

Ronald Berger



Comptroller General
of the United States

Washington, D.C. 20548

Decision

Matter of: Pro-Fab, Inc.

File: B-261720

Date: June 19, 1995

DECISION

Pro-Fab, Inc. protests the award of a contract to Boeing Defense and Space Group by the Defense Logistics Agency under solicitation No. SPO460-94-R-2494. Pro-Fab states only that it has previously manufactured these items under a similar contract and that it is qualified to perform.

The protest, as filed with our Office, does not establish a basis for challenging the agency's action and, accordingly, must be dismissed.

The jurisdiction of our Office is established by the bid protest provisions of the Competition in Contracting Act of 1984, 31 U.S.C. §§ 3551-3556 (1988 and Supp. V 1993). Our role in resolving bid protests is to ensure that the statutory requirements for full and open competition are met. Brown Assocs. Mgmt. Servs., Inc.—Request for Recon., B-235906.3, Mar. 16, 1990, 90-1 CPD ¶ 299.

To achieve this end, our Bid Protest Regulations require that a protest include a detailed statement of the legal and factual grounds of a protest, 4 C.F.R. § 21.1(c)(4), and that the grounds stated be legally sufficient. 4 C.F.R. § 21.1(e). These requirements contemplate that protesters will provide, at a minimum, either allegations or evidence sufficient, if uncontradicted, to establish the likelihood that the protester will prevail in its claim of improper agency action. Robert Wall Edge—Request for Recon., 68 Comp. Gen. 352 (1989), 89-1 CPD ¶ 335.

This protest does not include sufficient factual information to establish the likelihood that the agency here violated applicable procurement laws or regulations. Therefore, it must be dismissed without further action.

Ronald Berger

Ronald Berger
Associate General Counsel

063961/154597