



Comptroller General
of the United States

Washington, D.C. 20548

Decision

Matter of: Hills Appraisal Service

File: B-260025

Date: January 24, 1995

DECISION

Hills Appraisal Service protests the award of a contract to Aaron & Wright by the Department of Housing & Urban Development under solicitation No. H06Q950500000.

The protest, as filed with our Office, does not establish a basis for challenging the agency's action and, accordingly, must be dismissed.

The jurisdiction of our Office is established by the bid protest provisions of the Competition in Contracting Act of 1984, 31 U.S.C. §§ 3551-3556 (1988 and Supp. V 1993). Our role in resolving bid protests is to ensure that the statutory requirements for full and open competition are met. Brown Assocs. Mgmt. Servs., Inc.--Request for Recon., B-235906.3, Mar. 16, 1990, 90-1 CPD ¶ 299. To achieve this end, our Bid Protest Regulations require that a protest include a detailed statement of the legal and factual grounds of a protest, 4 C.F.R. § 21.1(c)(4), and that the grounds stated be legally sufficient. 4 C.F.R. § 21.1(e). These requirements contemplate that protesters will provide, at a minimum, either allegations or evidence sufficient, if uncontradicted, to establish the likelihood that the protester will prevail in its claim of improper agency action. Robert Wall Edge--Request for Recon., 68 Comp. Gen. 352 (1989), 89-1 CPD ¶ 335.

Here, Hills Appraisal states that it is protesting the award and the "notification process" used by the agency. However, Hills Appraisal fails to include sufficient factual information to establish the likelihood that the agency has violated applicable procurement laws or regulations. Accordingly, the protest is dismissed.


Ronald Berger
Associate General Counsel