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## Dear Madam Chairman:

This report responds to your request that we examine the comparability of attrition and retention rates for men and women in the four military services. Because of your Subcommittee's interest in issues concerning women in the military, you asked us to

- compare attrition rates for men and women in officer and enlisted grades,
- identify the primary separation categories for enlisted men and women during their first enlistment term, and
- compare retention rates for men and women in officer and enlisted grades.

In this report, we use "attrition" to refer to the voluntary and involuntary loss of military personnel prior to completion of the first term of enlistment or obligated duty. "Retention" refers to the voluntary continuation in military service after completing the initial obligation.

Our review covered fiscal years 1980 through 1988 and included active duty personnel only. For enlisted attrition, we focused on the major enlistment terms in each service: the 3 - and 4 -year terms in the Army, and the 4 -year term in the Air Force, Navy, and Marine Corps.

Since the inception of the All Volunteer Force in 1973, the number of women in the military has increased from 2.5 percent of total forces in 1973 to 10.4 percent in 1988. Table 1 shows this growth by service.

Table 1: Number and Percent of Women in the Services (Fiscal Years 1973 and 1988)

| Service | Fiecal year 1973 |  | Fiscal year 1988 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of women | Percentage of force | Number of women | Percentage of force |
| Air Force | 19,750 | 29 | 73.880 | 129 |
| Navy | 12,628 | 2.3 | 54896 | 94 |
| Marine Corps | 2.288 | 1.2 | 9.612 | 49 |
| Army | 20,736 | 2.6 | 83.261 | 109 |
| Total | 55,402 | 2.5 | 221,649 | 10.4 |

As more women entered the military, officials expressed a concern about higher separation rates for women than men.

Several government and nongovernment studies have addressed attrition and retention issues, and some have focused on women. These studies generally examined one aspect of attrition or retention, and frequently focused on one service. The studies also generally worked with data from the 1970s and early 1980s.

For example, a 1984 study sponsored by the U.S. Army examined the impact of occupational specialties and gender on first-tour enlisted attrition in the Army. The study sample group entered the Army in fiscal year 1976. A recent cross-service study by the RAND Corporation issued in August 1988 examined attrition among high quality enlisted personnel.

## Results in Brief

## Enlisted Attrition

Women generally leave the service at higher rates than men. The overall attrition loss for enlisted women was 48.6 percent, or 4.5 percentage points higher than the 44.1 percent rate for men. However, the basic pattern of attrition losses for both men and women was similar. That is, most first term losses for both men and women occurred in the first 3 months when recruits generally have basic training, and in the last 3 to 6 months when people may leave the service early for reasons such as school attendance.

In the intervening periods of the enlistment term, measured in 3-month intervals, both men and women left the services at relatively steady rates, ranging primarily from 1 to 4 percent of the total group that
entered the service in a given fiscal year. Women's rates tended to be slightly higher than men's.

Enlisted Separation Categories

Before completing their enlistment contract, enlistees may leave the service at their own request or they can be involuntarily separated from the service. Attrition losses during the first 6 months, for both men and women, were primarily for [inadequate] entry level performance. During the last 6 months, separations for both men and women were primarily for successful completion of the enlistment term, although many separations were early releases.

For each 6-month period in between, men were primarily separated involuntarily for misconduct, unsatisfactory performance, and/or drugs or alcohol abuse, while women left primarily at their own request because of pregnancy. When we removed women's voluntary separations for pregnancy from the data, men's attrition was generally higher than women's, although the differences were slight.

To review officer attrition, we looked at separations occurring during the first 3 years of service. Officer losses for each service in that period seldom exceeded 12 percent for men or women. For the four services together, women officer loss rates were less than 2 percentage points higher than men's. For each service separately, the differences in rates were greater. Except in the Navy, women's loss rates generally exceeded men's.

## Enlisted Retention

## Officer Attrition

Although enlisted retention is voluntary, the services determine whether a person will be allowed to reenlist based on such factors as professional growth and physical fitness. Except for the Army, men tended to have higher eligibility rates than women after the first term. while women had higher eligibility rates after the third enlistment. Retention of eligible women after the second term, and after third or subsequent terms (career), was generally lower than for men. The greatest differences in eligibility and retention rates occurred after the third enlistment.

## Officer Retention

After completing their initial obligation, officers may remain in the service until they resign or fail to get promoted after being considered a second time. The greatest differences in retention rates for men and
women officers appeared after 3,4 , and 20 years of service. For the services combined, men's retention rates after 3 years ranged from 7.9 to 9.9 percentage points higher than women's. After 4 years they were from 3.9 to 4.4 percentage points higher. After 20 years of service, however, women's retention was higher by 3 to 9 percentage points.

Observations on Attrition and Retention Rates

The attrition and retention rate differences between men and women can be characterized in ways that could potentially exaggerate their significance. For example, in fiscal year 1986, 5.4 percent of the 46,784 men and 8.0 percent of the 10,994 women who enlisted in the Air Force left the military in the first 2 months of service. While the actual difference in attrition rates was 2.6 percentage points, representing about 884 women, women's attrition could be characterized as being 48 percent higher than men's.

Presenting the rate differences in this way, however, tends to be misleading because it does not provide sufficient information for assessing the importance of the rate difference. To effectively assess rate differences, it is important to know the actual percentage point spread, the number of people affected, and the issue concerned.

> Appendixes I and II present a detailed discussion of the results of our review of attrition and retention rates. Appendixes III and IV contain the full set of attrition and retention rates and tables for each service, including data on the number of people involved in the statistical computations, except for officer retention data because of their complexity and volume. This data will enable analysis of the significance of attrition and retention of men and women in the context of specific issues.

> The Department of Defense reviewed a draft of this report and fully concurred with it.

> As arranged with your office, unless you publicly announce its contents earlier, we plan no further distribution of this report until 7 days from its issue date. At that time, we will send copies to the Chairmen, Senate Committee on Armed Services, House and Senate Committees on Appropriations, House Committee on Government Operations, and Senate Committee on Governmental Affairs; the Director, Office of Management and Budget; and the Secretaries of Defense, the Air Force, Army, and Navy; and other interested parties. We will also make copies available to others upon request.

If you or your staff have any questions, please call me on (202) 2753990. Major contributors are listed in appendix VI

Sincerely yours,


Paul L. Jones
Director, Defense Force Management Issues
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# Comparison of Attrition Rates of Men and Women in the Military 


#### Abstract

Women generally left the service at higher rates than men. Enlisted women's total attrition exceeded men's, but the pattern of separation from the service during the enlistment term was similar for men and women. The primary difference in enlisted attrition throughout the enlistment term appears during the first 3 months of service, when most separations for basic training occur.

After the first 6 months of service, men were separated primarily involuntarily for misconduct, unsatisfactory performance, and drugs or alcohol abuse, while women left primarily for pregnancy at their own request. Voluntary separations for pregnancy were a major contributor to higher female attrition between the first and last 6 months of service. Women officers generally separated at higher rates than men.


## Enlisted Attrition

We reviewed attrition rates for enlisted men and women (1) at regularly spaced intervals of 3 months during the entire enlistment term to observe attrition patterns through an entire enlistment period and (2) for total enlistment terms and terms minus the last 6 months to determine overall attrition. We reviewed this data for enlistees entering the service during fiscal years 1980 through 1984 for 4 -year enlistments and through 1985 for the Army's 3-year enlistments.

Attrition Patterns Similar

Attrition patterns for men and women were similar throughout the duration of their first enlistment. Most attrition for both men and women occurred (1) in the first 3 months of service, when basic training occurs, and (2) in the last 3 to 6 months of service, during which enlistees may leave the service early and still successfully complete their enlistment.

From the fourth month of service until 42 months of service, there was a steady loss of both men and women at similar rates ranging generally from 1 to 3 percent of the entering group each 3 -month period. For Army 3-year enlistments, that steady loss rate was slightly higher, generally ranging from 1.3 to 4 percent. This pattern was consistent for all fiscal years reviewed.

The primary difference in attrition rates for men and women during the enlistment term appears in the first 3 months of service. Differences in the last 3 to 6 months of an enlistment appear attributable to separations for successful completion of enlistment rather than to attrition.

Figures I. 1 through I. 7 show the 3 -month pattern of men's and women's attrition for enlistees entering the military in fiscal year 1984, and fiscal year 1985 for the Army's 3 year enlistment. Table I. 1 presents the percentage point differences in men's and women's attrition during the 3 month intervals. Tables on attrition rates for each service for fiscal years 1980 through 1984 (through 1985 for the Army 3-year enlistment only) appear in appendix III in tables III. 2 through III.7. Table I. 2 shows how the percentage differences in attrition for men and women during the first 3 months of an enlistment varied by service.

Figure I.1: Enlisted Attrition for the Services Combined at 3-Month Intervals (Fiscal Year 1984 Enlistees-4-Year Enlistment)
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Figure I.2: Air Force Enlisted Attrition at 3-Month Intervals (Fiscal Year 1984 Enlistees-4-Year Enlistment)


Figure 1.3: Navy Enlisted Attrition at 3-Month Intervals (Fiscal Year 1984 Enlistees-4-Year Enlistment)
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Figure 1.4: Marine Corps Enlisted Attrition at 3-Month Intervals (Fiscal Year 1984 Enlistees-4-Year Enlistment)


Figure 1.5: Army Enitisted Attrition at 3-Month Intervale (Fiscal Year 1984 Enlistees-4.Year Enlistment)


## Appendix I
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Figure I.6: Army Enlisted Atrition at 3Month Intervals (Fiscal Year 1984 Enlistees-3-Year Enlistment)


Figure 1.7: Army Enlisted Attrition at 3-
Month Intervals (Fiscal Year 1985
Enlistees-3-Year Enlistment)


Table I.1: Percentrge Point Differences in Attrition Rates of Enllited Men and Women (Fiscal Year 1984 Enlistees-4-Year Enlistment)

| Months | Alr <br> Force | Navy | Marine <br> Corps | Army | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 2.7 | 1.3 | 5.0 | 6.3 | $\mathbf{3 . 0}$ |
| 6 | -0.4 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 2.7 | $\mathbf{0 . 8}$ |
| 9 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 1.6 | $\mathbf{0 . 6}$ |
| 12 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 1.4 | 1.3 | $\mathbf{0 . 6}$ |
| 15 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 1.9 | 0.5 | $\mathbf{0 . 6}$ |
| 18 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 1.6 | 1.1 | $\mathbf{0 . 7}$ |
| 21 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.4 | $\mathbf{0 . 2}$ |
| 24 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.2 | $\mathbf{0 . 4}$ |
| 27 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 | -0.1 | $\mathbf{0 . 1}$ |
| 30 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.1 | $\mathbf{0 . 3}$ |
| 33 | 0.5 | 0.1 | -0.2 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{0 . 2}$ |
| 36 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 0.5 | $\mathbf{0 . 4}$ |
| 39 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.6 | $\mathbf{0 . 4}$ |
| 42 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.1 | $\mathbf{0 . 3}$ |
| 45 | -1.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | -0.3 | $\mathbf{0 . 3}$ |
| 48 | -1.5 | $\mathbf{- 2 . 0}$ | -5.9 | -9.0 | $\mathbf{- 4 . 7}$ |

Note: Men's rates were subtracted from women's rates. Therefore, a positive difference indicates a higher women's rate, while a negative difference indicates a higher men's rate.

Table 1.2: Differences in Men's and Women's Attrition Ouring First 3 Months of Service

Figures in percent

|  | Fiscal year |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Service | $\mathbf{1 9 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 5}$ |
| (4-Year enlistment term) | 3.4 | 2.2 | 1.7 | 14 | 2.7 |  |
| Air Force | 2.2 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 2.3 | 13 |  |
| Navy | 3.5 | -1.5 | -8 | 2 | 5.0 |  |
| Marine Corps | 5.5 | 6.1 | 3.5 | 4.2 | 6.3 |  |
| Army | $\mathbf{3 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 0}$ |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |

(3-Year enlistment term)

| Army | 3.9 | 6.2 | 4.6 | 4.0 | 4.7 | 2.9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

afiscal year 1985 applies only to the Army's 3 -year enlistment.
Note: Men's rates were subtracted from women's rates. Therefore, a positive number indicates a higher women's rate, while a negative number indicates a higher men's rate.

Army and Marine Corps Have Greatest Differences

Total loss rates for the first enlistment term generally were noticeably higher for women than men. The greatest differences in men's and women's rates overall occurred in the Marine Corps and in the 4-year enlistment term in the Army. Figures I. 8 through I. 13 show men's and women's separation rates 1 week short of the full calendar length of the enlistment term for each service, to show losses which occurred in less calendar time than the full 3 - or 4 - year commitment (see appendix III, table III.8, for actual rates). Table I. 3 presents the differences in those rates. Data on separation categories indicate that separations occurring during the last 6 months of an enlistment were primarily for successful completion of the term. For example, an Air Force enlistee may be released up to 90 calendar days early to enter or return to school, or the enlistee may be released as much as a month early if the original release date occurs near Christmas.

Figure 1.8: Enlisted Attrition for the
Services Combined (Enlistees for Fiscal
Years 1980 Through 1984-4-Year
Enlistment)
55 55
50


The length of time used is one week less (207 weeks) than the full duration of a four year term of enlistment ( 203 weeks).

Some seperations cocurring, perticularty during the last six months of an enlistment, are "early rebeee" and end of term of service discharges.
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Figure 1.9: Air Force Enlisted Attrition (Enlistees for Fiscal Years 1980 Through 1984-4-Year Enlistment)


The tencin of the used is one week less (207 weoks) than the full duration of a four year term of onlibenvert (200 meaks).

Some seperations cocuring, pertioulaty duing the lat eix monthe of en enlisement, are "earty revene" and end of term of sonvoe dicherges.
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Figure 1.10: Navy Enlisted Attrition
(Enlistees for Fiscal Years 1980 Through 1984-4.Year Enlistment)


The length of time used is one week less (207 weeks) then the full duration of a four year term of enliatinemt (208 meaks).

Sorve eeperations occurring, pertioulaty during the last six months of en enlistment, are "early releese" and end of term of service diacherges.

Figure I.11: Marine Corps Enlisted Attrition (Enlistees for Fiscal Years 1980 Through 1984-4-Year Enlistment)


The longth of time used is one week less (207 weeks) then the full duration of a four year torm of enlistrnert (2008 meoks).

Some seperations ccouring, particularty during the hat six months of an enlistment, are "earty reliese" and end of trm of envice diecturges.

Figure I.12: Army Enlisted Attrition (Enlistees for Fiscal Years 1980 Through 1984-4-Year Enlistment)


The lengit of time used is one weok less (207 weaks) than the full duration of a four year torm of onlisimeint (208 weens).

Some seperations ocouring, perticulety during the last six months of an enlistment, are "early releeve" and end of Eerm of eorvice decharges.

Figure 1.13: Army Enlisted Attrition (Enlistees for Fiscal Years 1980 Through 1985-3-Year Enlistment)


The length of time used ic one week less ( 155 weeks) than the full duration of a three year term of enivistrient (158 weoks).

Some seperations ocouring, perticuterty during the lact six monthe of en enfisiment, are "early rebeece" and end of serm of arvice diecharges.

To minimize the impact of early releases on attrition rates, we examined attrition rates without the last 6 months of an enlistment. The result was a general increase in the extent to which women's attrition exceeded men's, except for the Army's 4-year term. Figures I. 14 through I. 19 show men's and women's attrition minus the last 6 months of the term. Table I. 4 presents the percentage point differences in the rates for men and women (see appendix III, table III.9, for actual rates).

Figure 1.14: Entisted Attrition for the Services Combined for 4-Year Terms Minus the Last 6 Months (Enlistees for Fiscal Years 1980 Through 1984)


Figure I.15: Air Force Enliated Attrition for the 4-Year Term Minus the Last 6 Months (Enlistees for Fiscal Years 1980 Through 1984)


Figure I.16: Navy Enlisted Attrition for the 4-Year Term Minus the Last 6 Months (Enlistees for Fiscal Years 1980 Through 1984)
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Figure 1.17: Marine Corps Enlisted Attrition for the 4-Year Term Minus the Last 6 Months (Enlistees for Fiscal Years 1980 Through 1984)


Figure l.18: Army Enlisted Attrition for the 4-Year Term Minus the Last 6 Months (Enlistees for Fiscal Years 1980 Through
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Figure l.19: Army Enlisted Attrition for the 3-Year Torm Minus the Last 6 Months (Enlistees for Fiscal Years 1980 Through 1985)


Table 1.3: Difterences in Torm Attrition Rates of Enlloted Men and Women (Fiscal Year Enlistees)

| Fiscal year | 4-Yerr term |  |  |  |  | 3-Year term |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Alf } \\ \text { Force } \end{array}$ | Navy | Merine Corps | Army | Total | Army |
| 1980 | 6.8 | 4.4 | 8.8 | 15.2 | 7.7 | 4.6 |
| 1981 | 6.9 | -0.5 | 11.6 | 15.0 | 5.6 | 10.3 |
| 1982 | 3.3 | -0.2 | 6.0 | 12.6 | 3.4 | 7.1 |
| 1983 | 4.9 | 2.2 | 9.5 | 15.1 | 6.9 | 0.2 |
| 1984 | 4.7 | 2.1 | 9.1 | 14.6 | 5.8 | 2.6 |
| 1985 | 2 | 2 | a | 2 | - | 2.3 |

${ }^{2}$ Not applicable
Note: Men's rates were subtracted from women's rates. Therefore, a positive number indicates a higher women's rate, while a negative number indicates a higher men's rate. Also, rates are for separations occurring up to 1 week short of the enlistment term

Table I.4: Differences in Attrition Rates of Enliated Men and Women for Enlistment Term Minus the Last 6 Months (Fiscal Year Enlistees)

| Fiscal year | 4-Vear term |  |  |  |  | 3-Year term |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Air } \\ & \text { Force } \end{aligned}$ | Navy | Marine Corps | Army | Total | Army |
| 1980 | 8.0 | 6.8 | 13.2 | 14.6 | 9.6 | 73 |
| 1981 | 5.6 | 0.1 | 13.6 | 14.5 | 5.7 | 12.2 |
| 1982 | 4.0 | 0.6 | 12.7 | 12.4 | 5.0 | 81 |
| 1983 | 6.1 | 3.5 | 13.2 | 14.7 | 7.3 | 77 |
| 1984 | 7.2 | 3.9 | 14.8 | 15.3 | 8.6 | 79 |
| 1985 | a | a | a | a | - | 6.6 |

${ }^{a}$ Not applicable
Note: Men's rates were subtracted from women's rates. Therefore, a positive number indicates a higher women's rate, while a negative number indicates a higher men's rate.

Categories of Enlisted Separations

Men's Separations Primarily for Misconduct, Women's for Pregnancy

Enlisted personnel can be separated from the military prior to completion of the full enlistment term. Enlistees may voluntarily request to leave for reasons such as pregnancy, sole surviving son or daughter, accepting public office, and conscientious objection. Enlistees may be separated involuntarily for reasons such as defective or fraudulent enlistment, entry level performance, unsatisfactory performance, misconduct, and drug or alcohol abuse.

During the first 6 months of service, men and women enlistees separated primarily for inadequate entry level performance. During the last 6 months of a term, men and women separated primarily for successful completions of the enlistment term. Between the first and last 6 months, men were separated primarily involuntarily for misconduct, unsatisfactory performance, and/or drugs or alcohol abuse, while women left primarily for pregnancy at their own request. Removing pregnancy departures from separation data causes men generally to have a slightly higher separation rate than women between the first and last 6 months of an enlistment.

We reviewed enlisted separations through the standardized categorization of interservice separation codes used by the Defense Manpower Data Center. ${ }^{1}$ We reviewed separations of enlistees who entered in the same fiscal year (for fiscal years 1982, 1983, and 1984) at 6-month intervals during the enlistment. A detailed listing of the categories used for analysis appears in appendix III, table III. 15.

[^0]For the services combined, attrition losses during the first 6 months of service for both men and women were primarily for inadequate entry level performance. In addition, major separation categories for both men and women included disability, fraudulent entry, erroneous enlistment, and prior medical conditions. Table I. 5 shows the primary separation categories for fiscal year 1984. The pattern was similar for fiscal years 1982 and 1983.

Each service's major separation categories for the first 6 months were similar to these for each fiscal year, except for fiscal year 1982 entrants in the Navy. The Navy's separations for fiscal year 1982 entrants were primarily for unsatisfactory performance, erroneous enlistment, and personality or behavior problems for men; for women, the categories were personality or behavior problems, disability, and erroneous enlistment. The negligible portion of those Navy separations for entry level performance may reflect a different application of separation categories.

[^1]| Category of separations | Porcentage of separations |
| :--- | ---: |
| Men |  |
| Entry level performance | 42.97 |
| Disability | 12.67 |
| Fraudulent entry | 10.42 |
| Erroneous enlistment | 10.42 |
| Prior medical condition | 6.68 |
| Total | $\mathbf{8 3 . 1 6}$ |
| Women |  |
| Entry level performance | 51.02 |
| Disability | 17.34 |
| Erroneous enlistment | 7.69 |
| Prior medical condition | 5.15 |
| Fraudulent entry | $\mathbf{4 . 6 4}$ |
| Total | 85.84 |

For each 6-month period during the remainder of the enlistment term, except the last one, men primarily separated involuntarily for misconduct and drugs or alcoholism, while women left primarily at their own request due to pregnancy. This pattern held for the 4 -year enlistment term in the Air Force, Navy and Marine Corps. For both the 3- and 4-
year enlistment terms in the Army, the primary separation categories for men were unsatisfactory performance and drugs or alcohol abuse.

Misconduct as used in this analysis included involuntary separation for commission of a serious offense, pattern of minor disciplinary infractions, shirking, civilian or military discreditable incidents, absent without leave or desertion, and misconduct for reason unknown. Separations involving legal actions, which some may consider misconduct, were a separate group in this analysis; that group included civil court convictions, court martials, and separations for the good of the service in lieu of a court martial.

Tables I. 6 and I. 7 show the proportion of separations for misconduct in the Air Force, Navy, and Marine Corps, and the proportion of separations for unsatisfactory performance and drugs or alcohol in the Army, during 6-month periods for enlistees entering the service in fiscal year 1984. The pattern was similar for entrants during fiscal years 1982 and 1983 (see appendix III, tables III. 10 and III.11, for all fiscal years).

Table 1.6: Misconduct as a Percentage of Totel Men's Seperations During 6-Month Intervals of Enlibtument Torm (Fiscal Year 1984 Enlistees-4-Year Enlistment)

| Monthe | Air <br> Force | Navy | Marine <br> Corps | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ includes Army 4-year enlistment.

Table 1.7: Unsatisfactory Performance and Drugs or Alcoholism as a Percentage of Total Men's Separations in the Army During 6-Month Intervals of the Enlistment Term

| Monthe | 4-Year term |  | 3-Year term |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1984 |  | 1984 |  | 1985 |  |
|  | Unsatisfactory Performance | Druge or Alcohol | Uneatisfactory Performance | Drugs or Alcohol | Unsatisfactory Performance | Drugs or Alcohol |
| 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 02 |
| $7 \cdot 12$ | 30.3 | 6.5 | 31.8 | 6.9 | 215 | 92 |
| 13.18 | 20.8 | 20.1 | 26.4 | 17.9 | 190 | 19.3 |
| 19.24 | 15.5 | 25.5 | 18.4 | 26.6 | 15.5 | 195 |
| 25-30 | 13.8 | 25.4 | 15.2 | 22.1 | 14.3 | 184 |
| 31.36 | 9.6 | 18.6 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 16 |
| 37-42 | 8.3 | 17.7 | a | a | ${ }^{2}$ | ${ }^{3}$ |
| 43-48 | 0.5 | 0.9 | a | a | a | ${ }^{3}$ |
| Total ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 5.7 | 6.7 |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{\text {aN Not applicable }}$
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Total available for 4 -year entistment only.
If a woman is pregnant when she enters the service, she can be involuntarily discharged. Subsequent separations for pregnancy are voluntary and can occur during the entire enlistment term.

Table $I .8$ shows the proportion of separations for pregnancy during the 6 -month intervals reviewed for enlistees entering the service during fiscal year 1984. The pattern was similar for fiscal years 1982 and 1983 (see appendix III, table III.12, for all fiscal years).

Table 1.e: Pregnancy as a Percentage of Total Women's soperations During 8 Month Imtervals of Entiotment Torm (Fiscal Year 1984 Enlistees)

| Monthe | 4-Year term |  |  |  |  | 3-Year term |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Alr } \\ \text { Force } \end{gathered}$ | Navy | $\begin{gathered} \text { Marnve } \\ \text { Corps } \end{gathered}$ | Army | Total | Army |
| 0.6 | 6.5 | 2.8 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 3.5 | 1.2 |
| $7 \cdot 12$ | 42.6 | 45.7 | 52.2 | 36.3 | 41.3 | 38.0 |
| 13.18 | 35.5 | 57.0 | 51.2 | 43.5 | 45.6 | 46.8 |
| 19-24 | 36.2 | 51.9 | 48.9 | 41.7 | 43.5 | 41.9 |
| 25-30 | 33.5 | 45.2 | 47.4 | 36.3 | 38.7 | 42.1 |
| 31.36 | 37.2 | 51.4 | 37.1 | 34.7 | 40.3 | 2.8 |
| 37.42 | 19.3 | 47.4 | 41.7 | 29.0 | 28.9 |  |
| 43-48 | 2.7 | 3.8 | 2.6 | 2.1 | 2.9 |  |
| Total | 15.6 | 21.1 | 18.7 | 16.1 | 17.6 | - |

[^2]The proportion of women's separations due to pregnancy is greatest after the first 6 months and before the last 6 months of the initial term. The low proportion of separations due to pregnancy during the first 6 months of enlistment may be accounted for by the extent of higher losses of both men and women during basic training or the first 3 months of service. The low proportion of pregnancy separations during the last 6 months may reflect the impact of early releases and end of term separations on the separation categories. This pattern was similar for fiscal years 1982 and 1983.

Women's voluntary separation for pregnancy is a major contributor to the difference in attrition for men and women between the first and last 6 months of the initial term. Separation categories for men were dominated by involuntary causes. When women's voluntary separations for pregnancy are removed from the data, men generally separated at higher rates than women, though the differences were nominal. The impact of voluntary discharges for pregnancy on the difference in attrition rates for men and women entering the service for 4 years in fiscal year 1984 appears in table I. 9 (see appendix III, table III.13, for all fiscal years).

[^3]| Monthe | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Alr } \\ \text { Force } \end{array}$ | Navy | Marine Corps | Army | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.6 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 4.8 | 9.8 | 3.6 |
| 7-12 | -0.3 | -1.1 | -0.4 | 0.2 | -0.5 |
| 13-18 | -0.7 | -2.0 | 0.2 | -0.9 | -1.0 |
| 19-24 | -0.8 | -1.5 | -1.0 | -1.7 | -1.2 |
| 25-30 | -0.5 | -1.1 | -1.1 | -1.2 | -0.9 |
| 31.36 | -0.4 | -1.0 | -0.4 | -0.7 | -0.7 |
| $37-42$ | -0.5 | -1.0 | -0.7 | -0.5 | -0.5 |
| 43-48 | -5.6 | -4.8 | -15.3 | -15.2 | -10.2 |
| $0-42$ | -1.4 | -6.5 | 1.3 | 5.0 | -1.3 |
| 0-48 | -7.0 | -11.3 | -13.9 | -10.3 | -11.4 |

Note: Men's rates were subtracted from women's rates. Therefore, a positive number indicates a higher women's rate while a negative number indicates a higher men's rate.

## Officer Attrition

Officers served an initial tour of obligated duty lasting $3,4,5$ or 6 years during the 1980s. Generally, academy graduates were obligated for 5 years, pilots and navigators for 6 years, while Reserve Officer Training Corps graduates and officer candidate school graduates were obligated for either 3 or 4 years.

## Women Officers Generally Separated at Higher Rates

We reviewed Defense Manpower Data Center data on the separation rates of men and women who became officers during fiscal years 1981 through 1985. We looked at persons separating with up to 3 years of service. Some separations during this time frame, however, may be for completion of a successful tour of duty.

Loss rates for officers entering in fiscal years 1981 through 1985 for the first 3 years of service generally declined. Men and women entering officer ranks in fiscal years 1983 through 1985 separated at less than 10 percent, except for Army and Marine Corps women entering in fiscal year 1983.

Except for the Navy, women generally had higher loss rates, though the differences in separation rates for men and women were less than 2 percentage points for the services combined, and less than 5 percentage points for each service except the Marine Corps. Loss rates for men and women and the differences are shown in table I. 10 .

Table 1.10: Officer Separation Rates for First 3 Yeere of Service (Fiscal Years 1981 Through 1985)

| Air Force | Fiscal year |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1981 | 1982 | 1883 | 1984 | 1985 |
| Men | 9.1 | 9.6 | 7.5 | 5.3 | 5.5 |
| Women | 9.4 | 10.1 | 7.5 | 7.3 | 8.7 |
| Difference ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0 | 2.0 | 3.2 |
| Navy |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 11.5 | 10.3 | 9.0 | 7.2 | 5.9 |
| Women | 8.2 | 6.9 | 6.3 | 6.2 | 6.4 |
| Difference ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | -3.3 | -3.4 | -2.7 | -1.0 | 0.5 |
| Martne Corps |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 4.8 | 6.1 | 4.4 | 3.7 | 3.7 |
| Women | 7.9 | 14.0 | 16.0 | 13 | 9.2 |
| Difference ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 3.1 | 7.9 | 11.6 | -2.4 | 5.5 |
| Army |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 11.3 | 8.6 | 8.8 | 40 | 23 |
| Women | 12.3 | 12.8 | 11.8 | 5.5 | 2.9 |
| Difference ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.0 | 4.2 | 3.0 | 1.5 | 06 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 10.3 | 9.1 | 8.1 | 5.1 | $4=$ |
| Women | 10.2 | 10.5 | 9.0 | 6.3 | 6 |
| Difference ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | -0.1 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 17 |

${ }^{\text {a Men's rates were subtracted from women's rates. Therefore, a positive number indicates a higher }}$ women's rate, while a negative number indicates a higher men's rate

# Comparison of Retention Rates of Men and Women in the Military 


#### Abstract

Retention is the voluntary continuation of service by enlisted personnel and officers who successfully complete their initial obligation. Enlisted personnel sign contracts for a specified period each time they reenlist. Officers have an initial service obligation ranging generally from 3 to 6 years. Officers who continue in service after that may serve until the second time they are considered for, but do not receive, promotion. Military personnel may retire after 20 years of service.

All personnel who successfully complete their contractual obligation may not be allowed to remain in the military. The services evaluate enlisted personnel for their eligibility to remain, and only those eligible may stay. For officers, evaluation for promotion generally serves as the screening process for eligibility to remain in the service.


## Enlisted Retention

Retention rates based on how many eligible persons reenlist are only part of retention. First, the services screen the men and women completing an enlistment to determine how many are eligible to reenlist. There are differences in eligibility rates for men and women as well as differences in the reenlistment rates of eligible persons.

Eligibility Is Part of Retention

The services use similar criteria to evaluate the eligibility of enlisted personnel for retention, though there are some service-specific requirements. Generally, individuals must

- meet professional growth standards requiring progression through the pay grades; for example, a person with more than 8 years of service but below the grade of $\mathrm{E}-4$ would not be eligible to reenlist;
- meet medical and physical fitness standards, including ones for weight and appearance; and
- meet standards relating to criminal convictions and incarceration; for example, enlistees with court martial convictions are not eligible to reenlist.

However, the services may waive some restrictions. Differences in service policies and procedures for identifying eligibility could also affect the eligibility rates of men and women. For example, consideration of vacancy needs in selected job categories could affect the number of men and women who are determined eligible. For example, major shortages in combat rather than support assignments can provide a greater need for men than women, because women are prohibited from combat assignments.


#### Abstract

For fiscal years 1984 through 1988, we reviewed (1) the rates at which men and women were eligible to reenlist, (2) reenlistments as a percentage of those eligible to reenlist, and (3) reenlistments as a percentage of the total number of persons separating with successful term completions. We reviewed the eligibility and retention data for three groups: persons completing the first enlistment, persons completing a second enlistment, and persons completing three or more (career) enlistments.

We determined the rates using data on end of term of service separations, those eligible to reenlist, and those persons who did reenlist. We obtained this data from the services through a special request from the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Force Management and Personnel.

We did not review service programs or policies which might contribute to differences in retainability and retention in the services.


## Few Cross-Service Patterns

The data showed some similarities across the services. First, men completing their first term generally had higher eligibility rates after fiscal year 1984, except in the Army where women had consistently higher eligibility. For the services combined, first term eligibility rates were higher for women in 1984 and 1985, but subsequently were higher for men. Second, reenlistment of women completing a second term was generally lower than for men, as a percentage of both eligible persons and total separations.

Third, while career eligibility rates and reenlistment as a percentage of total separations were generally higher for women than men, the reenlistment rate of eligible persons was consistently lower for women, except in the Marine Corps. Also, the greatest magnitude of differences in rates for men and women usually occurred at the career level.

Differences Vary for First Term

After 1984 (1985 for the services combined) men generally had higher eligibility rates than women, except in the Army, where women consistently had higher eligibility rates. Men's eligibility rates were greater by a range of 1.6 percentage points in the Navy to 16.9 points in the Air Force.

Women had consistently higher retention rates in the services combined, the Army, and the Marine Corps as a percentage of eligible persons reenlisting and of total separations. The rates for women were higher by .6 to 6.9 percentage points for the services combined, 5.7 to 11.8 points for the Army, and 1.5 to 16.4 points for the Marine Corps. The one exception was in fiscal year 1986, for the services combined, when the retention of men as a percentage of total separations was higher by 1.4 points.

Navy women had lower retention, with one exception, and with differences ranging from 3 percentage points to 6.2 points less. In the Air Force, women had higher rates some years and men others.

## Lower Retention of Women for Second Term

Differences in eligibility rates for men and women completing their second term frequently reversed over the years. However, women generally had lower retention than men as a percentage of both eligible people reenlisting and of total separations. The exception was the Marine Corps, where differences in men's and women's rates were less than in the other services and reversed during the years reviewed. The gap in rates was generally closing toward 1988, except in the Navy and Marine Corps.

## Greatest Differences in Career Level Rates

Among those completing three or more enlistments, women's eligibility for reenlistment was higher than men's by more than 10 percentage points, except in the Marine Corps and in fiscal year 1986 in the Air Force. Retention of women as a proportion of those eligible to reenlist, however, was generally lower than men's, often by as much as 5 to 10 percentage points in the Air Force, Army, and the services combined.

Women's reenlistment rates as a percentage of total separations generally exceeded men's by 8 to 19 percentage points. Exceptions were (1) the Marine Corps, where men's rates exceeded women's in 2 years and the higher women's rate in 1988 was 4.2 percentage points greater, and (2) the first 3 years for the Air Force, when women's rates ranged from 1.6 to 7.7 percentage points higher.

Figures II. 1 through II. 9 present eligibility and reenlistment rates for first term, second term and career reenlistments for the services combined. Figures IV. 1 through IV.36, in appendix IV, present similar graphs for the four services. Tables IV. 1 through IV. 5 in appendix IV present the number and percentages of first, second, and career term
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eligibility and retention, and the percentage point differences in rates for men and women.

Figure II.1: Eligibility for Reenliatment for the Servicea Combined - Firat Term
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Figure II.3: Reenlistments as a Percentage of Total Separations for the Services Combined - First Term


Figure II.4: Elloiblity for Reenlistment for the Services Combined - Second Term
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Figure 11.5: Reenlistment of Eligible Persons for the Services Combined Second Term


Figure II.6: Reenliatments as a Percentage of Total Separations for the Services Combined - Second Term
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Figure II.7: Eligiblity for Reanliatment for the Services Combined - Career
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Figure II.8: Reenlistment of Eligible Persons for the Services Combined Career


Figure II.9: Reenlistments as a Percentage of Total Separations for the Services Combined - Career


## Officer Retention

Officers first commissioned in the 1980s were generally obligated to serve $3,4,5$, or 6 years. After completing the initial obligation, officers could serve until they resigned or were not promoted after being considered a second time.

We reviewed officer retention for the services combined and separately, except for the Marine Corps. We did not review retention among Marine Corps officers separately because the number of women officers was too small to provide meaningful information. However, data for the services combined include data for Marine Corps officers.

To determine retention rates, we obtained information from the Defense Manpower Data Center on (1) the number of men and women officers as of the end of the fiscal year and (2) the number of men and women who left the service since October 1 of the fiscal year. We assumed that the total number of officers who had completed the same number of years of service as of September, plus the number lost during the fiscal year with the same number of years of service when they left, would have


#### Abstract

been the total number of officers for the fiscal year and years in service reviewed.

We determined retention rates for lengths of service in 1-year intervals. For example, for fiscal year 1988, we reviewed how many officers with from 3 to 21 or more years of service remained in the military for that fiscal year. Because of the varying lengths of initial obligated service, some of the retention among officers with 3 to 6 years of service includes officers who remained to complete their initial commitment. Retention rates for those with 20 years or more of service include retirement losses.


## Greatest Differences in Rates Appear After 3 and After 20 Years of Service

Retention for both men and women generally increased the longer they remained in the military, until the 19 th and 20th years in service. The greatest differences in retention of men and women generally occurred among those who had been in the military for 3 years and for 20 years. A secondary point of noticeable rate differences occurred after 4 years.

For the services combined, men had higher retention after 3 years, by 7.9 to 9.9 percentage points, and after 4 years by 3.9 to 4.4 percentage points. Women, however, had higher retention after 20 years, by a range of 3 to 9 percentage points. This aggregation, however, masks withinservice patterns.

In the Air Force, men had higher retention after 3, 4 and 20 years of service. Differences ranged from 11 to 13.2 percentage points after 3 years, 4.2 to 9 percentage points after 4 years, and 11.8 to 27.6 percentage points after 20 years.

In the Navy, men had higher retention after 3 and 20 years, but not consistently after 4 years. After 3 years, men's retention was 4.5 to 11.2 percentage points higher then women's, and after 20 years it was 7.1 to 19.4 percentage points higher. Unlike the other two services, Navy women tended to have higher retention between years 5 and 19 more often than men, though the differences were generally nominal.

In the Army, the greatest differences in retention rates occurred after 3 years when men's retention was higher than women's by 5.5 to 9.4 percentage points. After 4 years of service, men's rates were higher, but the difference generally decreased from a high of 4.7 in 1984 to a low of .7 in 1988. After 20 years, men had higher retention for 4 of the 5 fiscal
years reviewed, with differences ranging from a high of 7.9 in 1986 to 1 and 1.65 percentage points in fiscal years 1987 and 1988, respectively.

For officers with 5 to 19 years of service, higher retention varied between men and women in each of the services. The differences in rates were generally less than 2 percentage points, however, with over half less than 1.

Figures II. 10 through II. 18 show a comparison of officer retention for fiscal years 1984 through 1988. The figures show retention after 3 years of service and after 20 years of service, when the greatest differences occurred in rates for men and women. Figure II. 13 also shows retention after 4 years in the Air Force, where the greatest differences occurred for that length of service. Tables IV. 6 through IV. 9 in appendix IV provide the retention rates for men and women and the differences in those rates at 1 -year intervals, for 3 through 21 or more years of service, for fiscal years 1984 through 1988.

Figure II.10: Officer Retention for the Services Combined (at the End of 3 Years of Service)
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Figure II.11: Officer Retention for the Services Combined (at the End of 20 Years of Service)


Figure II.12: Air Forca Officer Retention (at the End of 3 Years of Service)


Figure II.13: Air Force Officer Retention (at the End of 4 Years of Service)
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Figure II.14: Air Force Officer Retention
(at the End of 20 Years of Service)
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Figure II.15: Navy Officer Retention (at the End of 3 Years of Service)
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Figure II.16: Navy Officer Retention (at the End of 20 Years of Service)


Figure II.17: Army Officer Rotention (at the End of 3 Years of Service)


Figure II.18: Army Otficer Retention (at the End of 20 Years of Service)


## Attrition Data


#### Abstract

Appendix III contains data on attrition for each service and the services combined. Tables III. 2 through III. 9 present the attrition rates and their differences for (1) the 3-month interval analysis of attrition patterns and (2) attrition rates for the enlistment term minus 1 week and minus the last 6 months. Tables III. 1 and III. 14 present the number of nonprior service enlistees and officers to enable calculation of the numerical impact of attrition rates or rate differences.

Tables III. 10 through III. 13 present the separation category data for each of the 3 years analyzed, for the categories discussed in appendix I. Table III. 15 lists the groupings used in the analysis appearing in appendix I of the Defense Manpower Data Center's interservice separation codes.


Table III.1: Number of Men and Women Enlisting in the Services (Fiscal Years 1980 Through 1985-4-Year Enlistment ${ }^{\text {a }}$ )

| Fiscal years by service | Men | Women | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Air Force |  |  |  |
| 1980 | 51,861 | 13,321 | 65,182 |
| 1981 | 59.072 | 9.935 | 69,007 |
| 1982 | 52.389 | 7.984 | 60,373 |
| 1983 | 45.097 | 7.982 | 53,07¢ |
| 1984 | 46,595 | 8,132 | 54,72i |
| Total | 255,014 | 47,354 | 302,368 |
| Navy |  |  |  |
| 1980 | 59,881 | 9,919 | 69,80 |
| 1981 | 64,587 | 9,296 | 73,88: |
| 1982 | 51,643 | 7.586 | 59,22 |
| 1983 | 52,427 | 7.819 | 60,24 |
| 1984 | 56,589 | 7,275 | 83,86. |
| Total | 285,127 | 41,895 | 327,02 |

## Marine Corps

| 1980 | 24,931 | 1,234 | $\mathbf{2 6 , 1 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1981 | 26,331 | 1,347 | $\mathbf{2 7 , 6 7}$ |
| 1982 | 23,981 | 1,509 | $\mathbf{2 5 , 4 9}$ |
| 1983 | 26,504 | 1,588 | $\mathbf{2 8 , 0 9}$ |
| 1984 | 32,306 | 1,853 | $\mathbf{3 4 , 1 5}$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 3 4 , 0 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 5 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 1 , 5 8}$ |


| Army (4-Year onliatment) |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1980 | 39,328 | 8,549 | $\mathbf{4 7 , 8 7}$ |
| 1981 | 35,078 | 5,641 | $\mathbf{4 0 , 7 1}$ |
| 1982 | 39,412 | 4,696 | $\mathbf{4 4 , 1 \mathrm { C }}$ |
| 1983 | 40,439 | 5,311 | $\mathbf{4 5 , 7 5}$ |
| 1984 | 39,212 | 5,941 | $\mathbf{4 5 , 1 6}$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 9 3 , 4 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 , 1 3 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 3 , 6 C}$ |

## Army (3-Year enlistment)

| 1980 | 93,189 | 13,326 | $\mathbf{1 0 6 , 5}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1981 | 60,075 | 12,048 | $\mathbf{7 2 , 1}:$ |
| 1982 | 57,248 | 9,748 | $\mathbf{6 6 , 9}$ |
| 1983 | 66,489 | 10,838 | $\mathbf{7 7 , 3}:$ |
| 1984 | 65,825 | 10,593 | $\mathbf{7 6 , 4}$ |
| $1985^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 57,800 | 8,430 | $\mathbf{6 6 , 2}$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 0 0 , 6 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{6 4 , 9 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 5 , 6}$ |

[^4]Table III.2: Attrition Rates for the Services Combined at 3-Month Intervals for 4-Yoar Enlistment Term (Fiscal Years 1980 Through 1984)

| Figures in percent | Fiscal year |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Months | $\mathbf{1 9 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 4}$ |
| Men | 6.6 | 7.4 | 8.0 | 78 | 81 |
| 3 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.1 | 28 |
| 6 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 12 |
| 9 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 12 | 1.4 |
| 12 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 16 |
| 15 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 18 |
| 18 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 19 |
| 21 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 1.7 |
| 24 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 |
| 27 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.6 |
| 30 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.4 |
| 33 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.4 |
| 36 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 1.6 | 1.4 |
| 39 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 14 | 12 |
| 42 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 36 |
| 45 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 11.1 | 11.9 | 125 |
| 48 |  |  |  |  |  |


| Women |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 | 9.7 | 9.3 | 9.4 | 9.4 | 111 |
| 6 | 4.8 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.6 |
| 9 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 18 |
| 12 | 3.0 | 2.7 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 2.0 |
| 15 | 3.2 | 2.8 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 2.2 |
| 18 | 3.2 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 25 |
| 21 | 2.9 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.1 |
| 24 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.1 |
| 27 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 1.9 |
| 30 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 1.9 |
| 33 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 16 |
| 36 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 18 |
| 39 | 1.5 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 19 | 18 |
| 42 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 17 | 1.5 |
| 45 | 1.5 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 3.9 |
| 48 | 2.5 | 4.1 | 7.2 | 8.2 | 7.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  | (continued) |


| Months | Fiscal year |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 |
| Women minus men* |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 3.1 | 1.9 | 14 | 16 | 30 |
| 6 | 16 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.3 | 08 |
| 9 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 06 |
| 12 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 06 |
| 15 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 06 |
| 18 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 06 | 07 |
| 21 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 02 |
| 24 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 04 |
| 27 | 0.2 | -0.1 | 0.2 | 04 | 01 |
| 30 | 0.2 | -0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 03 |
| 33 | 0.1 | -0.3 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 02 |
| 36 | -0.2 | -0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 04 |
| 39 | -0.5 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.3 | 04 |
| 42 | -0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 03 |
| 45 | -0.5 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 03 |
| 48 | -1.9 | -0.3 | -3.9 | -37 | -47 |

Figures in percent

|  | Fiacal year |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Monthe | $\mathbf{1 9 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 4}$ |
| Men | 5.2 | 6.1 | 6.4 | 5.3 | 71 |
| 3 | 5.2 | 5.1 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 43 |
| 6 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 12 | 10 |
| 9 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 09 |
| 12 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 11 |
| 15 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.8 |
| 18 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.6 |
| 21 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.4 |
| 24 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 16 | 15 |
| 27 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.4 |
| 30 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.2 |
| 33 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.4 |
| 36 | 1.8 | 2.5 | 3.2 | 1.5 | 1.3 |
| 39 | 2.4 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 1.6 | 1.3 |
| 42 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 3.9 | 4.7 | 76 |
| 45 | 2.8 | 3.1 | 7.1 | 10.8 | 77 |
| 48 |  |  |  |  |  |

Women

| 3 | 8.6 | 8.3 | 8.1 | 6.7 | 9.8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 6 | 7.4 | 4.8 | 4.1 | 3.4 | 3.9 |
| 9 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.5 |
| 12 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 15 |
| 15 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 15 |
| 18 | 2.6 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 2.4 | 2.0 |
| 21 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 19 |
| 24 | 2.2 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 2.4 | 16 |
| 27 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 2.0 |
| 30 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 18 |
| 33 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 2.5 | 1.6 | 17 |
| 36 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 17 |
| 39 | 1.4 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 2.4 | 1.7 |
| 42 | 2.1 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 2.0 | 1.9 |
| 45 | 2.2 | 3.4 | 4.0 | 4.6 | 5.6 |
| 48 | 2.0 | 3.7 | 6.3 | 9.7 | 6. |

## Appendix III

Attrition Data


[^5] women's rate, while a negative number indicates a higher men's rate.

Table Ill.4: Navy Attrition Rates at 3Month Intervals for 4-Yoar Enlietment Term (Fiscal Years 1980 Through 1984)

Figures in percent

|  | Fiecal year |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Months | $\mathbf{1 9 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 4}$ |
| Men |  |  | 7.0 | 78 |  |
| 3 | 7.8 | 7.4 | 7.8 | 1.6 | 14 |
| 6 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 1.2 |
| 9 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 14 |
| 12 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 19 |
| 15 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 1.9 |
| 18 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 1.9 |
| 21 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 1.7 |
| 24 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 1.8 |
| 27 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 1.7 |
| 30 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 1.5 | 13 |
| 33 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 1.3 |
| 36 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 1.3 |
| 39 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.2 | 1.1 |
| 42 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.1 | 2.9 |
| 45 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 6.7 | 108 |
| 48 | 5.4 | 4.9 | 6.0 |  |  |


| Women |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 | 10.0 | 8.4 | 9.2 | 9.3 | 9.1 |
| 6 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 1.5 |
| 9 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.4 |
| 12 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.5 |
| 15 | 3.1 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 2.2 |
| 18 | 3.7 | 2.7 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 2.2 |
| 21 | 3.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 2.0 |
| 24 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 21 |
| 27 | 2.4 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 2.0 |
| 30 | 2.2 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 19 |
| 33 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.4 |
| 36 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 18 |
| 39 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 1.4 |
| 42 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 1.1 |
| 45 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 3.1 |
| 48 | 3.7 | 4.5 | 5.4 | 5.0 | 8. |
|  |  |  |  |  | (continued) |

(continued)

| Months | Fiscal year |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 |
| Women minus men* |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 2.2 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 2.3 | 13 |
| 6 | -0.3 | -0.5 | -0.4 | -0.2 | 0.1 |
| 9 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 02 |
| 12 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 01 |
| 15 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| 18 | 1.7 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 03 |
| 21 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 01 |
| 24 | 0.3 | 0.1 | -0.3 | -0.2 | 0.4 |
| 27 | 0.4 | -0.4 | 0 | -0.1 | 0.2 |
| 30 | 0.4 | -0.7 | -0.3 | -0.3 | 0.2 |
| 33 | -0.1 | -0.4 | 0 | 0.2 | 01 |
| 36 | -0.3 | -0.5 | -0.3 | 0 | 0.5 |
| 39 | -0.5 | -0.3 | -0.1 | 0 | 0.1 |
| 42 | -0.8 | -0.1 | -0.4 | 0.4 | 0 |
| 45 | -0.7 | -0.2 | -0.2 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| 48 | -1.7 | -0.4 | -0.6 | -1.7 | -2.0 |

aMen's rates were subtracted from women's rates. Therefore, a positive number indicates a higher women's rate, while a negative number indicates a higher men's rate

Table III.5: Marine Corps Attrition Rates at 3-Month Intervals for 4-Year Enlistment Torm (Fiscal Years 1980 Through 1984)

Figures in percent

|  | Fiscal year |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Months | $\mathbf{1 9 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 4}$ |
| Men |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 9.1 | 11.4 | 13.2 | 12.6 | 11.6 |
| 6 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 2.2 | 1.5 | 18 |
| 9 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 1.1 |
| 12 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 1.3 |
| 15 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 14 |
| 18 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| 21 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 1.6 |
| 24 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.7 |
| 27 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.8 |
| 30 | 1.7 | 2.3 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.5 |
| 33 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.5 |
| 36 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.6 |
| 39 | 2.4 | 3.0 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 1.5 |
| 42 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.3 |
| 45 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| 48 | 6.1 | 5.1 | 21.4 | 9.0 | 16.3 |


| Women |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 3 | 12.6 | 9.9 | 12.4 | 12.8 | 16.6 |
| 6 | 1.7 | 2.7 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 2.2 |
| 9 | 2.3 | 2.7 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 12 |
| 12 | 4.7 | 3.3 | 2.8 | 1.9 | 2.7 |
| 15 | 4.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 2.1 | 3.3 |
| 18 | 3.8 | 4.0 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 3.1 |
| 21 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 3.6 | 3.7 | 2.3 |
| 24 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 2.9 | 3.6 | 2.6 |
| 27 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 1.9 | 2.0 |
| 30 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 2.0 |
| 33 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 2.5 | 1.3 |
| 36 | 1.3 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 2.7 |
| 39 | 1.7 | 4.3 | 3.4 | 3.0 | 2.3 |
| 42 | 1.2 | 3.7 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 1.7 |
| 45 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.4 |
| 48 | 2.1 | 3.0 | 14.8 | 5.2 | 10.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  | (continued) |

## Appendix III Attrition Data

| Months | Fiscal year |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 |
| Women minus men* |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 3.5 | -1.5 | -0.8 | 0.2 | 5.0 |
| 6 | -0.9 | 0 | 1.0 | 16 | 04 |
| 9 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 01 |
| 12 | 3.5 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 0.7 | 1.4 |
| 15 | 3.0 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 0.7 | 19 |
| 18 | 2.1 | 2.5 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 16 |
| 21 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 2.3 | 07 |
| 24 | 1.0 | 1.7 | 1.1 | 2.0 | 09 |
| 27 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 02 |
| 30 | 0.6 | 0 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 05 |
| 33 | 0.2 | -0.2 | 0.5 | 1.1 | -0.2 |
| 36 | -1.0 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 1.1 |
| 39 | -0.7 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 0.8 |
| 42 | -0.9 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.4 |
| 45 | -0.4 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 0 | 0.2 |
| 48 | -4.0 | -2.1 | $-6.6$ | -3.8 | -5.9 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Men's rates were subtracted from women's rates. Therefore, a positive number indicates a higher women's rate, while a negative number indicates a higher men's rate.

# Table III.6: Army Atrition Rates at 3Month Intervals for 4 -Year Enlistment Torm (Fiscal Years 1980 Through 1984) 

Figures in percent

|  |  |  |  |  | Fiscal year |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Months | $\mathbf{1 9 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 4}$ |
| Men |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 5.0 | 6.4 | 74 | 8.6 | 7.0 |
| 6 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.7 |
| 9 | 2.7 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 14 | 16 |
| 12 | 2.7 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 17 |
| 15 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 2.2 |
| 18 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 2.2 |
| 21 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 1.9 | 2.1 |
| 24 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.4 |
| 27 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 1.9 |
| 30 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.7 |
| 33 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.7 |
| 36 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.5 |
| 39 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.5 |
| 42 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| 45 | 1.6 | 2.9 | 2.4 | 1.6 | 2.0 |
| 48 | 3.8 | 5.3 | 16.5 | 21.5 | 17.3 |

## Women

| 3 | 10.5 | 12.5 | 10.9 | 12.8 | 13.3 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 6 | 5.1 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 6.0 | 6.4 |
| 9 | 4.3 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.2 |
| 12 | 4.2 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 2.8 | 3.0 |
| 15 | 4.1 | 3.9 | 2.8 | 3.2 | 2.7 |
| 18 | 3.7 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 2.9 | 3.3 |
| 21 | 3.6 | 3.1 | 2.9 | 2.7 | 25 |
| 24 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 2.6 | 2.6 |
| 27 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 1.8 |
| 30 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 1.8 |
| 33 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 17 |
| 36 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 2.0 |
| 39 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 21 |
| 42 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 1.4 |
| 45 | 1.1 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 1.7 | 1.7 |
| 48 | 1.8 | 4.5 | 8.9 | 11.8 | 8.3 |


|  | Fiscal year |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Months | $\mathbf{1 9 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 4}$ |
| Women minus men |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 5.5 | 6.1 | 3.5 | 4.2 | 6.3 |
| 6 | 1.9 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 2.4 | 2.7 |
| 9 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 19 | 16 |
| 12 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 13 |
| 15 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 0.6 | 13 | 0.5 |
| 18 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.8 | 11 |
| 21 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.4 |
| 24 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.2 |
| 27 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.6 | -0.1 |
| 30 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 01 |
| 33 | 0 | -0.1 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0 |
| 36 | -0.3 | -0.1 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.5 |
| 39 | 0.2 | 0.1 | -0.1 | -0.2 | 0.6 |
| 42 | -0.8 | -0.6 | -0.1 | -0.2 | 0.1 |
| 45 | -0.5 | -0.7 | -0.2 | 0.1 | -0.3 |
| 48 | -2.0 | -0.8 | -7.6 | -9.7 | -9.0 |

${ }^{\text {a Men's rates were subtracted from women's rates. Therefore, a positive number indicates a higher }}$ women's rate, while a negative number indicates a higher men's rate.

Table III.7: Army Attrition Rates at 3Month Intervals for 3-Year Enlistment Term (Fiscal Years 1980 Through 1985)

Figures in percent

| Months | Fiscal year |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 |
| Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 7.6 | 7.8 | 8.1 | 9.7 | 8.0 | 5.4 |
| 6 | 5.3 | 4.4 | 5.6 | 4.7 | 4.4 | 43 |
| 9 | 3.5 | 2.3 | 2.7 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 2.3 |
| 12 | 3.5 | 2.4 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 2.1 |
| 15 | 3.5 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.8 |
| 18 | 3.1 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.9 |
| 21 | 3.0 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.4 |
| 24 | 2.9 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 2.4 |
| 27 | 2.8 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 2.0 |
| 30 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 1.9 |
| 33 | 2.7 | 3.5 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.2 | 2.6 |
| 36 | 4.8 | 7.0 | 5.8 | 18.2 | 20.6 | 163 |


| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 3 | 11.5 | 14 | 12.7 | 13.7 | 12.7 | 8.3 |
| 6 | 6.2 | 6.4 | 7.1 | 7.0 | 5.5 | 5.8 |
| 9 | 4.7 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 28 |
| 12 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 2.7 | 1.9 | 2.4 | 2.3 |
| 15 | 4.0 | 3.8 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 2.3 | 2.8 |
| 18 | 3.7 | 3.1 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 2.5 | 3.3 |
| 21 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| 24 | 3.4 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 2.5 | 2.7 |
| 27 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.5 |
| 30 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.9 |
| 33 | 1.7 | 2.6 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 2.3 |
| 36 | 3.1 | 6.0 | 5.3 | 11.0 | 15.4 | 12.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | (continued) |


|  | Fiacal year |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Months | $\mathbf{1 9 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 5}$ |
| Women minus man |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 3.9 | 6.2 | 4.6 | 4.0 | 4.7 | 29 |
| 6 | 0.9 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 2.3 | 11 | 15 |
| 9 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 05 |
| 12 | 0.4 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 02 |
| 15 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0 |
| 18 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 04 |
| 21 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 03 |
| 24 | 0.5 | -0.1 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| 27 | -0.6 | -0.1 | 0 | -0.2 | 0.1 | 0.5 |
| 30 | -0.6 | -0.3 | -0.2 | -0.3 | -0.3 | 0 |
| 33 | -1.0 | -0.9 | -0.5 | -0.3 | -0.1 | -0.3 |
| 36 | -1.7 | -1.0 | -0.5 | -7.2 | -5.2 | -4.0 |

aMen's rates were subtracted from women's rates. Therefore, a positive number indicates a higher women's rate, while a negative number indicates a higher men's rate.

Table III.8: Enlisted Attrition Rates for Enlistment Term (Fiscal Years 1980 Through 1985)

Figures in percent

|  | Fiscal year |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Service | $\mathbf{1 9 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 5}$ |
| Total |  | 37.5 | 385 | 41.1 | 36.9 | $\mathbf{4 0 5}$ |
| Men | 45.2 | 44.1 | 44.5 | 43.7 | 462 |  |
| Women | 7.7 | 5.6 | 3.4 | 6.9 | 5.8 |  |
| Women minus men ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Air Force

| Men | 38.2 | 39.3 | 43.5 | 43.1 | 42.6 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Women | 45.0 | 46.2 | 46.8 | 48.0 | 47.3 |
| Women minus men | 6.8 | 6.9 | 3.3 | 4.9 | 4.7 |
| Navy |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 39.2 | 40.3 | 39.3 | 35.0 | 41.4 |
| Women | 43.6 | 39.8 | 39.1 | 37.2 | 43.5 |
| Women minus men | 4.4 | -0.5 | -0.2 | 2.2 | 2.1 |

Marine Corps

| Men | 41.4 | 43.6 | 56.8 | 41.7 | 48.7 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Women | 50.2 | 55.2 | 62.8 | 51.2 | 57.8 |
| Women minus men | 8.8 | 11.6 | 6.0 | 9.5 | 9.1 |

Army (4-Year enilatment)

| Men | 31.5 | 30.0 | 30.6 | 29.4 | 29.7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Women | 46.7 | 45.0 | 43.2 | 44.5 | 44.3 |
| Women minus men | 15.2 | 15.0 | 12.6 | 15.1 | 14.6 |

Army (3-Year enlletment)

| Men | 45.2 | 42.3 | 39.9 | 51.6 | 515 | 47.4 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Women | 49.8 | 52.6 | 47.0 | 51.8 | 541 | 49.7 |
| Women minus men | 4.6 | 10.3 | 7.1 | 0.2 | 2.6 | 2.3 |

afiscal year 1985 applies only to the Army's 3 -year enlistment term.
${ }^{b}$ Differences may not appear exact due to rounding.
Note: The enlistment term used here is 1 week short of the full 3 - or 4 -year term in calendar weeks

Table III.9: Enlisted Aftrition Rates for Enllotment Term Minus the Last 6 Months (Fiscal Years 1980 Through 1985)

Figures in percent

|  | Fiscal year |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Service | $\mathbf{1 9 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 5}$ |
| Total | 33.2 | 34.0 | 32.9 | 29.3 | 29.3 |  |
| Men | $\mathbf{4 2 . 8}$ | 39.7 | 37.9 | 36.6 | 37.9 |  |
| Women | 9.6 | 5.7 | 5.0 | 73 | 8.6 |  |
| Women minus men |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Air Force

| Men | 32.8 | 33.5 | 32.5 | 27.6 | 27.3 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Women | 40.8 | 39.1 | 36.5 | 33.7 | 34.5 |
| Women minus men | 8.0 | 5.6 | 4.0 | 6.1 | 7.2 |

Navy

| Men | 32.1 | 33.7 | 31.8 | 27.2 | 27.7 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Women | 38.9 | 33.8 | 32.4 | 30.7 | 31.6 |
| Women minus men | 6.8 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 3.5 | 3.9 |

## Marine Corps

| Men | 33.5 | 36.6 | 34.0 | 31.6 | 31.2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Women | 46.7 | 50.2 | 46.7 | 44.8 | 46.0 |
| Women minus men | 13.2 | 13.6 | 12.7 | 13.2 | 14.8 |

Army (4-Year enliatrment)

| Men | 35.3 | 33.5 | 34.1 | 32.4 | 32.5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Women | 49.9 | 48.0 | 46.5 | 47.1 | 47.8 |
| Women minus men | 14.6 | 14.5 | 12.4 | 14.7 | 15.3 |

Army (3-Year enliatment)

| Men | 37.7 | 31.8 | 31.8 | 30.8 | 28.7 | 285 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Women | 45.0 | 44.0 | 39.9 | 38.5 | 36.6 | 35.1 |
| Women minus men | 7.3 | 12.2 | 8.1 | 7.7 | 79 | 6.6 |

[^6]
# Table III.10: Misconduct as a Percentage of Total Men's Separations During 6- <br> Month Intervals (Fiscal Years 1982 Through 1984-4-Year Enlistment) 

Figures in percent

| Months | Air <br> Force | Navy | Marine <br> Corps | Services <br> combined |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1 9 8 2}$ | 0.2 | 0.7 | 6.0 | 1.4 |
| $0-6$ | 21.9 | 14.5 | 9.7 | 12.3 |
| 7.12 | 43.1 | 26.1 | 22.7 | 23.5 |
| $13-18$ | 46.0 | 36.0 | 28.0 | 292 |
| $19-24$ | 38.9 | 35.6 | 26.2 | 28.2 |
| $25-30$ | 30.4 | 31.3 | 22.0 | 24.6 |
| $31-36$ | 14.9 | 31.5 | 19.6 | 19.1 |
| $37-42$ | 2.0 | 3.3 | 0.9 | 1.7 |
| $43-48$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 2}$ |
| Total |  |  |  |  |


| 1983 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 0.4 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $0-6$ | 30.1 | 15.9 | 12.5 | 14.5 |
| $7-12$ | 35.8 | 26.3 | 20.8 | 21.5 |
| $13-18$ | 33.8 | 31.7 | 22.0 | 23.6 |
| $19-24$ | 33.3 | 31.8 | 20.6 | 24.7 |
| $25-30$ | 27.6 | 30.2 | 17.5 | 22.4 |
| $31-36$ | 22.2 | 28.9 | 20.5 | 21.2 |
| $37-42$ | 1.5 | 2.2 | 1.0 | 1.4 |
| $43-48$ | 10.7 | $\mathbf{1 0 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 4}$ |
| Total |  |  |  |  |


| 1984 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $0-6$ | 36.6 | 18.0 | 9.9 | 16.2 |
| $7-12$ | 31.7 | 27.9 | 15.8 | 21.5 |
| $13-18$ | 32.8 | 29.9 | 16.7 | 23.1 |
| $19-24$ | 31.4 | 30.7 | 19.4 | 241 |
| $25-30$ | 30.7 | 31.8 | 19.9 | 23.9 |
| $31-36$ | 13.2 | 25.6 | 19.5 | 16.9 |
| $37-42$ | 1.4 | 1.7 | 0.8 | 1.1 |
| $43-48$ | $\mathbf{9 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 1}$ |
| Total |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{2}$ includes Army 4-year enlistment.

Table III.11: Unsatisfactory Performance, and Drugs and Alcoholism as a Percentage of Total Enlisted Men's Separations From the Army During 6-Month Intervals (Fiscal Years 1982 Through 1985)
Figures in percent

| Months | 1982 |  | 1983 |  | 1884 |  | 1985* |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Unsatisfactory performance | Druge/ alcohol | Unsatisfactory performance | Drugs/ alcohol | Uneatisfactory performance | Druga/ alcohol | Unsatisfactory performance | Druga/ alcohol |
| 4-Year enlistment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-6 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.1 |  |  |
| 7.12 | 45.1 | 8.2 | 43.1 | 6.4 | 30.3 | 6.5 |  |  |
| 13-18 | 40.2 | 20.2 | 36.2 | 13.3 | 20.8 | 20.1 |  |  |
| 19-24 | 38.2 | 19.7 | 27.3 | 18.2 | 15.5 | 25.5 |  |  |
| 25-30 | 29.5 | 18.4 | 18.0 | 22.9 | 13.8 | 25.4 |  |  |
| 31.36 | 22.1 | 16.1 | 12.5 | 22.3 | 9.6 | 18.6 |  |  |
| 37.42 | 17.0 | 26.0 | 9.7 | 22.6 | 8.3 | 17.7 |  |  |
| 43.48 | 0.8 | 1.9 | 0.4 | 1.3 | 0.5 | 0.9 |  |  |
| Total | 11.7 | 7.0 | 7.5 | 5.8 | 5.7 | 6.7 |  |  |

## 3-Year enlistment

| $0-6$ | 1.2 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.2 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $7-12$ | 47.2 | 6.0 | 41.7 | 8.1 | 31.8 | 6.9 | 21.5 | 9.2 |
| $13-18$ | 42.6 | 19.9 | 36.9 | 15.0 | 26.4 | 17.9 | 19.0 | 19.3 |
| $19-24$ | 43.1 | 18.4 | 29.5 | 18.2 | 18.4 | 26.6 | 15.5 | 19.5 |
| $25-30$ | 36.8 | 15.7 | 21.3 | 21.6 | 15.2 | 22.1 | 14.3 | 184 |
| $31-36$ | 2.3 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 16 |

aFiscal year 1985 applies only to the Army for the 3-year enlistment term

Table III.12: Pregnancy as a Percentage of Total Enlisted Women's Soparations During 6-Month Intervals (Fiscal Years 1982 Through 1984)

Figures in percent

| Months | 4-Year torm |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \text {-Year term } \\ \text { Army } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Air } \\ & \text { Force } \end{aligned}$ | Navy | Marine Corps | Army | Total |  |
| 1982 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-6 | 7.7 | 2.2 | 1.3 | 2.3 | 4.1 | 13 |
| 7.12 | 37.0 | 36.9 | 55.8 | 36.0 | 38.0 | 338 |
| 13.18 | 36.4 | 53.7 | 58.8 | 52.4 | 48.5 | 473 |
| 19-24 | 41.9 | 41.9 | 59.3 | 45.5 | 44.6 | 44.0 |
| 25-30 | 38.5 | 48.0 | 53.9 | 35.8 | 42.0 | 420 |
| 31-36 | 33.0 | 43.4 | 43.8 | 30.6 | 36.4 | 3.0 |
| $37-42$ | 22.9 | 44.1 | 43.9 | 36.9 | 32.3 |  |
| 43-48 | 3.5 | 4.4 | 1.9 | 2.5 | 3.4 |  |
| Total | 17.3 | 20.9 | 23.8 | 18.4 | 19.3 |  |

1983

| $0-6$ | 7.6 | 7.9 | 0.4 | 2.2 | 5.2 | 0.5 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 7.12 | 36.2 | 43.8 | 41.6 | 35.8 | 38.9 | 395 |
| $13-18$ | 45.4 | 50.8 | 59.7 | 43.5 | 47.3 | 53.6 |
| $19-24$ | 38.9 | 46.1 | 54.4 | 46.3 | $\mathbf{4 4 . 4}$ | 42.5 |
| $25-30$ | 37.1 | 51.4 | 49.4 | 37.1 | 42.1 | 41.5 |
| $31-36$ | 37.2 | 45.7 | 50.6 | 30.1 | 39.2 | 27 |
| $37-42$ | 30.1 | 52.4 | 37.5 | 37.7 | 38.7 |  |
| $43-48$ | 3.0 | 4.7 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 3.2 |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 8 . 9}$ | 22.7 | $\mathbf{2 1 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 . 6}$ |  |

1984

| $0-6$ | 6.5 | 2.8 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 3.5 | 1.2 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $7 \cdot 12$ | 42.6 | 45.7 | 52.2 | 36.3 | 41.3 | 38.0 |
| $13-18$ | 35.5 | 57.0 | 51.2 | 43.5 | 45.6 | 46.8 |
| $19-24$ | 36.2 | 51.9 | 48.9 | 41.7 | 43.5 | 41.9 |
| $25-30$ | 33.5 | 45.2 | 47.4 | 36.3 | 38.7 | 42.1 |
| $31-36$ | 37.2 | 51.4 | 37.1 | 34.7 | 40.3 | 2.8 |
| $37-42$ | 19.3 | 47.4 | 41.7 | 29.0 | 28.9 |  |
| $43-48$ | 2.7 | 3.8 | 2.6 | 2.1 | $\mathbf{2 . 9}$ |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 5 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 . 6}$ |  |

Table III.13: Differences in Enlisted Attrition Rates for Men and Women During 6-Month Intervals, Excluding Women's Separations for Pregnancy (Fiscal Years 1982 Through 1984-4-Year Enlistment)

Figure in percent

| Months | Air Force | Navy | Marine Corps | Army | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1982 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-6 | -0.42 | 0.85 | -0 10 | 5.93 | 1.08 |
| 7-12 | -0.36 | -0.88 | 0.32 | 0.50 | -0.26 |
| 13-18 | -0.80 | -1.96 | -0 0.5 | -2.24 | -1.51 |
| 19-24 | -1.47 | -1.68 | -1.02 | -0,93 | -1.40 |
| 25-30 | -0.67 | -1.77 | -1 35 | -0.53 | -1.07 |
| 31-36 | -0.61 | -1.73 | -0.65 | -0.58 | -0.98 |
| 37.42 | -1.23 | -1.76 | -0.95 | -1.17 | -1.25 |
| 43-48 | -2.61 | -3.47 | -15.44 | -1205 | -7.36 |
| 0.42 | -5.56 | -8.93 | -4.29 | 0.98 | $-5.39$ |
| 0-48 | -8.17 | -12.04 | -19.73 | -11.07 | -12.75 |

1983

| $0-6$ | -1.15 | 1.27 | 1.35 | 6.72 | $\mathbf{1 . 3 2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $7-12$ | -0.09 | -0.35 | -0.10 | 0.96 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 4}$ |
| $13-18$ | -0.61 | -1.58 | -0.63 | -0.54 | $-\mathbf{0 . 9 2}$ |
| $19-24$ | -0.26 | -1.53 | -0.19 | -1.25 | $-\mathbf{0 . 9 4}$ |
| $25-30$ | -0.67 | -1.77 | -0.71 | -0.54 | $-\mathbf{1 . 0 1}$ |
| 31.36 | -0.71 | -1.21 | -0.94 | -0.52 | $-\mathbf{0 . 8 9}$ |
| $37-42$ | -0.10 | -0.97 | -0.67 | -1.16 | $-\mathbf{0 . 6 7}$ |
| $43-48$ | -4.47 | -6.07 | -17.29 | -14.21 | $-\mathbf{9 . 8 9}$ |
| $0-42$ | -3.59 | -6.14 | -1.89 | 3.67 | $\mathbf{- 3 . 0 7}$ |
| $0-48$ | -8.06 | -12.21 | -19.18 | -10.54 | $-\mathbf{1 2 . 9 6}$ |

1984

| $0-6$ | 1.65 | 1.24 | 4.78 | 9.77 | $\mathbf{3 . 6 3}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $7-12$ | -0.26 | -1.12 | -0.44 | 0.19 | -0.47 |
| 13.18 | -0.68 | -1.99 | 0.22 | -0.94 | -1.03 |
| $19-24$ | -0.77 | -1.49 | -0.98 | -1.65 | -1.24 |
| $25-30$ | -0.47 | -1.14 | -1.12 | -1.21 | $-\mathbf{0 . 9 3}$ |
| $31-36$ | -0.39 | -1.02 | -0.44 | -0.71 | $-\mathbf{0 . 7 1}$ |
| $37-42$ | -0.50 | -0.95 | -0.69 | -0.50 | -0.50 |
| $43-48$ | -5.58 | -4.79 | -15.27 | -15.24 | -10.18 |
| 0.42 | -1.42 | -6.47 | 1.33 | 4.95 | -1.25 |
| $0-48$ | -7.0 | -11.26 | -13.94 | -10.29 | -11.43 |

Note: Men's rates were subtracted from women's rates. Therefore, a positive number indicates a higher women's rate, while a negative number indicates a higher men's rate.

Table III.14: Number of Officers Entering the Services (Fiscal Years 1981 Through 1985)

| Service | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Air Force |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 6.956 | 7.343 | 7,685 | 7,681 | 7.523 |
| Women | 1,304 | 1,481 | 1.423 | 1.573 | 1.626 |
| Total | 8,260 | 8,824 | 9,108 | 9,254 | 9,149 |
| Navy |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 6,527 | 6,050 | 6,341 | 5.185 | 6.682 |
| Women | 828 | 756 | 936 | 747 | 843 |
| Total | 7,355 | 6,806 | 7,277 | 5,932 | 7,525 |
| Marine Corps |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 1,667 | 1,965 | 2.107 | 1.768 | 1.587 |
| Women | 89 | 86 | 106 | 76 | 65 |
| Total | 1,758 | 2,051 | 2,213 | 1,844 | 1,652 |
| Army |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 9,093 | 8,069 | 8,556 | 8,971 | 8.310 |
| Women | 1.452 | 1.315 | 1,332 | 1,603 | 1528 |
| Total | 10,545 | 9,384 | 9,888 | 10,574 | 9,838 |

## Appendix III

Attrition Data

| Label | Interservice separation code number and description |
| :---: | :---: |
| End of term of service | 01 expiration of term of service |
| Early release | 02 early release, insufficıent retainability |
|  | 03 early release to attend school |
|  | 04 early release for police duty |
|  | 05 early release in the national interest |
|  | 06 early release for seasonal employment |
|  | 07 early release to teach |
|  | 08 early release for other reasons including reduction in force |
| Prior service medical | 10 conditions existing prior to service |
| Disability | 11 disability, severance pay |
|  | 12 permanent disability, retired |
|  | 13 temporary disability, retired |
|  | 14 disability, not existing prior to service, no severance pay |
|  | 15 disability, Title 10 retirement |
|  | 16 unqualified for active duty, other |
| Dependency/ hardship | 22 dependency or hardship |
| Death | 30 death, battle casualty |
|  | 31 death, non-battle disease |
|  | 32 death, non-battle, other |
|  | 33 death, cause not specified |
| Enter officer programs | 40 officer commissioning program |
|  | 41 warrant officer program |
|  | 42 service academy |
| Retirement (nonmedical) | 5020 to 30 years of service |
|  | 51 over 30 years of service |
|  | 52 other categories |
| Personality/ behavior | 60 character or behavior disorder |
|  | 61 motivational problems |
|  | 62 enuresis |
|  | 70 unsanitary habits |
| Misconduct | 65 discreditable incidents, civilian or military |
|  | 66 shirking |
|  | 75 absent without leave, desertion |
|  | 80 misconduct, reason unknown |
|  | 83 pattern of minor disciplinary infractions |
|  | 84 commission of a serious offense |
| Misconduct (legal action) | 71 civil court conviction |
|  | 73 court martial |
|  | 78 good of the service, in lieu of court martial |


| Label | Interservice separation code number and description |
| :---: | :---: |
| Drugs/alcoholism | 64 alcoholism |
|  | 67 drugs |
| Financial irresponsibility | 68 financial irresponsiblity |
| Inaptitude | 63 inaptitude |
| Fraudulent entry | 74 fraudulent entry |
| Unfit/unsuitable, reason unknown | 81 unfitness, reason unknown |
|  | 82 unsuitability, reason unknown |
| Not retainable | 85 failure to meet minimum qualifications for retention |
| Unsatisfactory performance | 86 expeditıous discharge/unsatisfactory performance |
| Entry level performance | 87 trainee discharge, entry level performance and conduct |
| Other 6 through 9 | 69 lack of dependent support |
|  | 72 security |
|  | 76 homosexuality |
|  | 77 sexual perversion |
|  | 79 juvenile offender |
| Erroneous enlistment | 91 erroneous enlistment or induction |
| Marriage | 93 marriage |
| Pregnancy | 94 pregnancy |
| Parenthood | 97 parenthood |
| Other 9 | 90 secretarial authority |
|  | 92 sole surviving family member |
|  | 95 minority |
|  | 96 conscientious objector |
|  | 98 breach of contract |
|  | 99 other |

## Retention Data

> Appendix IV contains retention data for enlisted and officer grades. Tables IV. 1 through IV. 5 provide enlisted eligibility and retention data for each service and the services combined for the 5 fiscal years reviewed. The tables also include the number of separations and reenlistments used in determining the eligibility and reenlistment rates.

> Figures IV. 1 through IV. 36 present the graphical analysis of enlisted retention for each of the services (appendix II presented the graphs only for the services combined).

> Tables IV. 6 through IV. 9 contain the annual officer retention rates for the services combined, and each service except the Marine Corps, for the 5 fiscal years reviewed.

Table IV.1: Enlisted Retention for the Services Combined (Fiscal Years 1984 Through 1988)

| Fiscal year | Total ETS* | Number eligible | Eligible as percentage of ETS | Eligible who reenlist | Reenlistments as |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Percentage of eligible | Precentage of ET'S |
| First term retention |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1984 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 234,216 | 149,971 | 64.0 | 75,805 | 50.5 | 324 |
| Women | 34,148 | 24,652 | 72.2 | 13,425 | 54.5 | 393 |
| Women minus men ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | 8.2 |  | 3.9 | 6.9 |
| 1985 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 235,254 | 160,389 | 68.2 | 76,319 | 47.6 | 324 |
| Women | 32,125 | 21,984 | 68.4 | 11,241 | 51.1 | 35.0 |
| Women minus men ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | 0.3 |  | 3.5 | 2.6 |
| 1986 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 235,628 | 165,262 | 70.1 | 80,951 | 49.0 | 34.4 |
| Women | 33,386 | 21,599 | 64.7 | 10.990 | 50.9 | 329 |
| Women minus men ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | -5.4 |  | 1.9 | -14 |
| 1987 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 233,307 | 167,895 | 72.0 | 81.539 | 48.6 | 349 |
| Women | 30,279 | 20,613 | 68.1 | 10,772 | 52.3 | 356 |
| Women minus men ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | -3.9 |  | 3.7 | 06 |
| 1988 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 241,218 | 170,013 | 70.5 | 82,054 | 48.3 | 340 |
| Women | 32,005 | 21,856 | 68.3 | 11,880 | 54.4 | 371 |
| Women minus men ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | -2.2 |  | 6.1 | 31 |
| Second term retention |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1984 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 83,614 | 65,969 | 78.9 | 49,623 | 752 | 593 |
| Women | 8.136 | 6.892 | 84.7 | 4,684 | 68.0 | 576 |
| Women minus men ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | 5.8 |  | -7.3 | -18 |
| 1985 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 82,874 | 65,670 | 79.2 | 48,617 | 74.0 | 587 |
| Women | 9,447 | 7,603 | 80.5 | 5,048 | 66.4 | 534 |
| Women minus men ${ }^{\text {D }}$ |  |  | 1.2 |  | -76 | -52 |

## Appendix IV <br> Retention Data

| Fiscal year | Total ETS* | Number eligible | Eligible as percentage of ETS | Eligible who reenliat | Reonlistments as |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Percentage of cligible | Precontere of ET'S |
| 1986 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 87,308 | 72,744 | 83.3 | 53.524 | 73.6 | 613 |
| Women | 11,280 | 8,971 | 79.5 | 6,126 | 68.3 | 54.3 |
| Women minus men ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | -3.8 |  | -5.3 | -7.0 |
| 1987 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 80,572 | 66,102 | 82.0 | 49,606 | 75.0 | 616 |
| Women | 11,486 | 9,338 | 81.3 | 6,800 | 72.8 | 59.2 |
| Women minus men ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | -0.7 |  | -2.2 | -2.4 |
| 1888 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 94,607 | 79,553 | 84.1 | 60,941 | 76.6 | 644 |
| Women | 14.386 | 11,929 | 82.9 | 9,024 | 75.6 | 62.7 |
| Women minus men ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | -1.2 |  | -1.0 | -17 |
| Career retention |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1884 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 104,265 | 75,272 | 72.2 | 71.897 | 95.5 | 69.0 |
| Women | 3,039 | 2,679 | 88.2 | 2,387 | 89.1 | 78.5 |
| Women minus men ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | 16.0 |  | -6.4 | 9.6 |
| 1885 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 100,696 | 69,927 | 69.4 | 66,596 | 95.2 | 66.1 |
| Women | 3,686 | 3,141 | 85.2 | 2,771 | 88.2 | 75.2 |
| Women minus men ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | 15.8 |  | -7.0 | 9.0 |
| 1896 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 100,363 | 70,625 | 70.4 | 67,279 | 95.3 | 67.0 |
| Women | 4,480 | 3,820 | 85.3 | 3,443 | 90.1 | 76.9 |
| Women minus men ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | 14.9 |  | -5.1 | 9.8 |
| 1987 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 101,786 | 72,642 | 71.4 | 69,456 | 95.6 | 68.2 |
| Women | 4,972 | 4,378 | 88.1 | 3,924 | 89.6 | 78.9 |
| Women minus men ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | 16.7 |  | -6.0 | 10.7 |
| 1985 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 118,317 | 84,389 | 71.3 | 80,875 | 95.8 | 68.4 |
| Women | 7,000 | 6,049 | 86.4 | 5,604 | 92.6 | 80.1 |
| Women minus men ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | 15.1 |  | -3.2 | 11.7 |

${ }^{2} E T S$ refers to end of term of service separations.
${ }^{b}$ Differences may not appear exact due to rounding.

Table IV.2: Air Force Enlisted Retention (Fiscal Years 1984 Through 1988)

| Fiscal year | Total ETS* | Number eligible | Ellgible as percentage of ETS | Eligible who reentist | Reenlistments as |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Percentage of -ligible | Precentage of ETS |
| Firat term retention |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1884 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 54,767 | 32,372 | 59.1 | 20,240 | 62.5 | 370 |
| Women | 11,472 | 7,617 | 66.4 | 4,513 | 592 | 39.3 |
| Women minus men ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | 7.3 |  | -3.3 | 2.4 |
| 1985 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 67,891 | 40,976 | 60.4 | 22,265 | 54.3 | 32.8 |
| Women | 11,707 | 6,681 | 57.1 | 3,449 | 51.6 | 29.5 |
| Women minus men ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | -3.3 |  | -2.7 | -3.3 |
| 1986 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 53,874 | 34.975 | 64.9 | 20,414 | 58.4 | 37.9 |
| Women | 11,718 | 5,624 | 48.0 | 3,058 | 54.4 | 26.1 |
| Women minus men ${ }^{\text {® }}$ |  |  | -16.9 |  | -4.0 | -11.8 |
| 1887 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 53,364 | 34.592 | 64.8 | 22,411 | 64.8 | 42.0 |
| Women | 9,766 | 5,253 | 53.8 | 3,289 | 62.6 | 33.7 |
| Women minus men ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | -11.0 |  | -2.2 | $-8.3$ |
| 1808 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 62,336 | 42,193 | 67.7 | 22,956 | 54.4 | 36.8 |
| Women | 10,937 | 6,373 | 58.3 | 3,622 | 56.8 | 33.1 |
| Women minus men ${ }^{\circ}$ |  |  | -9.4 |  | 2.4 | -3.7 |
| Second term retention |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1984 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 15.163 | 11,864 | 78.2 | 9,799 | 82.6 | 64.6 |
| Women | 2,952 | 2,409 | 81.6 | 1,565 | 65.0 | 53.0 |
| Women minus men ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | 3.4 |  | -17.6 | -116 |
| 1835 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 18,664 | 13,742 | 73.6 | 11,122 | 80.9 | 59.6 |
| Women | 3,689 | 2,715 | 73.6 | 1,730 | 63.7 | 46.9 |
| Women minus men ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | 0 |  | -172 | $-12.7$ |


| Fiacal year | Total ETS | Number eliglbte | Eliglbie as percentage of ETS | Eligible who reenlist | Reenlistments as |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Percentage of eligible | Precentage of ETS |
| 1986 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 19,531 | 16,219 | 83.0 | 12,928 | 79.7 | 662 |
| Women | 4,638 | 3,299 | 71.1 | 2,186 | 66.3 | 47. |
| Women minus men ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | -11.9 |  | -13.4 | -19 . |
| 1987 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 20,706 | 17,091 | 82.5 | 13,687 | 80.1 | 66 |
| Women | 4,690 | 3,846 | 82.0 | 2,773 | 72.1 | 59 |
| Women minus men ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | -0.5 |  | -8.0 | -7 |
| 1988 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 28,311 | 23,963 | 84.6 | 19,125 | 79.8 | 67 c |
| Women | 5,679 | 4,667 | 82.2 | 3.466 | 74.3 | 61. |
| Women minus men ${ }^{\circ}$ |  |  | -2.5 |  | -5.5 | $-6=$ |
| Career retention |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1984 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 38,459 | 26,936 | 70.0 | 25,859 | 96.0 | 67 ¢ |
| Women | 1,116 | 963 | 86.3 | 813 | 84.4 | 728 |
| Women minus men ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | 16.3 |  | -11.6 | $5 ¢$ |
| 1985 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 36,866 | 23,207 | 62.9 | 22,419 | 96.6 | $60 \varepsilon$ |
| Women | 1,488 | 1,202 | 80.8 | 1,019 | 84.8 | 68.5 |
| Women minus men ${ }^{\text {D }}$ |  |  | 17.8 |  | -11.8 | 7 |
| 1986 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 34,410 | 23,321 | 67.8 | 22,565 | 96.8 | 65 t |
| Women | 1,874 | 1,427 | 76.1 | 1,258 | 88.2 | 67 |
| Women minus men ${ }^{\circ}$ |  |  | 8.4 |  | -8.6 | $1+$ |
| 1987 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 35,080 | 24,064 | 68.6 | 23,462 | 97.5 | 665 |
| Women | 1,976 | 1,692 | 85.6 | 1,552 | 91.7 | 78.5 |
| Women minus men ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | 17.0 |  | -5.8 | 11 ; |
| 1888 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 40,740 | 27,554 | 67.6 | 26,858 | 97.5 | 65 |
| Women | 2,765 | 2,306 | 83.4 | 2,144 | 93.0 | 77 |
| Women minus men ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | 15.8 |  | 4.5 | 11 |

${ }^{a} E T S$ refers to end of term of service separations.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Differences may not appear exact due to rounding.

Table IV.3: Navy Enlisted Retention (Fiscal Years 1984 Through 1988)

| Fiscal year | Total ETS | Number aligible | Eligible as percentage of ETS | Eligible who reenlist | Reenliotments as |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Percentage of eligible | Precentage of ETS |
| First term retention |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1984 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 64.220 | 40,040 | 62.3 | 23,385 | 58.4 | 36.4 |
| Women | 8.816 | 5,884 | 66.7 | 3,246 | 55.2 | 36.8 |
| Women minus men ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | 4.4 |  | -3.2 | 0.4 |
| 1985 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 60,695 | 40,935 | 67.4 | 22,507 | 55.0 | 37.1 |
| Women | 8,796 | 5,653 | 64.3 | 2,938 | 52.0 | 33.4 |
| Women minus men ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | -3.2 |  | -3.0 | -3.7 |
| 1986 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 60,933 | 39,308 | 64.5 | 23,154 | 58.9 | 38.0 |
| Women | 9,338 | 5,724 | 61.3 | 3.014 | 52.7 | 32.3 |
| Women minus men ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | -3.2 |  | -6.2 | -5.7 |
| 1987 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 60.748 | 39.530 | 65.1 | 22.001 | 55.7 | 36.2 |
| Women | 8,472 | 5,375 | 63.4 | 2,788 | 51.9 | 32.9 |
| Women minus men ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | -1.6 |  | -3.8 | $-\overline{3.3}$ |
| 1988 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 66,269 | 42,915 | 64.8 | 23,499 | 54.8 | 35.5 |
| Women | 8,516 | 5.273 | 61.9 | 2.598 | 49.3 | 30.5 |
| Women minus menb |  |  | -2.8 |  | -5.5 | -5.0 |
| Second term retention |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1984 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 23,303 | 18,939 | 81.3 | 12,239 | 64.6 | 52.5 |
| Women | 1,135 | 913 | 80.4 | 545 | 59.7 | 48.0 |
| Women minus men ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | -0.8 |  | -4.9 | -4.5 |
| 1885 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 23,471 | 19,176 | 81.7 | 12,344 | 64.4 | 52.6 |
| Women | 1,358 | 1,076 | 79.2 | 592 | 55.0 | 43.6 |
| Women minus men ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | -2.5 |  | -9.4 | -9.0 |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fiscal year } \\ & 1986 \end{aligned}$ | Total ETS* | Number eligible | Eligible as percentage of ETS | Eligible who reenliat | Reenlistments as |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Percentage of eligible | Precentage of ETS |
| Men | 25,559 | 21,329 | 83.5 | 13.739 | 64.4 | 53.8 |
| Women | 1,799 | 1.431 | 79.5 | 842 | 58.8 | 46.8 |
| Women minus men ${ }^{\text {n }}$ |  |  | -3.9 |  | -5.6 | -70 |
| 1987 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 25,950 | 22,158 | 85.4 | 13,657 | 61.6 | 52.6 |
| Women | 2,075 | 1,703 | 82.1 | 957 | 56.2 | 46.1 |
| Women minus men ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | -3.3 |  | -5.4 | -6.5 |
| 1988 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 27.609 | 23,366 | 84.6 | 14,447 | 61.8 | 52.3 |
| Women | 2,692 | 2,209 | 82.1 | 1,175 | 53.2 | 43.6 |
| Women minus men ${ }^{\text {o }}$ |  |  | -2.6 |  | -8.6 | -8.7 |
| Career ratention |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1984 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 27.568 | 20.143 | 73.1 | 19.126 | 95.0 | 69.4 |
| Women | 1,015 | 909 | 89.6 | 853 | 93.8 | 84.0 |
| Women minus men ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | 16.5 |  | -1.1 | 147 |
| 1985 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 27,386 | 20,160 | 73.6 | 18,853 | 93.5 | 68.8 |
| Women | 1,125 | 995 | 88.4 | 903 | 90.8 | 80.3 |
| Women minus men ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | 14.8 |  | -2.8 | 11.4 |
| 1986 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 28,583 | 20,561 | 71.9 | 19,255 | 93.6 | 674 |
| Women | 1,372 | 1,281 | 93.4 | 1,180 | 92.1 | 86.0 |
| Women minus men ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | 21.4 |  | -1.5 | 18.6 |
| 1987 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 29,164 | 21,453 | 73.6 | 19,853 | 92.5 | 68.1 |
| Women | 1,660 | 1,533 | 92.3 | 1,392 | 90.8 | 83.9 |
| Women minus men ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | 18.8 |  | -1.7 | 15.8 |
| 1988 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 30,784 | 22.028 | 71.6 | 20,307 | 92.2 | 66.0 |
| Women | 2,087 | 1,851 | 88.7 | 1,651 | 89.2 | 79.1 |
| Women minus men ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | 17.1 |  | -3.0 | 13. |

${ }^{3}$ ETS refers to end of term of service separations.
${ }^{\circ}$ Differences may not appear exact due to rounding.

Table IV.4: Marine Corps Enliated Retention (Fiscal Years 1984 Through 1988)

| Fiscal year | Total ETS | Number eligible | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Eligible as } \\ \text { percentage of } \\ \text { ETS } \end{array}$ | Eligible who reenlist | Reenlistments as |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Percentage of eligible | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Precentage } \\ \text { of ET'S } \end{array}$ |
| First term retention |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1984 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 42,705 | 20,742 | 48.6 | 8.010 | 38.6 | 188 |
| Women | 2.558 | 1,285 | 50.2 | 700 | 54.5 | 274 |
| Women minus men ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | 1.7 |  | 15.9 | 8.6 |
| 1985 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 37,006 | 19,975 | 54.0 | 7,085 | 35.5 | 19.1 |
| Women | 2,266 | 1.093 | 48.2 | 556 | 50.9 | 24.5 |
| Women minus men ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | -5.7 |  | 15.4 | 5.4 |
| 1986 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 39,514 | 18.094 | 45.8 | 8,197 | 45.3 | 207 |
| Women | 2,569 | 1,082 | 42.1 | 632 | 58.4 | 246 |
| Women minus men ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | -3.7 |  | 13.1 | 3.9 |
| 1987 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 34,334 | 18,815 | 54.8 | 6,376 | 33.9 | 186 |
| Women | 2,053 | 931 | 45.3 | 468 | 50.3 | 22.8 |
| Women minus men ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | -9.5 |  | 16.4 | 4.2 |
| 1898 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 34,830 | 19,659 | 56.4 | 5,058 | 25.7 | 14.5 |
| Women | 2,150 | 890 | 41.4 | 344 | 38.7 | 16.0 |
| Women minus men ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | -15.0 |  | 12.9 | 15 |
| Second term retention |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1984 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 11,751 | 7,281 | 62.0 | 5,602 | 76.9 | 47.7 |
| Women | 458 | 321 | 70.1 | 238 | 74.1 | 52.0 |
| Women minus men ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | 8.1 |  | -2.8 | 4.3 |
| 1985 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 8,663 | 5,869 | 67.7 | 4,403 | 75.0 | 508 |
| Women | 509 | 367 | 72.1 | 274 | 74.7 | 53.8 |
| Women minus men ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | 4.4 |  | -0.4 | 3.0 |


| Fiscal year | Total ETS* | Number eliglble | Eligible as percentage of ETS | Eligible who reenliat | Reenlistments as |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Percentage of eligible | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Precentage } \\ & \text { of EfS } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1986 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 10,086 | 6,910 | 68.5 | 4.815 | 69.7 | 477 |
| Women | 732 | 499 | 68.2 | 376 | 754 | 514 |
| Women minus men ${ }^{\text {o }}$ |  |  | -0.3 |  | 5.7 | 36 |
| 1987 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 8,515 | 6,132 | 72.0 | 4.207 | 68.6 | 494 |
| Women | 674 | 481 | 71.4 | 335 | 69.6 | 497 |
| Women minus men ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | -0.6 |  | 1.0 | 03 |
| 1988 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 9,411 | 6,827 | 72.5 | 4,400 | 64.4 | 468 |
| Women | 745 | 509 | 68.3 | 337 | 66.2 | 45 c |
| Women minus men ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | -4.2 |  | 1.8 | -15 |
| Career retention |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1984 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 6,464 | 4,558 | 70.5 | 4,398 | 96.5 | 68.0 |
| Women | 66 | 56 | 84.8 | 54 | 96.4 | 818 |
| Women minus men ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | 14.3 |  | -0.1 | 13.8 |
| 1985 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 6,247 | 4.436 | 71.0 | 4,189 | 94.4 | 671 |
| Women | 81 | 60 | 74.1 | 50 | 83.3 | 61.7 |
| Women minus men ${ }^{\text {o }}$ |  |  | 3.1 |  | -11.1 | -5.3 |
| 1986 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 7.413 | 5.105 | 68.9 | 4.831 | 94.6 | 652 |
| Women | 125 | 97 | 77.6 | 93 | 959 | 74.4 |
| Women minus men ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | 8.7 |  | 12 | 9.2 |
| 1987 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 7.750 | 5,603 | 72.3 | 5.198 | 92.8 | 671 |
| Women | 168 | 129 | 76.8 | 22 | 171 | 131 |
| Women minus men ${ }^{\circ}$ |  |  | 4.5 |  | -757 | $-54$. |
| 1988 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 7,972 | 5,616 | 70.4 | 5,111 | 910 | 64 |
| Wormen | 208 | 158 | 76.0 | 142 | 899 | 68 \% |
| Women minus men ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | 5.5 |  | -11 | $4 \hat{c}$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ ETS refers $t 0$ end of term of service separations.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Differences may not appear exact due to rounding

| Fiscal year | Total ETS | Number eligible | Eligible as percentage of ETS | Eligible who reenlist | Reenlistments as |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Percentege of eligible | Precentage of ETS |
| First term retention |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1984 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 72,524 | 56,817 | 78.3 | 24,170 | 42.5 | 33.3 |
| Women | 11,302 | 9.866 | 87.3 | 4,966 | 50.3 | 43.9 |
| Women minus men ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | 9.0 |  | 7.8 | 10.6 |
| 1985 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 69,662 | 58,503 | 84.0 | 24,462 | 41.8 | 35.1 |
| Women | 9,356 | 8,557 | 91.5 | 4,298 | 50.2 | 45.9 |
| Women minus men ${ }^{\circ}$ |  |  | 7.5 |  | 8.4 | 10.8 |
| 1986 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 81,307 | 72,885 | 89.6 | 29,186 | 40.0 | 35.9 |
| Women | 9,761 | 9.169 | 93.9 | 4,286 | 46.7 | 43.9 |
| Women minus men ${ }^{\circ}$ |  |  | 4.3 |  | 6.7 | 8.0 |
| 1987 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 84,861 | 74,958 | 88.3 | 30,751 | 41.0 | 36.2 |
| Women | 9,988 | 9,054 | 90.6 | 4,227 | 46.7 | 42.3 |
| Women minus men ${ }^{\circ}$ |  |  | 2.3 |  | 5.7 | 6.1 |
| 1988 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 77,783 | 65,246 | 83.9 | 30,541 | 46.8 | 39.3 |
| Women | 10,402 | 9,320 | 89.6 | 5,316 | 57.0 | 51.1 |
| Women minus men ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | 5.7 |  | 10.2 | 11.8 |
| Second term retention |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1984 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 33,397 | 27,885 | 83.5 | 21,983 | 78.8 | 65.8 |
| Women | 3,591 | 3,249 | 90.5 | 2,336 | 71.9 | 65.1 |
| Women minus men ${ }^{\text {D }}$ |  |  | 7.0 |  | -6.9 | -0.8 |
| 1985 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 32,076 | 26,883 | 83.8 | 20,748 | 77.2 | 64.7 |
| Women | 3,891 | 3,445 | 88.5 | 2,452 | 71.2 | 63.0 |
| Women minus men ${ }^{\circ}$ |  |  | 4.7 |  | -6.0 | -17 |

## Appendix IV <br> Retention Data

| Fiscal year | Total ETS ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Number eligible | Eligible as percentage of ETS | Eligible who reenlist | Reenlistments as |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Percentage of eligible | Precentage of ET |
| 1986 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 32,132 | 28.286 | 88.0 | 22.042 | 779 | 68. |
| Women | 4,111 | 3,742 | 91.0 | 2.722 | 72.7 | 66. |
| Women minus men ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | 3.0 |  | -5.2 | -2 |
| 1987 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 25,401 | 20,721 | 81.6 | 18,055 | 871 | 71 |
| Women | 4,047 | 3,308 | 81.7 | 2.735 | 82.7 | 67 |
| Women minus men ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | 0.2 |  | -4.5 | -3 |
| 1988 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 29,276 | 25,397 | 86.8 | 22,969 | 90.4 | 78 |
| Women | 5,270 | 4,544 | 86.2 | 4,046 | 89.0 | 76. |
| Women minus men ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | -0.5 |  | -1.4 | -1 |

## Career retention

| Men | 31,774 | 23,635 | 74.4 | 22,514 | 95.3 | 70 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Women | 842 | 751 | 89.2 | 667 | 88.8 | 79 |
| Women minus men ${ }^{\circ}$ |  |  | 14.8 |  | -6.4 | 8. |
| 1985 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 30,197 | 22,124 | 73.3 | 21,135 | 95.5 | 70 |
| Women | 992 | 884 | 89.1 | 799 | 90.4 | 80 |
| Women minus men ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | 15.8 |  | -5.1 | 10 |
| 1986 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 29,957 | 21,638 | 72.2 | 20,628 | 95.3 | 68 |
| Women | 1,109 | 1.015 | 91.5 | 912 | 89.9 | 82 |
| Women minus men ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | 19.3 |  | -5.5 | 13 |
| 1887 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 29,792 | 21,522 | 72.2 | 20,943 | 97.3 | 70 |
| Women | 1,168 | 1,024 | 87.7 | 958 | 93.6 | 82 |
| Women minus men ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | 15.4 |  | -3.8 | 11 |


|  |  |  | Expible as |  | Reenlistu | nts as |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fiscal year | Total ETS* | Number clingive | percentage of | Eligtble who reendist | Percentage of | Precentage |
| 1800 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 38,821 | 29,191 | 75.2 | 28,599 | 98.0 | 73.7 |
| Women | 1,940 | 1,734 | 89.4 | 1,667 | 96.1 | 85.9 |
| Women minus men ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | 14.2 |  | -1.8 | 12.3 |

${ }^{a}$ ETS refers to end of term of service separations.
bifferences may not appear exact due to rounding.

Figure IV.1: Air Force Eligibility for Rcenlistment - First Term


# Figure IV.2: Air Force Reenlistment of Eligitble Persons - First Torm 



Figure IV.3: Air Force Reenlistments as a Pereentage of Total Separations - First Torm


Figure IV.4: Alr Force Ellofbility for Reenlistment - Second Term


Figure IV.5: Air Force Reenlistment of Eligible Persons - Second Term


Figure IV.6: Alr Force Reenliatments as a Percentage of Total Separations -
Second Torm


Figure IV.7: Air Force Ellgibillty for
Reenlistment - Career
100 Percent
96
90


Figure IV.9: Air Force Reenlistments as a Percentage of Total Separations - Career


Figure IV.10: Navy Eligibility for Reenlistment - First Term


## Figure IV.11: Navy Reenlistment of Eliglble Porsons - First Term
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Figure IV.14: Navy Reenlistment of Eligible Persons - Second Term
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# Figure IV.15: Navy Reenlistments as a Percentage of Total Separations - 

 second Term
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# Figure IV.16: Navy Eligibility for 

 Reenlistment - Carcer
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Figure IV.17: Navy Reenlistment of Eligible Persons - Career
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Figure IV.18: Navy Reenlistments as a Percentage of Total Seperations - Career


Figure IV.19: Marine Corps Eligibility for
Reenlistment - First Term


Figure IV.20: Marine Corps Reenlistment of Eligible Persons - First Term


Figure IV.21: Marine Corps
Reenlietments as a Percentage of Total
Separations - First Torm
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# Figure IV.22: Marine Corps Eligibility for 
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Figure IV.23: Marine Corps Reenlistment of Eligible Persons - Second Term



Figure IV.25: Marine Corps Eligliblity for
Peenllatment - Coreer


Figure IV.26: Marine Corps Reenlistment of Eligible Persons - Career
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Figure IV.27: Marine Corps
Resnliatments as a Percentage of Total Separations - Career


Figure IV.28: Army Eligibility for
Reenlistment - First Term


Figure IV.29: Army Reenlistment of Eligible Persons - First Term
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Figure IV.31: Army Ellgibility for Reenllstment - Second Torm
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Figure IV.33: Army Reenlistmente as a Percentage of Total Separations Second Term


Figure IV.34: Army Eligibility for Reenlistment - Career
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Figure IV.35: Army Reenilatment of Eligible Persons - Career



Table IV.6: Officer Retention Retes for the Services Combined (Fiscal Years 1984 Through 1988)

Figures in percent

| Years in service | Fiscal year |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1904 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 |
| Men |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 90.88 | 90.50 | 91.22 | 90.48 | 90.21 |
| 4 | 88.30 | 88.30 | 89.01 | 88.56 | 88.10 |
| 5 | 91.26 | 90.85 | 90.98 | 90.31 | 90.70 |
| 6 | 91.80 | 90.87 | 90.75 | 90.72 | 90.95 |
| 7 | 92.69 | 91.43 | 91.09 | 89.68 | 89.79 |
| 8 | 94.14 | 92.71 | 93.09 | 92.50 | 91.89 |
| 9 | 95.86 | 94.88 | 94.65 | 94.37 | 94.18 |
| 10 | 95.88 | 95.51 | 95.02 | 94.71 | 93.75 |
| 11 | 95.64 | 94.66 | 93.92 | 91.28 | 90.97 |
| 12 | 95.45 | 94.35 | 95.49 | 95.24 | 96.00 |
| 13 | 97.40 | 97.40 | 97.79 | 97.40 | 97.15 |
| 14 | 97.92 | 98.15 | 98.21 | 97.69 | 97.74 |
| 15 | 98.31 | 98.15 | 98.30 | 98.15 | 98.26 |
| 16 | 99.02 | 98.61 | 98.56 | 98.72 | 98.55 |
| 17 | 99.07 | 99.05 | 99.09 | 99.02 | 98.76 |
| 18 | 99.38 | 99.31 | 99.50 | 99.30 | 99.43 |
| 19 | 98.52 | 98.69 | 98.67 | 98.90 | 98.88 |
| 20 | 68.85 | 70.95 | 72.03 | 68.41 | 68.50 |
| 21+ | 81.77 | 82.74 | 84.15 | 83.85 | 82.71 |


| Women |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 | 82.96 | 82.50 | 82.11 | 81.67 | 80.29 |
| 4 | 84.39 | 83.85 | 84.95 | 84.62 | 84.16 |
| 5 | 91.25 | 89.85 | 88.13 | 88.53 | 88.88 |
| 6 | 91.78 | 91.11 | 89.88 | 88.73 | 89.30 |
| 7 | 92.92 | 91.94 | 91.47 | 89.69 | 88.43 |
| 8 | 93.62 | 93.00 | 93.19 | 92.85 | 91.31 |
| 9 | 92.65 | 94.44 | 94.30 | 92.80 | 93.23 |
| 10 | 93.44 | 91.44 | 95.41 | 93.05 | 93.13 |
| 11 | 95.93 | 95.43 | 93.44 | 90.66 | 91.29 |
| 12 | 96.25 | 94.83 | 93.55 | 94.36 | 96.04 |
| 13 | 97.21 | 97.52 | 95.99 | 95.56 | 94.82 |
| 14 | 96.24 | 97.37 | 97.30 | 97.71 | 96.66 |
| 15 | 97.96 | 97.60 | 96.07 | 97.65 | 97.24 |
|  |  |  |  |  | (continued) |


| Years in service | Fiscal year |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 |
| 16 | 98.40 | 98.17 | 9809 | 98.93 | 9919 |
| 17 | 98.96 | 99.68 | 98.94 | 99.17 | 9914 |
| 18 | 99.48 | 98.97 | 99.68 | 9973 | 9889 |
| 19 | 96.18 | 94.57 | 96.89 | 9662 | 9673 |
| 20 | 75.84 | 79.95 | 75.00 | 75.88 | 73.75 |
| 21+ | 79.65 | 81.88 | 82.83 | 80.83 | 8127 |

Women minus mena

| 3 | -7.92 | -8.01 | -9.11 | -8.80 | -991 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 4 | -3.91 | -4.44 | -4.06 | -3.94 | -3.94 |
| 5 | -0.02 | -1.00 | -2.85 | -1.79 | -182 |
| 6 | -0.02 | 0.24 | -0.87 | -199 | -1.65 |
| 7 | 0.23 | 0.50 | 0.38 | 0.01 | -1.36 |
| 8 | -0.53 | 0.29 | 0.10 | 0.35 | -0.58 |
| 9 | -3.21 | -0.44 | -0.35 | -1.56 | -0.95 |
| 10 | -2.44 | -4.07 | 0.40 | -1.66 | -0.61 |
| 11 | 0.29 | 0.77 | -0.49 | -0.62 | 0.33 |
| 12 | 0.80 | 0.48 | -1.94 | -0.89 | 0.04 |
| 13 | -0.19 | 0.12 | -1.80 | -1.85 | -2.34 |
| 14 | -1.69 | -0.78 | -0.91 | 0.02 | -108 |
| 15 | -0.35 | -0.55 | -2.23 | -0.50 | -102 |
| 16 | -0.63 | -0.44 | -0.47 | 0.21 | 0.64 |
| 17 | -0.11 | 0.63 | -0.15 | 0.15 | 0.38 |
| 18 | 0.11 | -0.34 | 0.17 | 0.43 | -055 |
| 19 | -2.34 | -4.12 | -1.78 | -2.28 | -2.15 |
| 20 | 6.99 | 9.00 | 2.97 | 7.47 | 510 |
| $21+$ | -2.12 | -0.85 | -1.32 | -3.02 | -144 |

aMen's rates were subtracted from women's rates. Therefore, a positive number indicates a higher women's rate, while a negative number indicates a higher men's rate. Differences may not appear exact due to rounding

Figures in percent

| Yoars in service | Fiscal year |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 |
| Mon |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 95.68 | 95.98 | 96.45 | 96.39 | 94.90 |
| 4 | 88.74 | 90.21 | 90.16 | 89.24 | 89.52 |
| 5 | 95.02 | 94.21 | 94.49 | 94.00 | 9406 |
| 6 | 93.86 | 93.69 | 93.52 | 94.50 | 93.80 |
| 7 | 94.75 | 93.67 | 93.36 | 89.11 | 89.02 |
| 8 | 95.16 | 93.86 | 93.39 | 93.16 | 90.72 |
| 9 | 96.07 | 95.43 | 94.62 | 93.88 | 94.47 |
| 10 | 96.35 | 96.00 | 95.25 | 94.75 | 94.31 |
| 11 | 97.43 | 95.74 | 94.05 | 93.83 | 91.70 |
| 12 | 94.03 | 91.99 | 93.94 | 95.24 | 96.80 |
| 13 | 97.55 | 96.98 | 97.72 | 97.61 | 97.26 |
| 14 | 98.16 | 98.29 | 98.09 | 98.22 | 98.18 |
| 15 | 98.75 | 98.40 | 98.50 | 98.64 | 98.39 |
| 16 | 99.26 | 98.69 | 98.56 | 98.94 | 98.89 |
| 17 | 99.24 | 99.21 | 99.44 | 98.99 | 99.10 |
| 18 | 99.56 | 99.61 | 99.74 | 99.49 | 99.76 |
| 19 | 99.38 | 98.63 | 99.17 | 99.44 | 99.23 |
| 20 | 67.27 | 70.49 | 71.07 | 66.26 | 63.90 |
| 21+ | 77.61 | 80.22 | 82.71 | 82.01 | 80.59 |

## Women

| 3 | 84.45 | 83.93 | 85.46 | 83.24 | 82.27 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4 | 82.69 | 81.22 | 81.93 | 85.01 | 83.85 |
| 5 | 91.37 | 89.33 | 87.21 | 90.31 | 88.90 |
| 6 | 93.05 | 93.17 | 90.50 | 87.18 | 89.76 |
| 7 | 93.31 | 91.77 | 91.97 | 89.67 | 85.93 |
| 8 | 94.10 | 92.82 | 93.28 | 93.52 | 91.45 |
| 9 | 93.61 | 94.12 | 94.54 | 93.23 | 94.07 |
| 10 | 93.73 | 91.51 | 94.40 | 95.64 | 93.66 |
| 11 | 96.88 | 95.36 | 92.49 | 90.55 | 89.77 |
| 12 | 94.22 | 90.98 | 91.48 | 94.50 | 96.90 |
| 13 | 97.37 | 97.72 | 96.28 | 97.73 | 97.59 |
| 14 | 96.10 | 98.39 | 98.07 | 98.25 | 97.70 |
| 15 | 97.48 | 99.32 | 98.90 | 98.81 | 96.88 |
|  |  |  |  |  | (continued) |


| Years in service | Fiscal year |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 |
| 16 | 99.39 | 97.44 | 97.97 | 98.35 | 99.59 |
| 17 | 98.99 | 100.00 | 98.25 | 100.00 | 99.44 |
| 18 | 99.21 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 10000 |
| 19 | 100.00 | 99.21 | 97.00 | 99.38 | 98.20 |
| 20 | 47.25 | 42.86 | 56.20 | 48.57 | 52.10 |
| 21+ | 74.13 | 77.54 | 78.46 | 78.40 | 7740 |

Women minus mena

| 3 | -11.23 | -12.05 | -10.98 | -13.15 | -12.62 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 4 | -6.05 | -8.99 | -8.23 | -4.23 | -5.68 |
| 5 | -3.66 | -4.87 | -7.28 | -3.70 | -5.16 |
| 6 | -0.81 | -0.52 | -3.03 | -7.32 | -4.05 |
| 7 | -1.44 | -1.90 | -1.39 | 0.56 | -3.09 |
| 8 | -1.06 | -1.04 | -0.12 | 0.37 | 0.73 |
| 9 | -2.46 | -1.32 | -0.08 | -0.65 | -0.40 |
| 10 | -2.62 | -4.49 | -0.85 | 0.89 | -0.65 |
| 11 | -0.55 | -0.39 | -1.56 | -3.28 | -1.93 |
| 12 | 0.20 | -1.02 | -2.46 | -0.75 | 0.11 |
| 13 | -0.18 | 0.74 | -1.44 | 0.11 | 0.34 |
| 14 | -2.05 | 0.09 | -0.02 | 0.03 | -0.48 |
| 15 | -1.27 | 0.93 | 0.40 | 0.17 | -1.51 |
| 16 | 0.13 | -1.26 | -0.59 | -0.58 | 0.70 |
| 17 | -0.25 | 0.79 | -1.19 | 1.01 | 0.34 |
| 18 | -0.35 | 0.39 | 0.26 | 0.51 | 0.24 |
| 19 | 0.62 | 0.59 | -2.17 | -0.06 | -1.03 |
| 20 | -20.02 | -27.64 | -14.87 | -17.69 | -11.81 |
| $21+$ | -3.49 | -2.68 | -4.24 | -3.62 | -3.19 |

${ }^{2}$ Men's rates were subtracted from women's rates. Therefore, a positive number indicates a higher women's rate, while a negative number indicates a higher men's rate. Differences may not appear exact due to rounding.

Table IV.e: Navy Officer Retention Rates
(Fiscal Years 1984 Through 1988)

Figures in percent

| Years in service | Fiscal year |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1984 | 1985 | 1886 | 1987 | 1988 |
| Men |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 93.07 | 93.22 | 93.37 | 93.33 | 92.92 |
| 4 | 87.62 | 88.05 | 88.62 | 88.44 | 89.84 |
| 5 | 88.20 | 88.41 | 89.70 | 88.49 | 88.48 |
| 6 | 89.09 | 86.51 | 87.35 | 86.57 | 86.72 |
| 7 | 89.24 | 86.04 | 86.05 | 86.81 | 87.23 |
| 8 | 90.70 | 89.33 | 89.58 | 89.06 | 89.27 |
| 9 | 93.88 | 91.79 | 92.50 | 92.64 | 9195 |
| 10 | 94.60 | 93.96 | 92.51 | 91.90 | 89.01 |
| 11 | 96.58 | 96.03 | 95.00 | 95.77 | 95.59 |
| 12 | 97.26 | 96.70 | 96.95 | 97.40 | 96.23 |
| 13 | 97.74 | 97.51 | 97.92 | 97.79 | 97.94 |
| 14 | 97.98 | 98.13 | 98.33 | 97.74 | 98.07 |
| 15 | 98.78 | 98.50 | 98.54 | 98.39 | 98.94 |
| 16 | 99.17 | 98.95 | 98.90 | 98.83 | 98.53 |
| 17 | 99.25 | 99.18 | 99.08 | 99.04 | 98.60 |
| 18 | 99.15 | 98.81 | 99.47 | 99.55 | 99.13 |
| 19 | 98.52 | 98.51 | 98.71 | 98.77 | 99.09 |
| 20 | 74.11 | 73.76 | 74.44 | 73.74 | 74.67 |
| 21+ | 85.99 | 85.35 | 85.23 | 85.22 | 83.67 |

Women

| 3 | 87.35 | 88.77 | 83.23 | 83.91 | 81.70 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4 | 89.36 | 87.75 | 88.73 | 84.48 | 81.94 |
| 5 | 90.08 | 90.89 | 89.97 | 88.54 | 89.33 |
| 6 | 90.20 | 89.93 | 88.40 | 86.96 | 89.92 |
| 7 | 92.89 | 91.01 | 90.98 | 87.28 | 90.14 |
| 8 | 94.27 | 95.78 | 91.71 | 92.03 | 89.91 |
| 9 | 93.91 | 96.30 | 92.89 | 92.43 | 92.66 |
| 10 | 96.23 | 87.98 | 96.60 | 90.74 | 89.10 |
| 11 | 98.80 | 96.09 | 93.65 | 94.76 | 96.05 |
| 12 | 98.34 | 97.64 | 97.20 | 98.37 | 96.73 |
| 13 | 98.59 | 98.87 | 94.92 | 93.57 | 92.67 |
| 14 | 96.64 | 98.60 | 98.26 | 97.98 | 97.05 |
| 15 | 99.03 | 97.96 | 97.16 | 97.67 | 97.56 |
|  |  |  |  |  | (Continued) |


| Years in <br> service | $\mathbf{1 9 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{y y y y y}$ | Fiscal year |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 16 | 100.00 | 99.07 | $\mathbf{1 9 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 8}$ |  |
| 17 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 97.93 | 99.26 | 9943 |  |
| 18 | 100.00 | 98.48 | 100.00 | 99.30 | 9925 |  |
| 19 | 98.28 | 96.67 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 9792 |  |
| 20 | 60.00 | 61.67 | 64.52 | 100.00 | 9813 |  |
| $21+$ | 83.46 | 83.45 | 86.30 | 66.67 | 53.54 |  |

Women minus men'

| 3 | -5.72 | -4.45 | -10.14 | -9.42 | -1122 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 4 | 1.75 | -0.31 | 0.11 | -3.97 | -790 |
| 5 | 1.88 | 2.49 | 0.27 | 0.05 | 0.85 |
| 6 | 1.12 | 3.42 | 1.05 | 0.39 | 3.19 |
| 7 | 3.65 | 4.97 | 4.93 | 0.47 | 2.92 |
| 8 | 3.57 | 6.45 | 2.13 | 2.96 | 0.65 |
| 9 | 0.03 | 4.51 | 0.39 | -0.21 | 0.71 |
| 10 | 1.62 | -5.98 | 4.09 | -1.16 | 0.09 |
| 11 | 2.22 | 0.06 | -1.35 | -1.01 | 046 |
| 12 | 1.08 | 0.94 | 0.25 | 0.97 | 0.50 |
| 13 | 0.86 | 1.36 | -3.00 | -4.21 | -5.27 |
| 14 | -1.33 | 0.47 | -0.07 | 0.24 | -103 |
| 15 | 0.25 | -0.54 | -1.37 | -0.71 | -1.38 |
| 16 | 0.83 | 0.11 | -0.97 | 0.43 | 0.90 |
| 17 | 0.75 | 0.82 | 0.92 | 0.26 | 0.65 |
| 18 | 0.85 | -0.33 | 0.53 | 0.45 | -1.21 |
| 19 | -0.25 | -1.84 | 1.29 | 1.23 | -0.96 |
| 20 | -14.11 | -12.09 | -9.92 | -7.07 | -19.35 |
| $21+$ | -2.53 | -1.89 | 1.07 | -1.69 | -1.95 |

[^7]Figures in percent

| Years in service | Fiacal year |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1944 | 1886 | 1988 | 1987 | 1988 |
| Men |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 86.89 | 87.21 | 88.08 | 84.69 | 85.55 |
| 4 | 88.33 | 87.38 | 88.59 | 88.29 | 86.36 |
| 5 | 89.73 | 90.40 | 89.88 | 88.57 | 88.63 |
| 6 | 92.97 | 92.38 | 92.59 | 91.24 | 92.91 |
| 7 | 93.36 | 93.10 | 92.64 | 92.13 | 92.68 |
| 8 | 95.21 | 93.43 | 94.86 | 93.87 | 94.19 |
| 9 | 96.55 | 96.00 | 95.75 | 95.46 | 95.07 |
| 10 | 96.65 | 96.28 | 96.23 | 95.62 | 95.57 |
| 11 | 93.71 | 93.73 | 93.54 | 85.99 | 87.57 |
| 12 | 95.36 | 95.27 | 95.82 | 93.55 | 96.54 |
| 13 | 96.83 | 97.68 | 97.93 | 96.78 | 96.47 |
| 14 | 97.55 | 98.05 | 98.18 | 96.94 | 96.88 |
| 15 | 97.54 | 97.66 | 97.86 | 97.49 | 97.71 |
| 16 | 98.65 | 98.28 | 98.36 | 98.49 | 98.25 |
| 17 | 98.75 | 98.81 | 98.75 | 99.12 | 98.38 |
| 18 | 99.39 | 99.27 | 99.42 | 98.99 | 99.26 |
| 19 | 97.88 | 99.02 | 98.33 | 98.77 | 98.64 |
| 20 | 66.97 | 68.88 | 71.52 | 67.42 | 69.27 |
| 21+ | 82.36 | 83.01 | 84.87 | 84.47 | 84.16 |


| Women |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 | 78.74 | 77.99 | 78.68 | 79.19 | 77.55 |
| 4 | 83.59 | 83.79 | 85.62 | 84.45 | 85.62 |
| 5 | 91.90 | 90.34 | 88.32 | 86.51 | 88.88 |
| 6 | 91.28 | 88.83 | 89.97 | 91.31 | 89.19 |
| 7 | 91.94 | 92.80 | 91.35 | 91.77 | 89.70 |
| 8 | 92.98 | 90.89 | 93.65 | 92.41 | 91.96 |
| 9 | 90.57 | 93.33 | 95.17 | 92.63 | 92.52 |
| 10 | 90.62 | 92.77 | 95.31 | 91.77 | 95.04 |
| 11 | 92.72 | 95.16 | 94.72 | 87.04 | 88.91 |
| 12 | 97.29 | 95.64 | 93.67 | 91.89 | 94.56 |
| 13 | 97.16 | 97.67 | 98.09 | 96.35 | 92.06 |
| 14 | 98.31 | 96.20 | 97.65 | 98.40 | 96.59 |
| 15 | 97.71 | 97.70 | 93.68 | 98.13 | 98.03 |

## Appendix IV

Retention Data

|  | Fears in |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| service | $\mathbf{1 9 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 8}$ |
| 16 | 97.04 | 97.84 | 99.37 | 99.44 | 9903 |
| 17 | 98.45 | 99.21 | 98.51 | 98.75 | 9884 |
| 18 | 100.00 | 98.52 | 99.20 | 99.25 | 9937 |
| 19 | 95.83 | 96.04 | 97.74 | 96.12 | 9774 |
| 20 | 60.53 | 70.42 | 63.64 | 66.42 | 6763 |
| $21+$ | 81.65 | 86.18 | 82.73 | 81.25 | 8517 |

Women minus man*

| 3 | -8.15 | -9.22 | -9.40 | -5.50 | -8.00 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 4 | -4.74 | -3.58 | -2.96 | -3.84 | -0.74 |
| 5 | 2.16 | -0.05 | -1.56 | -2.06 | 024 |
| 6 | -1.69 | -3.55 | -2.61 | 0.07 | -3.72 |
| 7 | -1.42 | -0.31 | -1.29 | -0.36 | -2.98 |
| 8 | -2.23 | -2.53 | -1.21 | -1.46 | -2.22 |
| 9 | -5.98 | -2.67 | -0.58 | -2.84 | -2.56 |
| 10 | -6.03 | -3.51 | -0.92 | -3.85 | -0.52 |
| 11 | -0.99 | 1.43 | 1.18 | 1.05 | 1.34 |
| 12 | 1.93 | 0.36 | -2.15 | -1.66 | -1.97 |
| 13 | 0.33 | 0 | 0.16 | -0.43 | -4.41 |
| 14 | 0.76 | -1.86 | -0.53 | 1.46 | -029 |
| 15 | 0.17 | 0.05 | -4.17 | 0.64 | 033 |
| 16 | -1.61 | -0.44 | 1.01 | 0.94 | 0.78 |
| 17 | -0.30 | 0.41 | -0.25 | -0.37 | 047 |
| 18 | 0.61 | -0.75 | -0.22 | 0.26 | 0.11 |
| 19 | -2.05 | -2.98 | -0.59 | -2.65 | -0.89 |
| 20 | -6.44 | 1.54 | -7.89 | -1.00 | -1.65 |
| $21+$ | -0.71 | 3.17 | -2.14 | -3.22 | 1.01 |

${ }^{a}$ Men's rates were subtracted from women's rates. Therefore, a positive number indicates a higher women's rate while a negative number indicates a higher men's rate. Differences may not appear exact due to rounding

# Objectives, Scope, and Methodology 

The Chairman, Subcommittee on Military Personnel and Compensation, House Committee on Armed Services, requested that we review the attrition and retention of women in the military. The Subcommittee requested that we

- compare attrition rates for men and women in officer and enlisted grades,
- identify the primary categories of separation for enlisted men and women during their first enlistment, and
- compare retention rates for men and women in officer and enlisted grades.

As used in this report, attrition refers to the loss (voluntary or involuntary) of military personnel prior to completion of the first term of enlistment or obligated duty. Retention refers to the voluntary continuation in military service of persons who complete their first enlistment or obligation.

We discussed attrition and retention issues with, and obtained documentation from, officials of the Department of Defense, the Air Force, Army, Navy, and Marine Corps. For each service, we met with officials at headquarters, military personnel centers, training commands, and one basic training location.

Our analysis of enlisted and officer attrition, and officer retention, is based on data from the Defense Manpower Data Center. Our analysis of enlisted retention uses data obtained from the services through a special request from the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Force Management and Personnel. We did not verify the data obtained from these sources.

Our review covered attrition and retention of active duty officers and enlistees. For enlisted personnel, our analysis focused on non-prior service enlistees for the primary terms of enlistment, which were the 4year enlistment in each service and also the 3 -year enlistment in the Army. Because an officer's initial term of obligation was generally 3, 4, 5 , or 6 years in the 1980s, our review of officer attrition focused on separations occurring during the first 3 years of service.

The data presented compares men and women who entered or left the service in the same time frame and context. Our review covered data for 5 fiscal years, with the exception of enlisted separation categories which were analyzed for 3 fiscal years. We did not review service programs or

## Appendix V

policies which might contribute to differences in behavior for one group of fiscal year entrants or separations in comparison to another fiscal year's results. We did not obtain information on the causes of attrition beyond what separation category data provides. To reach enough people to conduct a valid independent survey would have required considerable calendar and staff time and produced results with very limited applicability.

We conducted our review from September 1988 to May 1989 in accordance with generally accepted govermment auditing standards.

## Comments From the Department of Defense

## ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

WA㯰NINETOM, D.C. $20801-4000$
15 APR 1990

## FORCE MAMAEEMENT AMO PRTGONNEL

Mr. Frank C. Conahan
Assistant Comptroller General
National Security and International
Affairs Division
U.S. General Accounting office

Washington, D.C. 20548
Dear Mr. Conahan:
This is the Department of Defense (DOD) response to the General Accounting Office (GAO) draft report, moMin IN THE MILITARY: Attrition and Retention," dated March 19, 1990 (GAO Code 391096/OSD Case 8273).

The DoD has reviewed the report and concurs without further comment. The Department appreciates the opportunity to review the report in draft form.

Sincerely,


# Major Contributors to This Report 

# National Security and International Affairs Division Washington, D.C. 

Foy D. Wicker, Assistant Director Marilyn C. Mauch, Assistant Director Beverly Ann Bendekgey, Evaluator-in-Charge Judy Lasley, Evaluator Diane Blake Harper, Social Science Analyst

## Norfolk Regional Office

Dudley C. Roache, Jr., Reginal Management Representative Robert Mandigo, Site Senior Susan D. Martin, Evaluator

Requests for copies of gan reports should be sent to:
U.S. General Accounting Office

Post Office Box 6015
Gaithersburg, Maryland 20877
Telephone 202-275-6241
The first five copies of each report are free. Additional copies are $\$ 2.00$ each.

There is a $\mathbf{2 5} 5^{\circ}$ discount on orders for $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ or more copies mailed to a single address.

Orders must be prepaid by cash or by check or money order made out to the Superintendent of Documents


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Defense Manpower Data Center maintains various military personnel databases. It collates service separation information into standardized categories called interservice separation codes The codes reflect the conditions authorized by service regulations which govern voluntary and involuntary separations from military service.

[^1]:    Teble 1.5: Primary Categories of Separation During Firat 6 Months of Service for AA Services (Enlistees for Fiscal Year 1984)

[^2]:    aNot applicable

[^3]:    Table 1.9: Differences in Men's and Women's Attition Rates Without Pregnancy Separations for Women (Fiscal Year 1984 Enlistees-4-Year Enlistment)

[^4]:    ${ }^{2}$ Fiscal year 1985 applies only to the Army, and only for the 3 -year enlistment

[^5]:    aMen's rates were subtracted from women's rates. Therefore, a positive number indicates a higher

[^6]:    Fiscal year 1985 apolies only to the Army's 3-year enlistment term.

[^7]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Men's rates were subtracted from women's rates. Therefore, a positive number indicates a higher women's rate, while a negative number indicates a higher men's rate. Differences may not appear exact due to rounding.

