



Comptroller General
of the United States

Washington, D.C. 20548

Decision

Matter of: M & M Services, Inc.

File: B-242123

Date: December 10, 1990

Guy Moody for the protester.
Catherine M. Evans, Office of the General Counsel, GAO,
participated in the preparation of the decision.

DIGEST

General Accounting Office will not review agency decision to perform services in-house where no competitive solicitation has been issued for cost comparison purposes.

DECISION

M & M Services, Inc., the incumbent contractor for transportation of ammunition at Fort Benning, Georgia under contract No. DABT10-90-C-1035, protests the Army's decision to perform the work in-house instead of issuing a new solicitation. M & M contends that Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular No. A-76, which sets forth the executive branch's policy for whether to perform services in-house or under contract, requires the Army to contract out for the services.

An agency decision to perform services in-house rather than under contract is a matter of executive branch policy which we will review only where a competitive solicitation for cost comparison purposes has been issued. Sterling Bakery, Inc., B-232469, Sept. 16, 1988, 88-2 CPD ¶ 255. No competitive solicitation has been issued here for purposes of a cost comparison and, under the above standard, the Army's decision not to issue a solicitation and instead to perform the ammunition transport services in-house is not a matter for our review.

The protest is dismissed.

John M. Melody
Assistant General Counsel