as halfway houses, detoxification centers, or group homes, that are generally operated by nonprofit organizations Although these facilities may offer some medical, psychiatric, or general counseling services to the offenders, the major purpose of the grant is to provide the facility
- --Constructing or renovating facilities to provide reception and diagnostic services for offenders either held for trial or under sentence of the courts

The number and dollar amount of grants awarded for renovating facilities were not significant in any of the five States we visited Construction funds were generally awarded for constructing county jails or State institutions The States awarding grants for constructing county jails were California, two grants totaling \$1,241,474, Illinois, six grants totaling \$4,437,024, and Virginia, 19 grants totaling \$1,777,167 Illinois awarded the only other significant construction grants-two totaling \$2,000,000 for constructing State institutions

Assistance to corrections administration

Projects accounted for as assistance to corrections administration generally involved assistance for the administration of county jails and correctional institutions The grants provided for

--additional staff,

- --staff training,
- --volunteer assistance in providing services to offenders, and
- --improved facilities, equipment, and standards and procedures that would directly benefit corrections personnel

Pretrial diversion

We identified 15 pretrial diversion projects in the five States we visited, 10 were in California Many projects in the five States offered services to juveniles following their first contact with the police or juvenile authorities However, indications were that these juveniles were not charged with any offenses as a result of their contact with the authorities and that their participation in the projects was not court imposed Therefore we did not classify these projects as pretrial diversion projects

Projects with some benefits to corrections area

Several projects funded by some of the five States affected a number of criminal justice areas, including corrections The amount that would benefit the corrections area was not readily determinable, and we did not develop the information

The projects included development of computerized information systems, construction of criminal justice centers housing police, court, and jail facilities, and the construction of a facility for the training of law enforcement personnel

The dollar amounts of grants awarded by each State for projects that specifically mentioned the corrections area as receiving some benefit follow

		ate awards f ocated in fi	
	1970	<u>1971</u>	<u>1972</u>
Calıfornıa Illınoıs	\$2,039,972 402,500	\$1,246,320 229,988	\$1,428,078 170,469
Massachusetts Texas Vırgınıa	1,253,460 123,363	457,021 25,335	1,110,544

Projects not funded by States

All five States had denied some applicants' requests for funding of some corrections projects Some projects were not funded because they were not consistent with the State's plan for that year In some States, applications could be withdrawn prior to the final decisions on the proposals Also, in one State an application, if denied without prejudice, could be resubmitted and approved at a later date. Generally, the States did not consider these three actions to be denials of funding The following tabulation shows more projects than the States consider as having been denied funding because it includes some projects that were not funded for the above reasons

	Number of projects not funded			
	Total	Corrections		
Calıfornıa Illınoıs Massachusetts	325 a 208 a 122	61 8 22		
Texas Virginia	a180 85	10 6		

^aApproximate number These States did not maintain summaries on nonfunded projects.

DISCRETIONARY ACTION GRANT ACTIVITIES IN THE FIVE STATES

We did the same type of updating, verification, and classification for corrections projects funded from LEAA's discretionary grant funds that we did for those funded from LEAA's block action grant funds.

Information on the discretionary grant funding for corrections projects is presented separately as appendix VII, because discretionary grants are awarded by LEAA on the basis of project applications submitted by State or local units of government, whereas block grants are awarded by SPAs.

COMMENTS ON COMPLETENESS AND ACCURACY OF GMIS INFORMATION

The information on the GMIS printout was generally obtained from the SPA grant folders. The grant folders in Massachusetts did not contain complete descriptions of the financial details, and thus the GMIS printout was incomplete Therefore we did not use the printout, we obtained our information for Massachusetts by correlating the SPA grant folders with its financial records For the other four States, we verified the dollar amounts of the LEAA grants shown on the printout For a few projects in these States, the dollar amounts of the LEAA grants, as shown on the GMIS printout, were in error We did not develop any information on the percent of probable error in our nationwide information.

Also, for these four States, about 87 percent of the projects that we had classified as corrections projects, for which grants had been awarded as of March 31, 1972, were listed on the GMIS printout

INFORMATION ON BLOCK ACTION GRANTS FOR SPAS WE DID NOT VISIT

We also used the GMIS printout to obtain information for the 50 SPAs that we did not visit The GMIS information had not been verified by LEAA or SPAs The GMIS printout listed 26,937 block action grant projects for the 50 SPAs Information on 3,232 of these projects is presented as appendix IX

We selected all block action grant projects listed in the printout as parole, probation, correctional institution, or community-based corrections projects Because GMIS permits at least 10 different areas to be cited for one project, many of the grants we selected involved a combination of areas including 1 or more of the 4 areas that we considered correctional

In working with the GMIS printout for the five States we visited, we found that some projects coded as community-based corrections projects did not meet our criteria for corrections Therefore we are probably overstating the amount of funds applied to the corrections area by the 50 other SPAs by including all projects coded as community-based corrections projects Because of time limitations and because of the lack of sufficient detail in the printout, we did not review the information on the individual projects selected to eliminate those projects not in the corrections area Also project purposes sometimes change when the project becomes a reality and, in the five States we visited, these changes caused SPA personnel who were familiar with the project to revise some of our classifications Since we could not visit each SPA to verify our classifications, we did not reclassify any projects for the 50 SPAs

Fiscal year funds allocated to SPAs can be awarded in following fiscal years. Appendix IX shows, for each SPA, the percent of each year's allocated funds that had been awarded as of March 31, 1972. This information was obtained from reports submitted to LEAA by SPAs, we did not verify the accuracy of the reported information. Also some SPAs' reports were not available at LEAA headquarters.

INFORMATION ON DISCRETIONARY AND NATIONAL INSTITUTE GRANTS

Appendixes X and XI contain information on the discretionary grants awarded to the 50 SPAs we did not visit and grants and contracts awarded by the National Institute from fiscal year 1969 through 1972 appropriated funds

After March 31, 1972, the National Institute had awarded very few grants from funds for fiscal years 1969 through-1972 to the five States we visited. Therefore we did not prepare a separate schedule for the five States.

COMPLETENESS OF FUNDING INFORMATION PRESENTED

We are presenting information only on LEAA funds awarded for corrections projects, although several other sources of funds are available for the type of activities involved The other sources of funds-other Federal programs, State and local governments, and contributions--may be assisting some corrections areas more than others. LEAA funds may be compensating for the imbalance of funding from the other sources.

We do not plan to distribute this letter further unless you agree or publicly announce its contents.

Sincerely yours,

ling

Acting Comptroller' General of the United States

TO THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA AND

STATE AWARDS FOR CORRECTIONS AND

PRETRIAL DIVERSION PROJECTS

		Fiscal year	r
	1970	1971	1972
STATUS OF FUNDS APPROPRIATED			
Funds allocated	\$17,287,000	\$35,920,000	\$44,781,000
Funds awarded		34,930,361	
Percent of allocated			
funds awarded	98%	978	<u> </u>
STATE AWARDS FOR			
Corrections projects			
Research	\$ 175,955	\$ 278,946	\$ 51,000
Planning	-	219,657	101,928
Probation and parole	377,645	4,270,858	1,063,752
Educational programs			
offenders	-	43,000	-
Vocational training or			
assistanceoffender	71,535	254,504	-
Medical programs			
offenders	-	433,749	1,723,297
Legal assistance			`
offenders	-	25,246	-
Facilities	1,557,532	804,228	163,467
Assistance to correc-	70 0/ 7	.	
tions administration	32,867	720,454	31,764
Total	\$ <u>2,215,534</u>	\$ <u>7,050,642</u>	\$ <u>3,135,208</u>
Percent of funds			
awarded to cor-			
rections projects	13%	20%	148
Pretrial diversion projects	\$ <u>208,901</u>	\$218,584	\$320,823

TO THE STATE OF ILLINOIS AND

STATE AWARDS FOR CORRECTIONS AND

PRETRIAL DIVERSION PROJECTS

		Fiscal year	
	1970	1971	1972
STATUS OF FUNDS APPROPRIATED.			
Funds allocated	\$9,877,000	\$21,600,000	\$24,943,000
Funds awarded	9,866,600	19,941,366	• • •
Percent of allocated	9,000,000	19,941,300	20,566,915
funds awarded	99.9%	925	82%
Tunus awarueu	33.33	543	043
STATE AWARDS FOR.			
Corrections projects			
Research	27,577	41,826	55,790
Planning	6,243	247,658	382,681
Probation and parole	28,000	622,744	688,010
Educational pro-	•	•	•
gramsoffenders		616,241	150,000
Vocational training		•	•
or assistance			
offenders	94,206	443,037	750,426
Medical programs			·
offenders	-	298,152	248,702
Legal assistance			
offenders	-	-	-
Facilities	1,610,752	4,015,985	2,801,244
Assistance to cor-			
rections adminis-			
tration	108,792	911,309	83,786
Total	\$1,875,570	\$ <u>7,196,952</u>	\$ 5,160,639
20042	Ψ <u>1,0/3,3/0</u>	¢ <u></u>	\$_ <u>3,100,039</u>
Percent of			
funds awarded			
to correc-			
tions projects	19\$	36%	25%
Pretrial diversion project	s		\$ 128,414

TO THE STATE OF MASSACHUSETTS AND

STATE AWARDS FOR CORRECTIONS AND

PRETRIAL DIVERSION PROJECTS

		Fiscal year	
	1970	1971	1972
STATUS OF FUNDS APPROPRIATED.			
Funds allocated	\$4,902,000	\$10,804,000	\$12,768,000
Funds awarded	4,902,000	9,518,803	
Percent of allocated funds			
awarded	100%	883	68%
STATE AWARDS FOR			
Corrections projects			
Research	-	-	-
Planning	-	650,000	465,000
Probation and parole	276,770	811,026	1,281,879
Educational programs			
offenders	70,135	307,066	117,000
Vocational training or			
assistanceoffenders	124,345	523,951	469,377
Medical programs		~~ ~~~	
offenders	30,000	20,000	-
Legal assistance offenders	•		
Facilities	200,000	010 000	-
Assistance to corrections	200,000	910,000	1,015,882
administration	367,123	56,196	•
Total	\$ <u>1,068,373</u>	\$ <u>3,278,239</u>	\$ <u>3,349,138</u>
Percent of funds			
awarded to cor-			
rections projects	218	34%	39%
Pretrial diversion projects	an Annaithe statement of the statement		

TO THE STATE OF TEXAS AND

STATE AWARDS FOR CORRECTIONS AND

PRETRIAL DIVERSION PROJECTS

	Fiscal year			
	1970	1971	1972	
STATUS OF FUNDS APPROPRIATED Funds allocated Funds awarded Percent of allocated funds	\$9,926,000 9,547,627	19,953,202	\$25,129,000 18,359,320	
awarded	<u> </u>	96%	73%	
STATE AWARDS FOR Corrections Projects				
Research	\$ -	\$ 365,630	\$ -	
Planning Probation and parole Educational programs	784,474	- 1,146,459	431,671 2,529,312	
offenders	-	260,652	36,830	
Vocational training or assistanceoffenders Medical program	116,227	202,908	25,845	
offenders	-	402,539	-	
Legal assistance offenders	_	214,270	177 107	
Facilities	361,680	730,396	133,197 223,841	
Assistance to corrections		750,550	223,041	
administration		122,112	138,909	
Total	\$ <u>1,262,381</u>	\$ <u>3,444,966</u>	\$ <u>3,519,605</u>	
Percent of funds awarded to correc- tions projects	13%	<u> </u>	19%	
Pretrial diversion projects	\$	\$	\$ <u>99,285</u>	

TO THE STATE OF VIRGINIA AND

STATE AWARDS FOR CORRECTIONS AND

PRETRIAL DIVERSION PROJECTS

		Fiscal year	,
	<u>1970</u>	<u>1971</u>	<u>1972</u>
STATUS OF FUNDS APPROPRIATED			
Funds allocated	\$4,150,000	\$8,536,000	\$10,433,000
Funds awarded	4,114,774	7,743,365	2,923,509
Percent of allocated funds	+, + + + , / / +	/ , / 40 , 505	2,523,309
awarded	998	87%	288
STATE AWARDS FOR			
Corrections projects			
Research	33,673	-	14,138
Planning	50,000	50,675	17,500
Probation and parole	205,300	679,015	40,000
Educational programs			-
offenders	-	158,427	14,184
Vocational training or			
assistanceoffenders	-	196,717	20,654
Medical programs			
offenders	5,040	114,962	50,000
Legal assistance			
offenders		•	-
Facilities	663,939	2,234,887	137,746
Assistance to corrections			
administration	144,259	515,713	140,448
Total	\$ <u>1.102.211</u>	\$ <u>3.950.396</u>	\$ <u>434.670</u>
Percent of funds			
awarded to correc-			
tions projects	27%	<u>51</u> %	158
Pretrial diversion			
projects	\$ <u>22.723</u>	\$ <u>44,370</u>	en Reference inter () gegine a provinsi () a series ()

PERCENT OF FISCAL YEARS 1970, 1971, AND 1972 FUNDS

~

AWARDED FOR INDIVIDUAL CORRECTIONS CATEGORIES

AS OF DECEMBER 31, 1972

			Massa-		
	Calı-	I111-	chu-		Vır-
	<u>fornia</u>	nois	setts	Texas	ginia
Pesearch	4 1	0.9	0.0	4 5	0.9
Planning	2.6	4.5	14.5	5.2	2.2
Probation and parole	46 1	9.4	30.8	542	16.8
Educational programs					
offenders	. 4	5.4	6.4	3.6	31
Vocational training or					
assistance					
offenders	2.6	9.0	14.5	42	4.0
Medical programs					
offenders	17.3	3.8	. 7	4.9	31
Legal assistance					
offenders	.2	-	-	4.2	-
Facilities	20.4	59 2	27.6	16.0	55.3
Assistance to cor-					
rections administra-					
tion	6.3	7.8	5.5	3.2	14.6
Total	<u>100</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>100</u>

1

٢

DISCRETIONARY ACTION GRANTS

AWARDED 10 THE FIVE STATES

FOR CORRECTIONS AND PRETRIAL DIVERSION PROJECTS

FOR FISCAL YEARS 1970, 1971, AND 1972

AS OF DECEMBER 31, 1972

	<u>California</u>	<u>Illinois</u>	<u>Massachusetts</u>	Texas	<u>Virginia</u>	Total
Research	\$ 13,325	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 13,325
Planning	96,950	72,875	•	-	107,405	277,230
Probation and parole	502,084	\$73,289	817,744	34,885	346,398	2,274,400
Educational programs offenders	-	264,138	-	-	•	264,138
Vocational training or assistanceoffenders	300,000	653,028	420,579	-	196,310	1,569,917
Medical programsoffenders	-	-	-	-	-	-
Legal assistanceoffenders	-	-	-	94,756	-	94,756
Facilities	134,736	52,500	183,120	470,455	-	840,811
Assistance to corrections administration	7,500	224,981	118,993	-	13,500	364,974
Protrial diversion	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	•	21,732	<u> </u>	21,732
	\$1,054,595	\$1.840.811	\$ <u>1,540,436</u>	\$621,828	\$663,613	\$5.721.283

Note This appendix does not include certain 1971 discretionary funds available for corrections projects which were allocated to the SPAs to be awarded in accordance with their plans approved by LEAA Because these funds were handled in accordance with the block action grant procedures, we have included the funds in the block action grant appendixes

STATUS OF CORRECTIONS AND PRETRIAL

DIVERSION PROJECTS FUNDED IN THE FIVE STATES

AS OF SEPTEMBER 30, 1972

State	Fiscal year	Number of projects funded	Number of projects reporting expenditures	Number of completed projects (note a)
Calıfornıa	1970	47	40	30
	1971	89	69	13
	1972	18	4	-
Illinois	1970	17	17	12
	1971	47	31	6
	1972	46	14	-
Massachu-				
setts	1970	24	24	24
	1971	46	40	24
	1972	33	18	3
Texas	1970	20	20	19
	1971	89	78	34
	1972	68	34	1
Virginia	1970	52	52	45
-	1971	105	80	26
	1972	14	1	-

^aA project was considered complete if the grantee reported project expenditures of at least 90 percent of the project award amount as of September 30, 1972

8

BLOCK ACTION GRANTS AWARDED BY THE 50 SPAs

.

FOR CORRECTIONS PROJECTS FROM FISCAL YEARS 1969 THROUGH 1972 FUNDS

AS OF MARCH 31 1972

State and fiscal year	Probation	Parole	Correctional <u>institution</u>	Community based corrections	Total	Percent of awarded funds awarded to corrections <u>projects</u>	Percent of allocated funds <u>awarded</u>
Alabama			• • • • • •	•			1007
1969	\$	\$ -	\$ 9 160	\$ - 66 044	\$ 9,160	27. 11	100% 94
1970	6 706	31,417	215,202 97,638	185 125	319 369 401,012	8	77
1971 1972	118 249	-	,,030	107 125	-	-	(a)
2710							1 -7
Total	\$ <u>124,955</u>	\$_31,417	\$000	\$ 251,169	\$ <u>729,541</u>		
Alaoka							
1969	\$ 75,519	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$75,519	75	100
1970	43,344	-	31,059	56 017	130,420	26	100
1971	205,770	12 226	83,000	74 258	363,028	35 19	100 7
1972		13,334			13,334	19	'
Total	\$ <u>324.633</u>	\$_13,339	\$_114.059	\$ <u>130,275</u>	\$301		
Arizona	4 37 900		é 13.100	¢ 14 636	6 63 984	31	98
1969 1970	\$ 37 200 50,938	\$ 51,100	\$ 13,130 247,608	\$ 10,920 51,481	\$ 61,250 401,127	27	99
1971	99,100	51,100	675 305	111,871	886,276	26	87
1972	232,761	-	174,138	99,650	506,549		(a)
Total	\$419,999	1 52,100	\$1,110,181	\$ 273.922	\$1.855.202		(0)
		· Manufacture and include	. Supervise states	A CONTRACTOR OF	, the state of the		
Arkanses							
1969	\$ 7 584	\$ 14,143	\$ <u>-</u>	\$ -	\$ 21,727	9	96
1970	45,270		252,813	46,980	345,063	20	96
1971	36,981	36,400	89,818	293,484	456,683	16	60
1972	84,107	42,000		205,151	331,258	39	20
Total	\$ <u>173,942</u>	\$ <u>92.543</u>	\$ <u>342.631</u>	\$545.615	\$ <u>1.154.731</u>		
Colorado							
1969	\$ 3 180	\$ 24 161	\$ 5898	\$ 8 277	\$ 41,516	21	81
1970	23 032	838	252 769	235 655	512,294	27	100
1971	171,888	24,000	418,422	524 107	1,138,417	32	88
1972			31,348	437,056	468,404	32	30
Total	\$198,100	\$ <u>48,999</u>	\$ <u>708,437</u>	\$1.205.095	\$ <u>2.160.631</u>		
Connecticut							
1969	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 33,520	\$ -	\$ 33,520	9	100
1970	85,980	11,100	142,573	308, 394	548,047	20	100
1971	121,704	30,688	304, 523	1,998,855	2,455,770	54	82
1972					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-	(A)
Total	\$207.684	\$41.788	\$ <u>480.616</u>	\$2.307.249	\$ <u>1.037.337</u>		
Delaware							
1969	4 -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	8 -	•	100
1970 1971	600	-	20,168 108 342	39 900	60,668	12	96
1972	•	:	108 342	111,706	220 048	15	99 (a)
Total	\$600	\$	\$ 128.510	\$_151.606	\$ 280.716		(-)
District of Columbia	•						
1969 1970	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 7 279	\$	\$ 7,279	.7	98
1970	20,651	-	137,496 225,726	87,213 402,506	245,360 628,232	31 41	100 100
1972	-	-	412,873	402,500	412,873	91 47	48
							40
Total	\$_20,651	\$	\$ 374	\$_489,719	\$1.293.744		
Florida							
1969	ş -	\$ -	\$ 80,738	\$ 25,000	\$ 105,738	14	100
1970	93,815		479,077	948, 781	1,521,673	29	94
1971	784,181	376,635	179,009	1,842 209	3,182,034	50	51
1972	<u> </u>		840,000	240,000	1,080,000	89	8
Total	\$ <u>877,996</u>	\$ <u>376.635</u>	\$ <u>1,578,824</u>	\$ <u>3,055,990</u>	\$ <u>5,889,445</u>		

APPENDIX IX

Stite and fiscal year	<u>113516100</u>	Parole	C rrectional	Community basid corrections	<u>Total</u>	Percent of awarded funds awirded to corrections <u>projects</u>	Percent of allocated funds <u>awarded</u>
Ceorgia 1969		\$ -	s 33 388	s -	\$ 33 388	6	100
1970	ş	ş -	- 33 300	ş - -		0	(a)
19/1	450 467	7 500	\$1 096 190	81 560	1 636 717	22	90
1972	3/2,821		653,748	<u> </u>	1,026,569	53	19
Total	\$ <u>823,288</u>	\$ <u>7,500</u>	\$ <u>1,783,326</u>	\$ <u>81,560</u>	\$ <u>2,696,674</u>		
dawa11							
1969	\$ -	\$	\$ -	\$	\$	- /	100
1970 1971	8 348 6 500	1 228 6 000	182 918 62 940	215 342 61 512	407 836 136 952	56 35	93 26
1972	8 300					-	(a)
Total	\$ <u>14,848</u>	\$ <u>7.228</u>	\$ <u>245,858</u>	\$ <u>276,854</u>	\$ <u>544,788</u>		
Isahc							
1969	\$ -	\$	\$ 7 566	\$-	\$7566	8	100
1970	11 570	96 641	68 124	-	176 335	26	95
1971	94 517	27 807	302 375	174 190	598 889	47	65
1972	27,351		176,577	30,875	234,803	26	52
Total	\$ <u>133,438</u>	\$ <u>124,448</u>	\$ <u>\$54,642</u>	\$ <u>205,065</u>	\$ <u>1,017,593</u>		
Indiana							
1969	\$ 8 257	s -	\$ 45 092	\$ 14 068	\$ 67 417	12	94
1970	93 020 362 829	-	354 365	953 291	1 400 676	31	98
19 ⁷ 1 9 ⁷ 2	162 829 <u>1,665</u>	-	688 921 118,370	1 101 840 50,123	2 153 590 186,158	30 14	73 11
Total	\$ <u>481,771</u>	\$ <u> </u>	\$ <u>1,206,748</u>	\$ <u>2,119,322</u>	\$ <u>3,807,841</u>		
Towa							
1069	\$ -	s -	\$ 21 000	\$ 33 7 38	\$ 54,738	17	97
1970	12 000	· -	l+1 511	364 859	518 370	20	100
1971 1972	65 544		622 006	480 911	1 168,461	25	99
Total	<u>2 عربي</u> 91,406	\$	<u>55,549</u> \$ <u>840,066</u>	<u>718,767</u>	<u>788,178</u> \$ <u>2,529,747</u>	68	18
		· <u></u>		1	1 <u>-5-1-6-6-6-6-6-6-6-</u>		
Kansas 1969	\$ 28 850	\$ 30 000	\$ 6 978	¢ 01.000	A 07 7/0		
1970	102 834	58 112	\$ 6 978 168 516	\$ 21 920 353 408	\$ 87 748 682 870	34 33	94 99
1971	360 459	66 290	361 344	191 244	979,337	32	74
472	50,000		113,929		163,929	22	15
Total	\$ <u>542,143</u>	\$ <u>154,402</u>	\$ <u>650,767</u>	\$ <u>566,572</u>	\$ <u>1,913,884</u>		
Kentucky							
1969	\$ -	ş -	\$ 40 077	\$ 70 838	\$ 1.10 915	28	100
970 971	93 626	-	292,562	182 012	568 200	20	100
971 972	553 635	-	560 997 450,000	425 722	1 540,354 450,000	34 38	74 17
Total	\$ <u>647,261</u>	\$ <u>-</u>	\$ <u>1,343,636</u>	\$678,572	\$2,669,469		17
ou isiana							
Louisiana 1960	s -	s -	\$ ∠9 286	\$ 17 160	\$ 46 446	10	100
19/0	75 760	-	379 401	279 112	5 46 446 734,273	10 22	100 99
1971	393 807		1 972 570	313 560	2 679,937	43	86
<u>1</u> (7 <u>2</u>	53,659	<u> </u>	1,046,856		1,396,470	37	47
Total	\$ <u>523,226</u>	\$ <u> </u>	\$ <u>.3.428,113</u>	\$ <u>905,787</u>	\$ <u>4,857,126</u>		
Maine							
1969	\$ 3 000	ş -	S 10 /61	\$	\$ 13,761	12	99
1970	4 93		57 481	-	61,774	6	97
1971 1972	79 043		374 291	71 891 8,147	525 225 36,129	29 5	92 34
Total	\$ 86,336	\$ <u></u>	\$ <u>470,515</u>	\$ <u>80,038</u>	\$ 636,889		
Maryland							
1969	\$ -	ę	\$ 19 796	\$ 17 696	\$ 37 492	8	99
1970		-	118 090	448 650	566 740	17	99
1971 1972	19 811	-	43 102	1 404 990	1 467 903	20	94
Total	\$ <u>19,811</u>	\$ <u> </u>	s <u>180,988</u>	\$ <u>1,871,336</u>	\$2,072,135		(a)

•

State and fiscal year	<u>Probation</u>	Parole	Correctional <u>institution</u>	Community- based corrections	<u>Total</u>	Percent of awarded funds awarded to corrections <u>projects</u>	Percent of allocated funds <u>awarded</u>
Michikim							
1969	\$ 16 300	ş -	\$ -	\$ - 1 316 437	\$ 16 300 1 711 080	2 23	94 96
1970 1971	67 834 646 2 6 9	-	331 809 2 453 559	2 202 311	5 302 139	33	94
1972	41,600	72,000	1,259,349	1,706,770	3,079,719	65	24
Total	\$_767_003	\$ 72,000	\$ <u>4,044,717</u>	\$ <u>5,225,518</u>	\$10,109,238		
Minnesota							
1969	\$	\$	\$ 33 045	\$ 27 203	\$ 60 248	14	98
1970	82 408	-	212 034	276 737	571,179	17	98
1971	135 630	-	536 565	837 126	1 559 321 2,187,645	23 74	95 35
1972	<u> </u>		1,692,376	415,846		74	
Total	\$ <u>347,461</u>	\$	\$ <u>2,474,020</u>	\$ <u>1,556,912</u>	\$ <u>4,378,393</u>		
Mississippi						_	
1969	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 19 215	ş -	\$ 19 215	7	100
1970 1971	61 355 30 205	-	143 303 621 437	307 218	204,658 958 860	10 27	99 86
1972	30 205	-	621 437	307 218	000 000		(a)
							(-)
Total	\$ <u>91,560</u>	\$	\$ <u>783,955</u>	\$ <u>307,218</u>	\$ <u>1,182,733</u>		
Missouri		*	A A1 70-	A	0 170 /07		100
1969 1970	\$ 48 844 267 393	\$ -	\$ 94 781 422 042	\$ 29 000 109 8 82	\$ 172,625 799 317	31 19	100 99
1971	468 665	-	1 996,335	1 248 896	3 713 896	45	86
1972	947,523		804,021	776,863	2,528,407	40	61
Total	\$ <u>1.732.42</u>	\$ <u> </u>	\$ <u>3,317,179</u>	\$ <u>2,164,641</u>	\$ <u>7,214,245</u>		
Montina							
1969	\$ 7 -42	\$	\$ 9,436	\$ -	\$ 16 878	17	100
1970 1971	41 170	\$ 2 678	50 150	42 989	136 987	20	י00 ספי
1972	72 420		74 384 4,509	245 282 18,959	392 286 30,938	32 10	75 19
Total	\$ <u>121.032</u>	\$ <u>10,148</u>	\$ <u>138,679</u>	\$ <u>307,230</u>	\$ <u>577,089</u>		
Nebraska							
1969	\$ 225	s -	ş 6 011	ş -	\$ 6,236	4	98
1470	24 211		25 138	. 10 410	59 759	5	99
1971	158 643	-	378,965	91 652	629,260	25	91
1972			-	20,000	20,000	4	14
Total	\$ <u>183.079</u>	\$	\$ <u>410,114</u>	\$ <u>122,062</u>	\$ <u>715,255</u>		
Nevada							
1969	\$	\$ -	\$ 3 000	ş -	\$ 3 000	3	96
1970	10 702	-	34 608	900	46,210	10	91
197 <u>1</u> 972	239 984 5,233	39 800	132,883 35,954	273,329	686 095 41,187	75	79 (A)
Total	\$ <u>255,919</u>	\$ <u>39,899</u>	5_206,445	\$_274,229	\$ <u>776,492</u>		••
New Hampshire							
1969	\$	ş -	s -	\$	ş	-	99
970	31 300	21 400	J 8 190	¥ 17 610	108 500	16	96
71 972	86 282 15,406	1 000	131 761 69,208	119 931	338 974 103,914	26 15	84 41
Tota	\$ <u>132,988</u>	\$_22,400		19,300		1.3	41
	Y <u>1221</u> 70 0	4 <u>.55,400</u>	\$ <u>239,159</u>	\$ <u>156,841</u>	\$ <u>551,388</u>		
New Jersey 1969	\$ -	\$ -	5 00 000	e	6 03 030	11	100
1969	367 422	\$ - 108 314	5 93 039 296 469	\$ - 1 119 353	\$ 93 039 1 891 558	11 30	100 97
1971	78 45P	66 129	858 300	2 723 936	3 726 823	41	68
1972		·				-	(a)
Total	\$445_880	\$ <u>174,443</u>	\$ <u>1,247,808</u>	\$ <u>3,843,289</u>	\$ <u>5,711,420</u>		
New Mexico			.		. –		
1969 1970	\$ 7 308	\$ -	\$ 650	\$	\$ 7 958	7	98
1970	13,345 2 959	-	11 017 136 686	75 166 26 942	99 528 216 5 8 7	10 13	100 71
1972		<u> </u>			216,587	-	(a)
Total	\$ <u>73,612</u>	\$ <u> </u>	\$ <u>148,353</u>	\$ 102,108	\$324,073		

State and <u>fiscal year</u>	<u>Probation</u>	Parole	Correctional institution	Community- based corrections	<u>Tot 11</u>	Fercent of awarded funds awarded to corrections projects	Percent of ±1loc±ted funds <u>awarded</u>
New York	69 313 049	\$ 293 699	\$ 1 420 458	\$1 613 634	\$ 5 640 833	(b)	_
1969 1970	\$2 313 042 216,377	\$ 293 099	7 597 8 14	4 155 375	11 970 066	(b)	-
1971	960 217	1 559 427	-	199 089	2 719 633	(b)	-
1972			1,638,575		1,638,575	(b)	-
Total	\$ <u>3,490,136</u>	\$ <u>1,853,126</u>	\$ <u>10,656,847</u>	\$ <u>5,968,998</u>	\$ <u>21.969,107</u>		
North Carolina		•	•	é 10.093	¢ 10.091	4	88
969 1 '70	s - 132 213	\$ -	\$ - 137 162	\$ 19 981 89 640	\$ 19 981 359 015	4	100
1771	5 365	-	479 345	425 871	910,584	10	96
1972	**	·				-	2
Total	\$ <u>137,581</u>	\$	\$ <u>616,507</u>	\$ <u>>35,492</u>	\$ <u>1.289,580</u>		
North Dakota						_	
1969	\$ -	ş -	\$ 6 000	\$ -	\$ 6 000	6	99
1970	9 222	-	38 065	129,834	177 121	29	99 71
1971 1972		-	55 277 4,865	80 636 16,000	135 913 20,865	13 4	71 32
		<u></u>				*	34
Total	\$ <u>9,222</u>	\$ <u> </u>	\$ <u>104,207</u>	\$ <u>226,470</u>	\$ <u>339,899</u>		
Ohio 1969	¢	A	6 135 033	s -	é 126 012	_	(-)
1970	\$ - 130,770	\$ - 164,792	\$ 135 923 1,579,076	\$ - 466,054	\$ 135,923 2,340 692	-	(a) (a)
1971	471 433	-	2 053 581	1 957,171	4 482 185	-	(a)
1972		<u> </u>				-	(a)
Totel	\$ <u>602,203</u>	\$ <u>164.792</u>	\$ <u>3,768,580</u>	\$ <u>2,423,225</u>	\$ <u>6,958,800</u>		
Oklahoma							
1969	\$ 30 000	\$ -	\$ 3,000	\$ -	\$ 33 000	11	100
1970 1971	267 006	- 016	275 300	362 782	638 082	29 28	95
1972	367,896	825	270,808 347,000	453 383	1 092 912 347,000	- 28	84 (a)
Total	\$397_896	\$ <u>825</u>	\$896,108	\$ 816,165	\$ 2.110.994		
Oregon							
1969	\$ -	\$	\$ 7 500	\$ 9 4 9 4	\$ 16,994	7	100
1970	25 373	-	563 763	121,069	710,205	40	97
1971	34,843	-	709,614	589,626	1 334,083	42	84
1972	5,000	·····	28,860	85,874	119,734	-	(a)
Total	\$ <u>65,216</u>	\$ 	\$ <u>1,309,737</u>	\$ <u>806_063</u>	\$ <u>2.181.016</u>		
Pennsylvaria							
1969 1970	\$ 39 958	\$ -	\$ 108 357	\$ 18,538	\$ 166 853	12	99
1970	482 926 569 376	-	258,960	534 335	1 276,221	12 20	99
1972	175,574		1,123,820	730,713	2,423,909 <u>175,574</u>	28	53 2
Total	\$1,267,834	\$	\$ <u>1,491,137</u>	\$1,283,586	\$ 4,042,557		
Khode Island							
1969	\$ 50 000	ş -	s -	ş -	\$ 50 000	45	100
1970			62,474	118 270	180 744	20	100
1971 1972	18 433	.	141 354	150 944 <u>102,444</u>	310 731 102,444	18 15	90 31
Total	\$ <u>68,433</u>	\$ <u> </u>	\$ <u>203,828</u>	\$ <u>371,658</u>	\$ <u>643,919</u>		
South Carolina	_ • • • • •						
1960	\$ 7 423	\$ -	\$ 9188	\$ -	\$ 16 611	5	100
1970 971	13 140	-	272 947	20,789	306,876	13	100
1972	21 256 8,850	-	65 015 45,511	36 480 <u>64,950</u>	122 751 <u>119,311</u>	3 4	95 49
Total	\$ 50,669	ş	\$ 392,661	\$ 122,219	\$565,549	·	
				. 			
South Dakota (note c) 1969	\$ -	\$	\$ 5,048	ć	6 6 040		
1970	4,252 259	ə 120	8 214 098	\$ - 20 743	\$ 5048 12487220	-	-
1971	12 027 558	728	264 211	2 551 350	14,843,847	-	-
1972		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		-	-
Total	\$ <u></u>	\$ <u></u>	\$	\$	\$		

.

APPENDIX IX

State and fiscal year	Probation	Parole	Correctional Institution	Community- based <u>corrections</u>	<u>Total</u>	Percent of warded funds awarded to corrections <u>projects</u>	Percent of allocated funds <u>awarded</u>
Tennessee 1969 1970 1971 1972	\$ 12 325 749 333	\$ 	\$ 91 966 633 409 953 665	\$ - 70 256 209,520	\$ 91,966 715 990 1,912 518	19 21 30	100 94 90 (a)
Total	\$ <u>761,658</u>	\$	\$ <u>1,679,040</u>	\$ <u>279,776</u>	\$ <u>2,720,474</u>		
Utah							
1969	\$ 21 673	\$ -	\$ 5,285	\$ -	\$ 26 958	22	98
1970 1971	65 922 53 410	54 304	104 075 33 871	262,755 152 273	487 056 239 554	56 14	87 89
1972	106,606		62,607	131,127	300,340	35	36
Total	\$_247.611	\$ <u>54,304</u>	\$ <u>205,838</u>	\$ <u>546,155</u>	\$ <u>1,053,908</u>		
Vermont							
1969	\$ 9,360	\$ -	\$ 12 157	\$ -	\$ 21 517	22	99
1970 1971	38 956 14 106	-	33 764	28 718	101 438	20	100
1972		-	136,026 26,000	41,095 23,886	191,227 49,886	24 10	86 47
Tabal	<u> </u>	~					
Total	\$ <u>62,422</u>	\$ <u> </u>	\$207.947	\$ <u>93.699</u>	\$ <u>364.068</u>		
Washington 1969	s -	•		A			
1970	\$ - 60 851	\$ - 20,180	\$ 19773 231626	\$ 8 200 449 970	\$ 27 973 762 627	(b) (b)	-
1971	113 105	616 362	522,925	623 079	1 875 471	(Б)	-
1972	296,423	102,661	657,760	739,404	1,786,248	(b)	-
Total	\$ <u>460,379</u>	\$ <u>739,203</u>	\$_1,432,084	\$ <u>1,820,653</u>	\$ <u>4,452,319</u>		
West Virginia							
1969 1970	\$	ş -	\$ 19,472	\$ 2 306	\$ 21 778	10	98
1971	27 587 27 076	-	342,205 278 997	223 949 134 123	593 741	37 20	99 65
1972	2. 0/0	•	270 337	134 125	440,196	-	5
Total	\$ 54,663	\$	\$ <u>640,674</u>	\$ <u>360,378</u>	\$ <u>1,055,715</u>		
Wisconsin							
1969	\$ 28 386	\$ -	\$ 14 000	\$ 3,933	\$ 46 319	9	99
1970 1971	^ €89 1 113 736	74 712	188 635	207 143	480 179	13	95-
1972	16,000	-	712 154	525 797 225,417	2 351 687 406,579	32 12	90 34
Total	\$1,167,311	\$ <u>74,712</u>	\$ <u>1,079,951</u>	\$ <u>962,290</u>	\$ 3,284,764	~=	
Wyoming							
1969	\$ 720	s -	\$ -	ş -	\$ 720	1	100
1970	4 .00		25 225	50 000	79 725	16	100
.971 1972	16 742	-	144 201	153,148	314 091	36	94
						-	(a)
"c*al	s <u>21,962</u>	\$	\$ <u>169,426</u>	\$ <u>203,148</u>	\$ <u>394,536</u>		
American Sar -ro a	wards reperted						
Guam 060	¢	<u>^</u>			•	_	
969 1970	s _	Ş	\$ - 42 022	\$ 20 000	\$ 20 000	50	100
1971		-	139 531	-	42 022 139 531	22 56	1C0 36
1972				58,500	58,500	-	(1)
Total	\$	\$	<u>38</u>	\$ <u>78,500</u>	\$ <u>260,023</u>		
Puerto Rico							
196º 1970	\$	\$	\$ 84 078	\$ 27 891	\$ 111 969	34	100
1971	83 200 3 737	69 639 77 478	78 438 688 733	995 686 863 191	1 226 963 1 661 139	50 47	99 74
1972					1 661 139	47	4
Total	\$ <u>114,937</u>	\$ <u>147,117</u>	\$ <u>851,249</u>	\$ <u>1,886,768</u>	\$ <u>3,000,071</u>		
Virgin Islands							
1969	\$ -	\$ <u>-</u>	\$	\$	ş -	-	100
.970 1971	6 000 20 000	-	75 000	10 000	91 000	46	100
1972			90,000	-	110 000	44	91 16
Tctal	\$ <u>26,000</u>	\$	\$ <u>165,000</u>	\$ <u>10,000</u>	\$000		

٠.

Ν.

÷

⁸No SPA prepared financial report svailable at LEAA headquarters

به ب ب

^bCNIS data incorrectly shows the SPA awards for corrections projects because the proper fiscal year funds could not be identified for all projects

"Financial reports submitted by SFA indicate that the information for the State should be as follows

South Dakota 1969 1970 1971 1972	\$ - 12,683 10,830	\$ - 120 728 	\$ 5,048 94 287 264,211	20,743 93,377	\$ 5,048 127,833 369,146	5 21 39	100 92 77 (a)
Total	\$23,513	\$ <u>848</u>	\$263.546	\$114,120	\$502.027		

DISCRETIONARY GRANTS AWARDED TO 50 SPAs (note a)

FOR FISCAL YEARS 1969 THROUGH 1972

AS OF MARCH 31, 1972

			4 4 3	Community-	
64.04.0	Deeb ada	Demote	Correctional	based	Total
State	Probation	Parole	institution	corrections	<u>Total</u>
Alabama	\$ 480,114	-	\$ 561,957	\$ 229,049	\$ 1,271,120
Alaska	73,742	-	224,580	163,970	462,292
Arizona	390,625	-	293,835	258,422	942,882
Aikansas	-	-	331,274	68,587	399,861
Colorado	215,000	29,162	52,602	246,306	543,070
Connecticut	307,224	-	261,357	399,594	968,175
Delaware	19,440	-	60,092	427,730	507,262
District of Columbia	-	27,400	958,397	3,090,000	4,075,797
Florida	246,818	-	1,162,896	912,141	2,321,855
Georgia	-	-	507,367	322,114	829,481
Hawall	8,000	-		149,775	157,775
Idaho	254,913	-	402,477	4,421	661,811
Indiana	185,243	-	326,509	86,095	597,847
Iowa	24,176	-	7,500	298,660	330,336
Kansas	266,272	-	242,489	-	508,761
Kentucky	146,998	•	522,086	293,216	962,300
Louisiana	416,220	-	330,040	610,561	1,356,821
Maine	-	-	327,067	•	327,067
Maryland	100,500	-	237,630	665,384	1,003,514
Michigan	302,448	-	691,907	931,377	1,925,732
Minnesota	228,359	138,637	25,000	50,735	442,731
Mississippi			1,098,750	250,000	1,348,750
Missouri	148,703	-	272,053	421,294	842,050
Montana		24,850	-	64,929	89,779
Vebraska	•	-	214,836	490,056	704,892
Nevada	45,380	-	108,983	100,000	254,363
New Hampshire	-	-	371,281	53,990	425,271
New Jersey	-	-	252,330	551,210	803,540
New Mexico	93,938	84,315	394,370	52,925	625,548
New York	327,994	•	529,878	1,348,846	2,206,718
North Carolina	-	-	538,153	597,101	1,135,254
North Dakota	-	-	8,000	-	8,000
Oh10	400,000	88,021	1,521,572	807,181	2,816,774
Oklahoma	300,000	-	340,000	288,131	928,131
Oregon	309,667	-	920,884	202,485	1,433,036
Pennsylvanıa	658,245	-	102,637	915,697	1,676,579
Rhode Island	8,760	-	331,450	81,673	421,883
South Carolina	247,592	87,923	1,070,499	364,433	1,770,447
Soutn Dakota	118,839	-	-	-	118,839
Tennessee	250,000	•	441,147	375,371	1,066,518
Utah	84,000	-	6,500	255,003	345,503
Vermont	-	-	6,500	126,832	133,332
Washington	111,850	-	400,030	259,547	771,427
West Virginia	325,000	-	257,338	49,000	631,338
Wisconsin	825,000	-	-	147,863	972,863
hyoming	-	-	-	76,892	76,892
Puerto Rico	•	60,000	8,000	139,145	207,145
Virgin Islands	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	743,375	20,000	763,375
ſotal	\$ <u>7,921,060</u>	\$ <u>540,308</u>	\$ <u>17,465,628</u>	\$ <u>17,247,741</u>	\$ <u>43,174,737</u>

 $\overset{\mathbf{a}}{}_{\mathrm{GMIS}}$ did not contain any corrections projects for American Samoa and Guam

FUNDS AWARDED FOR CORRECTIONS PROJECTS

ډ ک

بر ج

BY THE NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR

LAW ENFORCEMENT AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE

Fiscal years 1969 through 1972 as of March 31, 1972

Fiscal year	Probat 10n	Parole	Correctional institution	Community- based corrections	<u>Total</u>
1969	\$ 68,353	\$ 11,540	\$ 117,222	\$ 14,650	\$ 211,765
1970	21,706	-	308,667	19,848	350,221
1971	349,060	236,727	629,641	327,510	1,542,938
1972	177,829	220,685	964,626	150,004	1,513,144
ſotal	\$616,948	\$ <u>468,952</u>	\$ <u>2,020,156</u>	\$ <u>512,012</u>	\$ <u>3,618,068</u>