

*Hasfurther*



**The Comptroller General  
of the United States**

Washington, D.C. 20548

**Decision**

**Matter of:** Enclave One Inc.; Ward Jones Construction Co.--Request for Reconsideration

**File:** B-232383.3

**Date:** March 29, 1989

**DIGEST**

Request for reconsideration is denied where request contains no statement of facts or legal grounds warranting reversal but merely restates facts and legal arguments previously considered by the General Accounting Office.

**DECISION**

Ward Jones Construction Co. requests that we reconsider our decision, Enclave One Inc.; Ward Jones Construction Co., B-232383, B-232383.2, Nov. 17, 1988, 88-2 CPD ¶ 488. In that decision, we, among other things, dismissed as untimely Ward Jones' protest of the agency's correction of Texas-Capital Contractors' bid under invitation for bids No. DACA05-88-B-0102, issued by the United States Army Engineer District, Sacramento, California.

We deny the request for reconsideration.

We dismissed Ward Jones' protest as untimely because that firm had originally protested the matter to the agency, and, although the agency denied the protest on June 24, 1988, Ward Jones did not protest to our Office until August 29. The protest to our Office was filed more than 10 working days after the agency's denial of the agency-level protest.

Ward Jones again argues that its protest is timely because its agency-level protest was filed within 10 days of the time that it found that Texas-Capital was in line for award.


Under our Bid Protest Regulations, a party requesting reconsideration must show that our prior decision contains either errors of fact or law or that the protester has

*045012/138294*

information not previously considered that warrants reversal or modification of our decision. 4 C.F.R. § 21.12(a) (1988). Repetition of arguments made during the original protest or mere disagreement with our decision does not meet this standard. R.E. Scherrer, Inc.--Request for Reconsideration, B-231101.3, Sept. 21, 1988, 88-2 CPD ¶ 274.

After reviewing the record and the reconsideration request, we conclude that Ward Jones has merely repeated arguments and facts already considered under original protest and has, therefore, shown no error of fact or law in our decision.

The request for reconsideration is denied.



James F. Hinchman  
General Counsel