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Reported Outpatient Medical Appointment Wait Times Are Unreliable

Statement for the Record by Debra A. Draper Director, Health Care
Chairman Miller, Ranking Member Michaud, and Members of the Committee:

I am pleased to have the opportunity to comment on overcoming barriers for quality mental health care for veterans—particularly those who are returning from deployment. In 2011, we reported that the number of veterans receiving mental health care had increased each year from fiscal year 2006 to 2010, and veterans who served in Afghanistan and Iraq accounted for an increasing proportion of veterans receiving mental health care during this period.\(^1\) We also reported on the key barriers that may hinder veterans from accessing mental health care from the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA), which included difficulty scheduling appointments.\(^2\) More recently, in December 2012, we reported on problems with VA’s oversight of outpatient medical appointment scheduling processes and measurement of outpatient medical appointment wait times.\(^3\)

In fiscal year 2011, there were more than 8 million veterans enrolled in VA’s health system, which is operated by the Veterans Health Administration (VHA). VHA provided nearly 80 million outpatient medical appointments to veterans through its primary and specialty care clinics.\(^4\) Although access to timely medical appointments is critical to ensuring that veterans obtain needed medical care, long wait times and inadequate scheduling processes at VA medical centers (VAMC) have been long-standing problems that persist today. For example, in 2001, we reported on the timeliness of medical appointments and found that two-thirds of the specialty care clinics visited had wait times longer than 30 days, although

\(^1\)GAO, VA Mental Health: Number of Veterans Receiving Care, Barriers Faced, and Efforts to Increase Access, GAO-12-12 (Washington, D.C.: Oct. 14, 2011).

\(^2\)We identified key barriers from the literature, and corroborated the barriers through interviews with VA officials.


\(^4\)Outpatient clinics offer services to patients that do not require a hospital stay. Primary care addresses patients’ routine health needs and specialty care is focused on a specific specialty service such as orthopedics, dermatology, or psychiatry. Throughout this statement we will use the term “medical appointments” to refer to outpatient medical appointments.
some clinics had made progress in reducing wait times, primarily by improving their scheduling processes and making better use of their staff.\(^5\) Later, in 2007, the VA Office of Inspector General (OIG) reported that VHA facilities did not always follow VHA’s scheduling policies and processes and that the accuracy of VHA’s reported wait times for medical appointments was unreliable.\(^6\) Most recently, in 2012, the VA OIG reported that VHA was not providing all new veterans with timely access to full mental health evaluations, and had overstated its success in providing veterans with timely new and follow-up appointments for mental health treatment.\(^7\) Although VHA has reported continued improvements in measuring and achieving timely access to medical appointments, patient complaints and media reports about long wait times have persisted, prompting renewed concerns about excessive medical appointment wait times.

VHA has a scheduling policy intended to help its VAMCs meet its commitment to scheduling medical appointments with no undue waits or delays.\(^8\) The policy establishes processes and procedures for scheduling medical appointments and ensuring the competency of staff directly or indirectly involved in the scheduling process. It includes several requirements that affect timely appointment scheduling, as well as accurate wait time measurement.\(^9\) For example, the policy requires schedulers to record appointments in VHA’s Veterans Health Information Systems and Technology Architecture (VistA) medical appointment system.


\(^8\)VHA medical appointment scheduling policy is documented in VHA Directive 2010-027, VHA Outpatient Scheduling Processes and Procedures (June 9, 2010). We refer to the directive as “VHA’s scheduling policy” from this point forward.

\(^9\)VHA has a separate directive that establishes policy on the provision of telephone service related to clinical care, including facilitating telephone access for medical appointment management. VHA Directive 2007-033, Telephone Service for Clinical Care (Oct. 11, 2007).
At the time of our review, VHA measured medical appointment wait times as the number of days elapsed from the patient’s or provider’s desired date, as recorded in the VistA scheduling system by VAMCs’ schedulers. According to VHA central office officials, VHA measures wait times based on desired date in order to capture the patient’s experience waiting and to reflect the patient’s or provider’s wishes. In fiscal year 2012, VHA had a goal of completing primary care appointments within 7 days of the desired date, and scheduling specialty care appointments within 14 days of the desired date. VHA established these goals based on its performance reported in previous years. To help facilitate accountability for achieving its wait time goals, VHA includes wait time measures—referred to as performance measures—in its Veterans Integrated Service Network (VISN) directors’ and VAMC directors’ performance contracts, and VA

10VistA is the single integrated health information system used throughout VHA in all of its health care settings. There are many different VistA applications for clinical, administrative, and financial functions, including the scheduling system.

11In 2012, VA also had several additional goals related to measuring access to mental health appointments specifically, such as screening eligible patients for depression, post-traumatic stress disorder, and alcohol misuse at required intervals; and documenting that all first-time patients referred for or requesting mental health services receive a full mental health evaluation within 14 days of their initial encounter. As noted earlier, in its Report No. 12-00900-168, the VA OIG found that some of the mental health performance data were not reliable. VA is dropping several of these mental health measures in 2013.

12In 1995, VHA established a goal of scheduling primary and specialty care medical appointments within 30 days to ensure veterans’ timely access to care. In fiscal year 2011, VHA shortened the wait time goal to 14 days for both primary and specialty care medical appointments. In fiscal year 2012, VHA added a goal of completing primary care medical appointments within 7 days of the desired date.

13Each of VA’s 21 VISNs is responsible for managing and overseeing medical facilities within a defined geographic area. VISN and VAMC directors’ performance contracts include measures against which directors are rated at the end of the fiscal year, which determine their performance pay.
includes measures in its budget submissions and performance reports to Congress and stakeholders.¹⁴

This statement highlights key findings from our December 2012 report that describes needed improvements in the reliability of VHA’s reported medical appointment wait times, scheduling oversight, and VHA initiatives to improve access to timely medical appointments.¹⁵ For that report, we reviewed VHA’s scheduling policy and methods for measuring medical appointment wait times and interviewed VHA central office officials responsible for developing them. We did not include mental health appointments in the scope of our work, because this issue was already being reviewed by VA’s Office of Inspector General. We also visited 23 high-volume outpatient clinics at four VAMCs selected for variation in size, complexity, and location; these four VAMCs were located in Dayton, Ohio; Fort Harrison, Montana; Los Angeles, California; and Washington, D.C. At each VAMC we interviewed leadership and other officials about how they manage and improve medical appointment timeliness, their oversight to ensure accuracy of scheduling data and compliance with scheduling policy, and problems staff experience in scheduling timely medical appointments. We examined each VAMC’s and clinic’s implementation of elements of VHA’s scheduling policy and obtained documentation of scheduler training completion. In addition, we interviewed schedulers from 19 of the 23 clinics visited, and also reviewed patient complaints about telephone responsiveness, which is integral to timely medical appointment scheduling. We interviewed the directors and relevant staff of the four VISNs for the sites we visited. We also interviewed VHA central office officials and officials at the VAMCs we visited about selected initiatives to improve veterans’ access to timely medical appointments. We performed this work from February 2012 through December 2012 in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards.

¹⁴VA prepares a congressional budget justification that provides details supporting the policy and funding decisions in the President’s budget request submitted to Congress prior to the beginning of each fiscal year. The budget justification articulates what VA plans to achieve with the resources requested; it includes performance measures by program area. VA also publishes an annual performance report—the performance and accountability report—which contains performance targets and results achieved compared with those targets in the previous year.

¹⁵GAO-13-130.
In brief, we found that (1) VHA’s reported outpatient medical appointment 
wait times are unreliable, (2) there was inconsistent implementation of 
certain elements of VHA’s scheduling policy that could result in increased 
wait times or delays in scheduling timely medical appointments, and 
(3) VHA is implementing or piloting a number of initiatives to improve 
veterans’ access to medical appointments. Specifically, VHA’s reported 
outpatient medical appointment wait times are unreliable because of 
problems with correctly recording the appointment desired date—the date 
on which the patient or provider would like the appointment to be 
scheduled—in the VistA scheduling system. Since, at the time of our 
review, VHA measured medical appointment wait times as the number of 
days elapsed from the desired date, the reliability of reported wait time 
performance is dependent on the consistency with which VAMC 
schedulers record the desired date in the VistA scheduling system. 
However, aspects of VHA’s scheduling policy and related training 
documents on how to determine and record the desired date are unclear 
and do not ensure replicable and reliable recording of the desired date by 
the large number of staff across VHA who can schedule medical 
appointments, which at the time of our review was estimated to be more 
than 50,000. During our site visits, we found that at least one scheduler at 
each VAMC did not record the desired date correctly, which, in certain 
cases, would have resulted in a reported wait time that was shorter than 
the patient actually experienced for that appointment. Moreover, staff at 
some clinics told us they change medical appointment desired dates to 
show clinic wait times within VHA’s performance goals. Although VHA 
officials acknowledged limitations of measuring wait times based on 
desired date, and told us that they use additional information, such as 
patient satisfaction survey results, to monitor veterans’ access to medical 
appointments, reliable measurement of how long veterans wait for 
appointments is essential for identifying and mitigating problems that 
contribute to wait times.

At the VAMCs we visited, we also found inconsistent implementation of 
VHA’s scheduling policy, which can result in increased wait times or 
delays in scheduling timely medical appointments. For example, four 
clinics across three VAMCs did not use the electronic wait list to track 
new patients that needed medical appointments as required by VHA’s 
scheduling policy, putting these clinics at risk for losing track of these 
patients. Furthermore, VAMCs’ oversight of compliance with VHA’s 
scheduling policy was inconsistent across the facilities we visited. 
Specifically, certain VAMCs did not ensure the completion of scheduler 
training by all staff required to complete it even though officials stressed 
the importance of the training for ensuring correct implementation of
VHA’s scheduling policy. VAMCs also described other problems that impede the timely scheduling of medical appointments, including VA’s outdated and inefficient VistA scheduling system, gaps in scheduler staffing, and issues with telephone access. The current VistA scheduling system is more than 25 years old, and VAMC officials reported that using the system is cumbersome and can lead to errors. In addition, shortages or turnover of scheduling staff, identified as a problem by all of the VAMCs we visited, can result in appointment scheduling delays and incorrect scheduling practices. Officials at all VAMCs we visited also reported that high call volumes and a lack of staff dedicated to answering the telephones impede the scheduling of timely medical appointments.

Although we did not specifically review mental health clinic wait times, some of the problems we identified were pervasive, and may also affect clinics other than those we visited.

VHA is implementing or piloting a number of initiatives to improve veterans’ access to medical appointments that focus on more patient-centered care; using technology to provide care, through means such as telehealth and secure messaging between patients and their health care providers; and using care outside of VHA to reduce travel and wait times for veterans who are unable to receive certain types of outpatient care in a timely way through local VHA facilities. For example, VHA is piloting a new initiative to provide health care services through contracts with community providers that aims to reduce travel and wait times for veterans who are unable to receive certain types of care from VHA in a timely way. Although VHA collects information on wait times for medical appointments provided through this initiative, these wait times may not accurately reflect how long patients are waiting for appointments because they are counted from the time the contracted provider receives an authorization from VA, rather than from the time the patient or provider first requests an appointment from VHA.

In conclusion, VHA officials have expressed an ongoing commitment to providing veterans with timely access to medical appointments and have reported continued improvements in achieving this goal. However, unreliable wait time measurement has resulted in a discrepancy between the positive wait time performance VA has reported and veterans’ actual

---

16 In October 2012, VA announced a contest seeking proposals for a new medical appointment scheduling system from commercial software developers.
experiences. More consistent adherence to VHA’s scheduling policy and oversight of the scheduling process, allocation of staff resources to match clinics’ scheduling demands, and resolution of problems with telephone access would potentially reduce medical appointment wait times. VHA’s ability to ensure and accurately monitor access to timely medical appointments is critical to ensuring quality health care to veterans, who may have medical conditions that worsen if access is delayed.

To ensure reliable measurement of how long veterans are waiting for appointments and improve timely medical appointment scheduling, we recommended that the Secretary of VA direct the Under Secretary for Health to take actions to (1) improve the reliability of its medical appointment wait time measures, (2) ensure VAMCs consistently implement VHA’s scheduling policy, (3) require VAMCs to routinely assess scheduling needs for purposes of allocation of staffing resources, and (4) ensure that VAMCs provide oversight of telephone access and implement best practices to improve telephone access for clinical care. VA concurred with our recommendations and identified actions planned or underway to address them.

This concludes my statement for the record.

For questions about this statement, please contact Debra A. Draper at (202) 512-7114 or draperd@gao.gov. Contact points for our Offices of Congressional Relations and Public Affairs may be found on the last page of this statement. Individuals making key contributions to this statement include Bonnie Anderson, Assistant Director; Rebecca Abela; Jennie Apter; Lisa Motley; Sara Rudow; and Ann Tynan.
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