

**DECISION****THE COMPTROLLER GENERAL  
OF THE UNITED STATES  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20548**

92 12 M

10,321

FILE:

DATE: MAY 31 1979

MATTER OF:

B-167015  
*for*  
*Determination of Proper Appropriations Fund**Payment of Attorney's Fees Awarded against Equal Employment Opportunity Commission]*

DIGEST:

Award of attorney's fees against Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) as lead plaintiff in enforcement action under title VII of Civil Rights Act is payable from permanent appropriation contained in 31 U.S.C. § 724a rather than from EEOC appropriations, since nothing in Civil Rights Act or in EEOC's appropriation language provides for payment of such awards by EEOC.

This decision concerns the question of whether an award of attorney's fees against the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) in an enforcement action under title VII of the Civil Rights Act is payable from EEOC appropriations or from the permanent, indefinite appropriation established by 31 U.S.C. § 724a for the payment of final judgments, awards and settlements against the United States which are not "otherwise provided for." For the reasons that follow, we believe such an award is payable from the permanent appropriation.

Section 724a, as amended by Pub. L. No. 95-26 (May 4, 1977), 91 Stat. 61, 96, provides in pertinent part as follows:

"There are appropriated, out of any money in the treasury not otherwise appropriated, such sums as may be necessary for the payment, not otherwise provided for, as certified by the Comptroller General, of final judgments, awards, and compromise settlements, which are payable in accordance with the terms of sections 2414, 2517, 2672, or 2677 of Title 28, together with such interest and costs as may be specified in such judgments or otherwise authorized by law \* \* \*."

Section 706(k) of the Civil Rights Act (Pub. L. No. 84-352), 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5(k), authorizes courts to award reasonable attorney's fees to prevailing parties, other than the EEOC or the United States, "as part of the costs." In the case of an enforcement action brought by EEOC, this award may be assessed only if the court finds that EEOC's claim was "frivolous, unreasonable, or groundless." Christiansburg Garment Co. v. EEOC, 434 U.S. 412, 422 (1978). It is undisputed that

djk

on award under section 706(a) against ERGIC, or any other Federal department or agency, as an unsuccessful defendant, is payable from the judgment appropriation. See 36 Comp. Gen. 143-170351, March 7, 1972; 28 U.S.C. § 2412 (1974). It has been suggested, however, that an award against ERGIC as an unsuccessful plaintiff may be treated differently in that it may be viewed as an expense of litigation payable from ERGIC's litigation budget. 46 Comp. Gen. 98 (1966); 38 Comp. Gen. 363 (1982). In our view, with respect to the award of funds for payment, the two situations are distinguishable.

It is well settled that the appropriations on funds provided for regular operations of the Government are not available to pay judgments, including judgments for costs. Exceptions are recognized only where the statutory provisions for the appropriations on special funds for litigation are of which the costs of action does expressly include authority for the payment of judgments or where other specific provisions of law provide for payment of judgments. 36 Comp. Gen. 521, 195 (1977); 36 Comp. Gen. 615, 618 (1977); 34 Comp. Gen. 221 (1954). Hence, the availability of ERGIC's appropriations depend upon the existence of some specific statutory authorization to pay judgments by attorney's fees.

Section 106 of the Civil Rights Act, "31 U.S.C. § 2004a-3," authorized appropriations "as are necessary to carry out the provisions of this Act." ERGIC receives annual appropriations for "enforcement expenses as authorized by title VII," R.R., House Rules of House, Justice, and Commerce, the Judiciary, and Related Appropriations Act, 1979, Pub. L. No. 95-432 (October 10, 1978), 92 Stat. 2321, 2324. While these appropriations are generally available for expenses incurred by ERGIC in carrying out its enforcement function, we have found no indication in the language of legislative history of either the relevant section provides for the comprehensive provision that the appropriations were intended to include awards under section 706(b).

As noted above, section 706(b) authorizes the award of attorney's fees "as part of the costs." Costs are awarded under 28 U.S.C. § 2412, which provides that "costs of litigation specifically provided by statute," a judgment for costs other than those in the nature of attorney's fees is awarded to the prevailing party in any civil action brought by or against the United States. Since section 706(b) specifically withdraws the award of attorney's fees as part of costs, the authority of attorney's fees under 28 U.S.C. § 2412 is not applicable. Section 2412 further provides that costs awarded against the United States or one of its agencies shall be paid "as provided by section 2414 and section 2517 of this title for the payment of judgments." Judgments against the United States under 28 U.S.C. §§ 2414 and 2517 are payable from the permanent indefinite appropriation unless otherwise provided for. It would appear that an award of costs, other than attorney's fees, against ERGIC in its enforcement

8-147415

Action is payable from the permanent appropriation by virtue of 38 U.S.C. § 724a. It follows therefore that attorney's fees which are treated "as part of the costs" are payable from the same source.

Finally, although the Supreme Court did note in Chisholm v. Kroc, supra at p. 413, footnote 18, that the court had been "informed" that attorney's fees awarded against Kroc must be paid from the Comptroller's "litigation budget," this consideration does not appear to have been crucial to the Court's holding. The purpose of the Court's footnote 18 seems to be merely to establish that the standard for an award of attorney's fees against a legal plaintiff should be the same whether the plaintiff is EPA or a private party.

In view of the foregoing, since we have not found any provision of law which makes ERDC appropriations available for the payment of attorney's fees, the awards are payable from the permanent appropriation contained in 31 U.S.C. § 724a.

R. F. KELLER

[Deputy] Comptroller General  
of the United States