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Letter report to the Chairman, Senate Committee on Public Works, pursuant to his request.

The report contained information on the history and current status of allocation of funds and personnel under the Clean Air Act ( 42 U.S.C. 1857), as amended, and the Solid Waste Disposal Act, as amended by the Resource Recovery Act ( 42 U.S.C. 325I) . The report also contained a breakdown of the expenditures for fiscal years 1971 through 1973 for the $468000=4$ Office of the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and for other EPA offices and functions which did not directly implement particular programs. We also analyzed the staffing and/or funding levels for certain ERA programs.

We reported that:

1. Insufficient staff was assigned to enforcement activities and to implementation of the Air Quality Act of 1967.
2. Insufficient staff was assigned to automobile certification activities under the Clean Air Act.
3. Funds were not requested for section 103 of the Clean Air Act relating to research, investigation, and training and section 212 of the act relating to development of low-emission vehicles.
4. Delays were incurred in awarding grants for demonstrating resource recovery systems and improved solid waste disposal facilities.


Information relating to budget and funding for the Clean Air Act, as amended, and the Solid Waste Disposal Act as amended by the Resour ce Recovery Act of 1970
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The Honorable Jennings Randolph Chaiman, Committee on PubTic Works 3,00
United States Senate

Dear Mr. Chaiman:
In response to your request of November 13, 1972, we are reporting on the history and current status of allocation of funds and personnel under the Clean Air Act, as amended (42 U.S.C. 1857), and the Solid Waste Disposal Act, as amended by the Resource Recovery Act (42 U.S.C. 3251). We broke down the expenditures for fiscal years 1971 through 1973 for the Office of the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and for other EPA offices and functions which did not directly implement particular programs. (See enc. VI.) We also analyzed the staffing and/or funding levels for certain EPA programs.

EPA supplied us with information on overall personnel and funding. EPA officials could not give us infomation about funding and personnel allocated to each program authorized by title I and title II of the Clean Air Act, nor was this information readily available from EPA records. However, we did obtain information on staffing of the Mobile Source Pollution Control Programmothe major program authorized by title II.

We reviewed EPA's records and the Department of Health, Education. and Welfare's (HEW's) records for those fiscal years when HEW had respon.sibility for administering the clean air and solid waste programs. We held discussions with agency officials, reviewed congressional hearings and reports pertaining to the Clean Air Act and the Solid Waste Disposal Act, as amended, and examined EPA guidelines and.regulations.

FUNDS AMD PERSONNEL TO IMPLEMENT
THE CLEAN AIR ACT, AS AMENDED
For fiscal years 1963 through 1973, the Clean Air Act, as amended, authorized the appropriation of about $\$ 1.7$ billion. During that period the Congress appropriated about $\$ 800 \mathrm{million}$, of which about $\$ 578 \mathrm{mil}-$ lion had been obligated as of June 30, 1972. EPA estimates that an additional \$151 million will be obligated during fiscal year 1973. (See enc. 1.) Total staff assigned to implement the act increased from 434 at the end of fiscal year 1963 to 1,348 estimated for fiscal year 1973. (See enc. IV.)

Staff assigned to enforcement activities
and to implementation of the
Air Quality Act of 1967
The Air Quality Act of 1967 (81 Stat. 485), which amended the Clean Air Act, provided for a program of abatement, prevention, and control of air pollution on a regional basis. The House Interstate and Foreign Comnerce Committee report on the legislation stated that, during the time the regional program was being implemented, EPA was to use existing procedures to continue its enforcement activities.

EPA, however, deemphasized its enforcement program and assigned the job of implementing the regional program to the enforcement staff. The staff of the EPA Field Operations Branch, which had been responsible for enforcement, was reduced from 160 to 43 in 1968 and to three by the end of 1970.

An EPA official told us that the agency, in interpreting the intent of the Congress, had primarily emphasized the regional program because EPA lacked adequate staff to both implement the regional program and conduct an effective enforcement program.

Under the 1967 act the Federal Government was required to designate, within 18 months of enactment, air quality control regions throughout the Nation. The regional designations were to be based on jurisdictional boundaries, urbenwindustrial concentrations, atmospheric areas, and other factors. In establishing the regions, EPA issued public notices, held meetings with State and local officials, and evaluated engineering and urban factors, such as the extent, location, and type of pollution. Despite the complexity and importance of this process, only five men, according to an EPA official, had been assigned to the designation task.

As of June 1969, 18 months after the act was passed, the Governnent had designated only 13 of an eventual 247 regions and had not designated many major urban-industrial areas, including Detroit, Michigan, Kansas City, Missouri, Baltimore, Maryland, Indianapolis, Indiana, Minneapolis, Minnesota, and Birmingham, Alabama. (In April 1971, Birmingham received national publicity because of its high levels of air pollution.)

As of December 1970, when the Clean Air Amendments of 1970 ( 84 Stat. 1676) were passed amending the 1967 act, the regional program had not been implemented. An EPA official told us that the designations had not been made on schedute, because of insufficient staff and because EPA had littile knowledge of the existence or extent of air pollution for many areas of the Nation.

Insufficient staffi assigned to automobile certification activities under the Clean AIr Act

In our report to the Chaiman, Subcommittee on Air and Water Pollution. Senate Commttee on Public Works (B-166506, June 12, 1972), we stated that the number of EPA personnel assigned to test and certify automobile prototypes had generally been insufficient for adequately insuring that automobile manufacturers complied with Federal certification regulations.

The Director of EPA's Mobile Source Pollution Control Program told us that, in his initial program plan for fiscal year 1972, he had requested 237 positions, including 46 positions for the Division of Certification and Surveillance. The Director stated, however, that EPA officials had reduced the authorization for the Mobile Source Pollution Control Program to 161 positions, of which 32 were allocated to the Division of Certification and Survelllance. As of June 1, 1972, EPA had assigned 146 persons to the Mobile Source Pollution Control Program, of which 19 were directly involved in the certification of vehicles.

As of December 12, 1972, the Mobile Source Pollution Control Program was authorized 996 positions, of which 160 had been filled. The Division of Certification and Surveillance was allotted 50 positions, of which 35 had been filled.

EPA officials told us in January 1973 that the Division of Certificam tion and Surveillance continued to have difficulty in hiring and retaining qualified staff primarily because of low entrance salaries for graduate engineers and noncompetitive salaries for engineers with automotive emission experience.

Because of insufficient staff, personnel had not been assigned to monitor activities at the auto companies' test facilities as of January 1973. EPA therefore still does not have reasonable assurance that the companies are complying with the Federal certification regulations.

Funds not requested for sections 103 and 212 of the Clean Air Act

EPA had not asked for, and the Congress had not appropriated, funds authorized by sections 103 and 212 of the Clean Air Act. as amended. (See enc. II.) Section 103 authorized $\$ 15 \mathrm{mj} 11$ ion for research, investim gations, training, and other activities. An EPA official told us that the programs authorized by section 103 were being funded from the appropriation authorized by section 104 of the act and that there was no need to request funds specifically for section 103.

Section 212 authorized the appropriation of $\$ 55$ million for the development of lowemission vehicles, including the purchase of vehicles certified by the Low Emissions Certification Board. Government agencies are to use the vehicles. An EPA official told us that funds had not been requested for this section of the act because (1) the board had not certified any vehicles and (2) EPA had not resolved a legal question as to whether it could purchase vehicles, because the General Services Administration is responsible for purchasing vehicles used by Federal civilian agencies.

Funds and persomnel To IMPlement
THE SOLID WASTE DISPOSAL ACT, AS AMENDED
For fiscal years 1966 to 1973, the Solid Waste Disposal Act, as amended, authorized the appropriation of about $\$ 489$ million to carry out the purposes of the act. (See enc. III.) During that period, the Congress appropriated about $\$ 156$ million, about $\$ 23$ million more than requested in the President's budget. Of the $\$ 23$ million, about $\$ 22.5$ million was for the solid waste demonstration program authorized by sec-tion 208 of the act. Total personnel assigned to implement the act has risen from an estimated 76 at the end of fiscal year 1966 to an estimated 283 for fiscal year 1973. (See enc. V.)

Delays in awarding section 208 derionstration gramts

The recycling of wastes and recovery of resources was emphasized in the Solid Waste Disposal Act of 1965 and was later reemphasized in the Resource Recovery Act of 1970. Section 208 of the 1970 act authorized grants for demonstrating resource recovery systems and improved solid waste disposal facilities.

The Resource Recovery Division of EPA's Office of Solid Waste Manage ment Programs was not established, however, until December 1971, more than I year after enactment of the Resource Recovery Act, and at that time had a staff of six. The Director of the division told us that in Septenber 1972 the division had a total staff of 41.

Correspondence between EPA and the Office of Management and Budget indicated, and EPA officials confirmed, that much of the delay in implementing the program was due to the length of time EPA needed to develop criteria for awarding grants for solid waste demonstration projects. By April 1972 EPA had developed these criteria and OMB had allotted $\$ 17.5$ million to EPA for demonstration projects. It was not until the fall of 1972, that demonstration grants were awarded to four of 65 applicants.

An official of the Resource Recovery Division stated that the projects would not be operational until the fall of 1974 because it takes about 2 years to plan, develop, and put a demonstration project into operation. The authorization in the Resource Recovery Act of 1970 expires on June 30,1973 . Thus, if the Congress considers additional solid waste legislation before June 1973, it will not have the benefit of operm ating experience for the four section 208 demonstration projects. The Director, Resource Recovery Division, told us, however, that infomation on recycling and reuse of waste would be available from projects completed under other sections of the act.

EPA officials reviewed this report and their comments have been considered. We do not plan to distribute this report further unless you agree or publicily announce its contents.


Comptroller General
of the United States
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ENVIROMMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
TOTAL FUNDING FOR ACTIVITIES UNDER THE CLEAN AIR ACT, AS AMENDED, FOR FISCAL YEARS 1963 TO 1973

| Eiscal year | Statutory authorization | Budget request | Appropriation | Obligations | Expenditures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (000 omitted) |  |  |  |  |
| 1963 | \$ 5,000 | $\$^{2} 10,069$ | $\$^{2} 11,069$ | \$ 11,016 | \$ 10, 101 |
| 1964 | 10,000 | 215,247 | $a_{12,954}$ | 12,911 | 12,74.8 |
| 1965 | 25,000 | 22,030 | 20,995 | 20,916 | 16,312 |
| 1966 | 30,470 | 25,028 | 26,662 | 26,578 | 20,859 |
| 1967 | 46,000 | 39,481 | 40,061 | 35,814 | 30,664 |
| 1968 | $1.09,000$ | 64,185 | $64,1.85$ | 61,667 | 38,604 |
| 1969 | 185,000 | 106,733 | 88,733 | 80,174 | 56,922 |
| 1970 | 179,300 | 95,800 | 108,800 | 101,534 | 78,478 |
| 1971 | $b_{220,000}$ | 113,203 | 114,953 | 101,666 | 88,055 |
| 1972 | 375,000 | 152,114 | 152,114 | 125,746 | 116,025 |
| 1973 | 475,000 | 159,776 | 158,286 | c 150,712 | ${ }^{c_{131,905}}$ |
| Total | \$1,659,770 | \$803,666 | \$798,812 | \$728,734 | \$600,673 |

a
Air pollution control activities were also carried out under authorizations in section 314 of the Public Health Services Act.
$b_{\text {The }}$ authorization for fiscall year 1971 included $\$ 15,000,000$ authorized by the Clean Air Amendments of 1970. The act did not, however, specify the fiscal years for which the appropriation should be made.
$c_{\text {EPA }}$ estimates.
ENVI RONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY


| Sections of the Clean Air Act | Eiscel <br> year | Statutory authorization | Budget request | Appropriation | Obligations | Expenditures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Section 104 of the act authorizes funds for research relating to fuels and vehicles |  |  | $\ldots$ (000 omitted) |  |  |  |
|  | 1968 | \$ 35,000 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ $=$ | \$ - |
|  | 1969 | 90,000 | 31,300 | 18,700 | 13,055 | 3,587 |
|  | 1970 | 45,000 | 18,700 | 45,000 | 36,502 | 14,289 |
|  | 1971 | 75,000 | 28,990 | 29,100 | 27,419 | 22,777 |
|  | 1972 | 125.000 | 39,100 | 34,818 | 32,905 | 34.650 |
|  | 1973 | 150,000 | 39,509 | 38,109 | a,b 43,200 | ${ }^{6} 39.589$ |
|  |  | \$520,000 | \$157,599 | \$155,727 | \$153,081 | \$114,892 |
| Section 103 of the act authorizes funds for | 1971 | $\$^{C} 15,000$ | - | - | - | - |
| research investigations, training, and other | 1972 | - | - | - | - | - |
| activities | 1973 | - - | - | - | - | - |
| Total |  | \$ 15,000 |  |  |  |  |
| Section 212 of the act authorizes funds for | 1971 | \$ 5,000 | $\cdots$ | $\sim$ | - | - |
| development of lowmemission vehicles | 1972 | 25,000 | - | - | - | - |
|  | 1973 | 25,000 | $\cdots$ | - | - | - |
| Total |  | \$ 5,5,000 |  |  |  |  |

Tota1 appropriabions under section 104 are availableuntil expended. obligetions
were incuried in fiscal yeaz 1973 from approptiacions made in prior years. $\mathrm{b}_{\text {Estimates }}$ provided by EPA.
CSection 103 of the Clean Air Amendments of 1970, enacted December 31, 1970, Fancs within which appropriations should be made.
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

|  | Figed <br> years | Statueozy guthoriget | Buaget request | Appropriation | Obligations | Expenditures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total funding for activicies under the Solid Waste Disposal Act, as amended | 1966 | $\$ 7,000$ | \$ 6,525 | (000 ondtted) | \$ 4,313 | \$ 2,000 |
|  | 1967 | 14,000 | 12,359 | 12,363 | 12,192 | 9,353 |
|  | 1968 | 19,200 | 15,582 | 14,833 | 13,357 | 9,997 |
|  | 1969 | 20,000 | 16,217 | 16,217 | 15,116 | 13,520 |
|  | 1970 | 19,750 | 15, 172 | 15,354 | 15,350 | 18,192 |
|  | 1971 | 41,500 | 17,986 | 20,591 | 17,982 | 15,976 |
|  | 1972 | 152,000 | 28,379 | 35,878 | 14,091 | 13,440 |
|  | 1973 | 216,000 | 21.433 | 36,555 | ${ }^{1} 31,359$ | ${ }^{1} 20,314$ |
| Total |  | \$489,450 | \$133,662 | \$156,192 | \$123,780 | \$102,792 |
| Funding for the demonstration program muthorized by section 208 of the act |  |  |  | St, |  | \$ - |
|  | $1972$ | 80,000 | 4,093 | 11,593 | - 560 | $56$ |
|  | 1973 | .140,000 | ,093 | $\mathrm{b}_{15,000}$ | ${ }^{4} 20,826$ | ${ }_{1}{ }_{1} 813$ |
| Total |  | \$220,000 | \$ 4,093 | \$ 26,593 | \$ 21,386 | \$ 1.869 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Estimates provided by EPA.
${ }^{\text {b Appropriations }}$ authorized by section 208 are available untll expended.

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTLON AGENCY <br> THE CLEAN AIR ACT, AS AMENDED POSITIONS BUDGETED AND FILLED FISCAL YEARS 1963 TO 1973 

|  | Fiscal <br> year | Positions budgeted at beginming of fiscal year | Positions filled at end of fiscal year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total positions for programs | 1963 | 407 | 434 |
| authorized by the Clean Air Act | 1964 | 414 | 408 |
|  | 1965 | 525 | 490 |
|  | 1966 | 609 | 582 |
|  | 1967 | 888 | 841 |
|  | 1968 | 1,070 | 1,085 |
|  | 1969 | 1,080 | 1,065 |
|  | 1970 | 1,059 | 1,016 |
|  | 1971 | 1,201 | 929 |
|  | 1972 | 1,569 | 1,273 |
|  | 1973 | 1,457 | ${ }^{1,348}$ |
| Positions for the research program | 1972 | 204 | 124 |
| relating to fuels and vehicles | 1973 | 124 | ${ }_{126}$ |
| authorized by section 104 of the act (note b) |  |  |  |

aEPA estimates.
bagency records did not show, nor were agency officials able to estimate, the number of positions assigned to implement section 104 during fiscal years 1968 to 1971.
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
THE SOLID WASTE DISPOSAI ACT
AS AMENDED BY THE RESOURCE RECOVERY ACT
POSITIONS BUDGETED AND FILLED (note a)EISCAL XEARS 1966 TO 1973
Positions budgeted
Fiscal at beginning Positions filled at
year of fiscal year ..... end of figcal year
Total positions for programs ..... 1966
70 ..... 76
authorized by the Solid Waste ..... 1967
177 ..... 180
Disposal Act, as amended
187186
1969 206 ..... 200
1970 206 ..... 205
1971 206 ..... 206
1972 212 ..... 200
1973 225 ..... 283
Positions assigned to the ..... 1971
demonstration program authorized ..... 1972
16 ..... 1.6
by section 208 of the act ..... 1973
16 ..... 16
Sistimates provided by agency officials.

Office/Function
Immediate Office of the
Administrator
Office of Legislation
Office of International
Affairs
Office of Civil Rights
and Urban Affairs
Office of Public Affairs
Office of Federal Activities
Planning and Management
Regional Management
Agency and Regional Support
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