

DECISION



**THE COMPTROLLER GENERAL
OF THE UNITED STATES**
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20548

30041

B-217322

FILE:

DATE: December 24, 1984

Adroit Manufacturing, Inc.

MATTER OF:

DIGEST:

Allegation that contract was improperly terminated concerns a matter of contract administration and is not for review under GAO's Bid Protest Procedures where termination is not based upon a determination that the contract was improperly awarded. Therefore, the protest is dismissed.

Adroit Manufacturing, Inc. (Adroit), protests the termination of its 8(a) contract No. DTFA-02-83-C-83225/6-31-1-6041 negotiated and entered into with the Oklahoma City Federal Aviation Administration Center through the Small Business Administration for packing and crating services. We will not consider this matter.

As a general rule, our Office does not review an agency's decision to terminate a contract for the convenience of the government, since by law these are matters of contract administration for consideration by a contract appeals board and/or the Claims Court. Crawford Laboratories, B-211706, Aug. 15, 1983, 83-2 C.P.D. ¶ 210. The only exception to this rule is when the contracting agency's action is based upon a determination that the terminated contract was improperly awarded. Jacobs & Son Painting and Decorating, B-204105, Aug. 6, 1981, 81-2 C.P.D. ¶ 103. No such allegation is made by Adroit. Moreover, our Office does not review matters related to terminations for default. See Simmler, Inc., B-215828, July 27, 1984, 84-2 C.P.D. ¶ 252.

The protest is dismissed.

Harry R. Van Cleve

Harry R. Van Cleve
General Counsel

030834