DCCUMENT RESUME

05693 - [B1226194]

Civil Service Reform and Reorganization. April 12, 1978. 23 pp.

Testimony before the Senate Committee on Governmental Affairs; by Elmer B. Staats, Comptroller General.

Contact: Office of the Comptroller General.
Organization Concerned: Civil Service Commission.
Congressional Relevance: Senate Committee on Governmental
Affairs.

Authority: Legislative Reorganization Act of 1970 (84 Stat. 1104: 84 Stat. 1168). Civil Service Reform Act of 1978. Veterans Preference Act of 1944. 5 U.S.C. 2303. S. 2640 (95th Cong.). Rec.ganization Plan No. 2 of 1978.

The Civil Service Commission (CSC) presently serves as both the protector of employee rights and the promoter of efficient personnel management policy. The proposed Civil Service reorganization divides those two roles between two separate agencies, the Merit Systems Protection Board and the Office of Personnel Management. The Office of Personnel Management would develop personnel policies, provide personnel leadership to agencies, and administer central personnel programs. S. 2640 would provide additional legislative authority for these two agencies. The reorganization plan would also create a Federal Labor Relations Authority which would consolidate the third-party function in the Federal labor-management relations program. CSC's current equal employment oportunity and discrimination complaint authority would be transferred to the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission. The legislation proposes changes to: performance appraisals, adverse action appeals, veterans preference, retirement, selection methods, management and compensation of senior executives, merit pay, and personnel research. A satter of concern is the proposed language pertaining to GAC's role in auditing personnel practices and policies. (RRS)

Poly

STATEMENT OF ELMER B. STAATS

COMPTROLLER GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES

BEFORE THE

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS

UNITED STATES SENATE

CIVIL SERVICE REFORM AND REORGANIZATION APRIL 12, 1978

ON

I AM PLEASED TO BE ABLE TO RESPOND TO YOUR REQUEST TO PRESENT THE VIEWS OF THE GENERAL ACCOUNTING ÜFFICE ON S.2640, THE CIVIL SERVICE REFORM ACT OF 1978, AND REORGANIZATION PLAN No. 2 of 1978.

As a preface to my remarks, I think '7's appropriate to point out that, as the role of the federal Government increases and as it affects more and more the lives of all of us, it is inevitable that attention will be drawn to the competency or lack of competency of federal employees, how they are paid, what incentives they have to do a good job, and so on. This is a debate which has gone on for a long time, particularly since the growth of the Federal Government in the depression days of the 1930s and world War II.

IN ALL OF THIS DEBATE, WE MUST NOT ALLOW OUR ZEAL TO BRING ABOUT REFORMS IN THE SYSTEM TO CLOUD THE ESSENTIAL POINT THAT MOST CIVIL-SFRVICE EMPLOYEES ARE ABLE, HIGHLY MOTIVATED, AND DEDICATED TO THEIR WORK. THE PRESIDENT'S COMMENT IN HIS ADDRESS TO THE NATIONAL PRESS CLUB EMPHASIZED THIS POINT WHEN HE SAID "MOST CIVIL-SERVICE EMPLOYEES PERFORM WITH SPIRIT AND INTEGRITY." INSTEAD THE FOCUS SHOULD BE ON WHETHER THE SYSTEM CAN BE IMPROVED, NOT ON WHETHER THE CAREER SERVICE ITSELF IS ON TRIAL.

WE IN GAO SHARE IN THE BELIEF THAT THE SYSTEM CAN
BE IMPROVED UPON. DURING THE PAST SEVERAL YEARS WE HAVE
STUDIED MANY OF THE ISSUES THAT ARE OF CONCERN HERE TODAY.

WE HAVE MADE A NUMBER OF SPECIFIC RECOMMENDATIONS AND HAVE HIGHLIGHTED CONFLICTING POLICIES AND OBJECTIVES THAT NEED TO BE ADDRESSED. THESE HAVE INCLUDED

- -- THE CONFLICTING ROLES OF THE CIVIL SERVICE

 COMMISSION AS POLICYMAKER, PROSECUTO JUDGE

 AND EMPLOYEE PROTECTOR; (JUNE, 1977)
- -- THE NEED FOR SIMPLIFYING THE APPEALS SYSTEMS; (FEBRUARY, 1977)
- -- THE ADVERSE IMPACT OF VETERAN'S PREFERENCE ON EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OBJECTIVES; (SEPTEMBER, 1977)
- THE NEED TO IMPROVE PERFORMANCE APPRAISALS AND RATINGS; (March, 1978)
- -- THE NEED FOR MORE FLEXIBLE MIRING PROCEDURES; (JULY, 1974)
- -- THE NEED FOR A NEW SALARY SYSTEM FOR FEDERAL EXECUTIVES; (FEBRUARY, 1977)
- TOTHE NEED TO RELATE PAY TO PERFORMANCE; (OCTOBER 1975; MARCH 1978)
- -- THE NEED FOR AN OVERALL FEDERAL RETIREMENT POLICY(August, 1977)

A FUNDAMENTAL ISSUE IS HOW CAN WE GIVE MANAGEMENT
FLEXIBILITY TO IMPROVE GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS AND PRODUCTIVITY,
WHILE AT THE SAME TIME PROJECT EMPLOYEES FROM UNFAIR OR UNWARRANTED
PRACTICES? THE GREATER THE DEGREE OF MANAGEMENT FLEXIBILITY THE
GREATER THE POTENTIAL FOR ABUSE. THE GREATER THE CONTROLS AGAINST
ABUSE, THE GREATER THE RESTRICTIONS ON INNOVATIVE MANAGEMENT.
THE QUESTION IS HOW WE CAN STRIKE A GOOD BALANCE BETWEEN.
THESE OBJECTIVES.

THE PROPOSALS YOU ARE CONSIDERING ATTEMPT TO DEAL WITH THESE ISSUES AS WELL AS OTHERS. WE APPLAUD THE PRESIDENT AND THE PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT TASK FORCE FOR THEIR EFFORTS AND STRONGLY SUPPORT THE OCCUENTIVES OF THEIR PROPOSALS.

THESE PROPOSALS DEAL WITH BOTH ORGANIZATIONAL ISSUES AND CHANGES IN MERIT SYSTEM POLICIES AND PRACTICES. WE DO HAVE CERTAIN RESERVATIONS AND SUGGESTIONS WHICH I WILL DISCUSS TODAY.

ORGANIZATIONAL ISSUES

THE CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION (CSC) NOW SERVES SIMULTANEOUSLY AS THE PROTECTOR OF EMPLOYEE RIGHTS AND THE PROMOTER OF EFFICIENT PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT POLICY. THE REORGANIZATION PLAN DIVIDES THOSE TWO ROLES BETWEEN TWO SEPARATE AGENCIES, THE MERIT Systems Protection Board (MSPB) and the Office of Personnel Management (OPM). S.2640 would provide additional LEGISLATIVE AUTHORITY FOR THESE TWO AGENCIES.

THE REORGANIZATION PLAN WOULD ALSO CREATE A FEDERAL LABOR RELATIONS AUTHORITY, WHICH WOULD CONSOLIDATE THE THIRD-PARTY FUNCTION IN THE FEDERAL LABOR-MANAGEMENT RELATIONS PROGRAM BY ASSUMING THE FUNCTIONS OF THE FEDERAL LABOR RELATIONS COUNCIL AND CERTAIN RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF LABOR FOR LABOR-MANAGEMENT RELATIONS.

IN ADDITION, REORGANIZATION PLAN NO. 1 OF 1978, ALREADY CONSIDERED BY THIS COMMITTEE, WOULD TRANSFER CSC'S CURRENT EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY AND DISCRIMINATION COMPLAINT AUTHORITY TO THE EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION (EEOC).

UFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

THE OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT WOULD BE THE PRIMARY AGENT ADVISING THE PRESIDENT AND HELPING HIM CARRY OUT "IS RESPONSIBILITIES TO MANAGE THE FEDERAL WORK FORCE. IT WOULD DEVELOP PERSONNEL POLICIES, PROVIDE PERSONNEL LEADERSHIP TO AGENCIES. AND ADMINISTER CENTRAL PERSONNEL PROGRAMS. IT WOULD BE HEADED BY A DIRECTOR AND A DEPUTY DIRECTOR, BOTH APPOINTED BY THE PRESIDENT AND COMPIRMED BY THE SENATE.

WE ARE AWARE OF THE CONCERN WHICH HAS BEEN EXPRESSED THAT A SINGLE DIRECTOR OF PERSONNEL, SERVING AT THE PLEASURE OF THE PRESIDENT AND REPLACING A BIPARTISAN COMMISSION, COULD BE ACCUSED OF PARTISAN POLITICAL MOTIVATIONS IN ACTIONS WHICH. BY THEIR VERY NATURE, ARE CONTROVERSIAL. THE ARGUMENT IS MADE THAT THE MERIT ' STEM PROTECTION BOARD, IMPORTANT AS ITS ROLE WOULD BE, WOULD NOT BE IN POSITION TO INFLUENCE SUBSTANTIALLY POLICIES, RULES AND REGULATIONS, INCLUDING POSITIONS ON LEGISLATIVE MATTERS, IN THE SAME MANNER AS A BIPARTISAN COMMISSION. ON THE OTHER HAND, A COMMISSION FORM OF ORGANIZATION TENDS TO BE CUMBERSOME AND DIVIDES RESPONSIBILITY AND ACCOUNTABILITY. IT IS OF SOME INTEREST TO NOTE THAT PRESIDENT ROOSEVELT'S COMMITTEE ON ADMINISTRATIVE MANAGEMENT RECOMMENDED IN 1937 A SINGLE-HEADED DIRECTOR OF PERSONNEL FOR THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT. WHILE THIS PROPOSAL WAS NOT ADOPTED. THE IDEA OF A STRONG DIRECTOR OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT HAS

CONTINUED TO BE DISCUSSED AND PROPOSED AND, IN FACT, HAS BEEN ADOPTED AT THE STATE AND LOCAL LEVEL EXTENSIVELY.

UN BALANCE, WE FAVOR THE PRESIDENT'S PROPOSAL AND BELIEVE THAT THIS PART OF THE REORGANIZATION PLAN SHOULD BE ADOPTED.

It should be pointed out, however, that under the plan the Director of UPM would be concerned entirely with the civil service and would not have advisory or other responsibilities with respect to other personnel systems within the federal Government. In report after report, the GAO has pointed to the need for a stronger focal point within the executive branch to concern itself with consistent and common policies and procedures which are relevant to all or several of the personnel systems within the Government. This responsibility today is clouded by the lack of certainty with respect to the roles of the Civil Service Commission and the Office of Management and Budget.

To remedy this situation and to strengthen the case for the proposed pay level for the Director of OPM, we believe that the Director should have responsibility for advising, assisting and coordinating with the President with respect to common policies and practices in the personnel management area throughout the Executive Branch of the Federal Government. He could share the responsibility for pay systems with the Director of the OMB but it seems to us that the President and the Congress need a focal point which can address itself to the common problems and concerns. This responsibility

COULD BE DEALT WITH IN THE LEGISLATION, EITHER BY DEVELOPING A SPECIFIC STATUTORY CHARTER FOR THE DIRECTOR OF THE OPM, OR A STRONG STATEMENT OF INTENT OF THE CONGRESS COULD BE DEVELOPED, LEAVING TO THE PRESIDENT THE DEVELOPMENT OF A MORE DETAILED CHARTER.

MERIT SYSTEMS PROTECTION BOARD

THE MERIT SYSTEMS PROTECTION BOARD WOULD HAVE THREE MEMBERS APPOINTED BY THE PRESIDENT FOR 7-YEAR TERMS REMOVABLE ONLY FOR MISCONDUCT, INEFFICIENCY, NEGLECT OF DUTY, OR MALFEASANCE IN OFFICE. NOT MORE THAN TWO OF THE MEMBERS COULD BE FROM THE SAME FOLITICAL PARTY. ONE MEMBER WOULD BE DESIGNATED CHAIRMAN AND ONE MEMBER VICE-CHAIRMAN. A SPECIAL COUNSEL WOULD ALSO BE APPOINTED FOR A 7-YEAR TERM.

THE INDEPENDENCE AND AUTHORITY OF MSPB AND ITS ABILITY
TO PROTECT THE LEGITIMATE CONCERNS OF EMPLOYEES IS THE
OVERRIDING FACTOR ON HOW MUCH FLEXIBILITY CAN BE PROVIDED
TO MANAGERS.

WE BELIEVE IT WOULD BE DESIRABLE FOR MSPB TO PROVIDE BOTH THE AGENCIES AND EMPLOYEES INFORMATION ON MATTERS THAT HAVE BEEN RESOLVED BY MSPB.

WE ALSO BELIEVE THAT THE SPECIAL STUDIES TO BE CONDUCTED BY MSPB AND REPORTED TO THE PRESIDENT AND THE CONGRESS SHOULD BE MADE AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC.

FEDERAL LAROR RELATIONS AUTHORITY

THE REORGANIZATION PLAN WOULD ESTABLISH AN INDEPENDENT FEDERAL LABOR RELATIONS AUTHORITY TO ASSUME THE THIRD PARTY FUNCTIONS CURRENTLY FRAGMENTED AMONG THE FEDERAL LABOR RELATIONS COUNCIL AND ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF LABOR MANAGEMENT RELATIONS.

THE ESTABLISHMENT OF THE AUTHORITY IS INTENDED TO

OVERCOME THE CRITICISMS OF THE STRUCTURE AND ADMINISTRATION

FOR THE EXISTING FEDERAL LABOR RELATIONS PROGRAM.

WHILE THE AUTHORITY AND THE LABOR RELATIONS PROVISIONS

ARE NOT INCORPORATED IN THE REFORM BILL, WE UNDERSTAND

THAT THE ADMINISTRATION PLANS TO DEVELOP A LEGISLATIVE

PROPOSAL TO INCORPORATE FURTHER IMPROVEMENTS IN THE LABOR

RELATIONS PROGRAM AS PART OF THE CIVIL SERVICE REFORM

PACKAGE.

THE CONCEPT OF AN INDEPENDENT LABOR RELATIONS AUTHORITY OR BOARD HAS BEEN INCLUDED IN PROPOSED LEGISLATION, INTRODUCED IN RECENT SESSIONS OF CONGRESS, TO PROVIDE A STATUTORY BASIS FOR THE FEDERAL LABOR MANAGEMENT RELATIONS PROGRAM. IN COMMENTING ON THESE LEGISLATIVE PROPOSALS ON MAY 24, 1977, GAO SUPPORTED THE ESTABLISHMENT OF A CENTRAL LABOR RELATIONS BODY TO CONSOLIDATE THE THIRD PARTY FUNCTIONS IN THE FEDERAL LABOR MANAGEMENT RELATIONS PROGRAM. WE BELIEVED THEN, AS WE DO NOW, THAT SUCH A CENTRAL BODY IS NEEDED AND WOULD BE PERCEIVED BY BOTH LABOR ORGANIZATIONS AND AGENCY MANAGEMENT AS A CREDIBLE AND VIABLE THIRD PARTY MECHANISM.

THE PROPOSED REORGANIZATION PLAN PROVIDES THAT DECISIONS
OF THE AUTHORITY ON ANY MATTER WITHIN ITS JURISDICTION SHALL
BE FINAL AND NOT SUBJECT TO JUDICIAL REVIEW. WE BELIEVE A
PROVISION SHOULD BE ADDED TO THE LEGISLATION TO MAKE IT
CLEAR THAT THE EXISTING RIGHT OF AGENCY HEADS AND CERTIFYING
OFFICERS TO OBTAIN A DECISION FROM THE COMPTROLLER GENERAL
OF THE UNITED STATES ON THE PROPRIETY OF PAYMENTS FROM
APPROPRIATED FUNDS ARE NOT MODIFIED. ALSO, WE QUESTION WHETHER
THE RIGHT TO JUDICIAL REVIEW OF THE AJTHORITY'S DECISION SHOULD
BE PROHIBITED.

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

EEOC'S ROLE IS NOT DISCUSSED IN EITHER REORGANIZATION PLAN No. 2 or \$.2640. However, we believe we should address the relationship between EEOC and MSPB in view of the proposed transfer of EEO enforcement and discrimination appeals authority from CSC to EEOC under Reorganization Plan No. 1 of 1978.

UNDER THE PLAN ALL DISCRIMINATION APPEALS RELATING SOLELY TO DISCRIMINATION WILL BE FILED DIRECTLY WITH EEUC, AND PROCESSED BY IT. UNDER DELEGATION FROM EEUC, ALL APPEALS INVOLVING BOTH TITLE V AND TITLE VII MATTERS WILL BE FILED WITH AND ACTED UPON BY MSPB. THE DECISION OF MSPB WILL BE BE FINAL UNLESS THE EMPLOYEE REQUESTS EEOC TO REVIEW THE ELEMENTS OF THE CASE INVOLVING TITLE VII.

THE REASONS FOR OUR CONCERN WITH RESPECT TO THIS QUESTION AND OUR SPECIFIC RECOMMENDATIONS TO DEAL WITH THESE CONCERNS

WERE SET FORTH IN TESTIMONY WHICH WE PRESENTED TO THIS

COMMITTEE ON MARCH 9, 1978. I NEED NOT REPEAT THOSE HERE.

WE UNDERSTAND THAT THE PRESIDENT HAS AGREED WITH THIS COMMITTEE THAT THESE CONCERNS SHOULD BE DEALT WITH IN THIS REFORM LEGISLATION. IN BRIEF, WE FAVOR THE APPROACH TAKEN IN \$.2640 AND RECOMMEND THAT THE CONGRESS ADOPT THAT PROVISION IN ITS ACTION ON THE LEGISLATIVE PROPOSAL.

LEGISLATIVE CHANGES IN MERIT SYSTEM POLICIES AND PRACTICES

S.2640 PROPOSES CHANGES TO: PERFORMANCE APPRAISALS, ADVERSE ACTION APPEALS, VETERANS PREFERENCE, RETIREMENT, SELECTION METHODS, MANAGEMENT AND COMPENSATION OF SENIOR EXECUTIVES, MERIT PAY, AND PERSONNEL RESEARCH.

WE HAVE MADE RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE CONGRESS AND TO THE EXECUTIVE BRANCH CONCERNING THE NEED FOR IMPROVEMENT IN MOST OF THESE AREAS. S.2640 PROVIDES THE VEHICLE FOR MAKING NECESSARY CHANGES AND WE SUPPORT THAT OBJECTIVE. WE DO HAVE CONCERNS ABOUT THE SPECIFICS OF SOME OF THE PROPOSALS AND BELIEVE THEY CAN BE IMPROVED UPON.

PERFORMANCE APPRAISALS

WE BELIEVE THE CURRENT SYSTEM OF PERFORMANCE APPRAISALS
SHOULD BE IMPROVED. WE RECOMMENDED THAT PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL
SYSTEMS SHOULD INCLUDE FOUR BASIC PRINCIPLES.

- OUT AT THE BEGINNING OF THE APPRAISAL PERIOD SO
 THAT EMPLOYEES WILL KNOW WHAT IS EXPECTED OF THEM.
- --SECOND THAT EMPLOYEES PARTICIPATE IN THE PROCESS

 OF ESTABLISHING WORK OBJECTIVES THEREBY TAKING

 ADVANTAGE OF THEIR JOB KNOWLEDGE AS WELL AS

- RE-ENFORCING THE UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT IS EXPECTED, AND
- --THIRD THAT THERE BE CLEAR FEED BACK ON EMPLOYEE PERFORMANCE AGAINST THE PRESET OBJECTIVES.
- --FOURTH THAT THE RESULTS OF PERFORMANCE

 APPRAISALS BE LINKED TO SUCH PERSONNEL

 ACTIONS AS PROMOTION, ASSIGNMENT, REASSIGNMENT,

 AND TO DISCIPLINE.

THE PROPOSED LEGISLATION GENERALLY CONFORMS TO OUR RECOMMENDA-

ADVERSE ACTIONS AND EMPLOYEE APPEALS

One of the major purposes of \$.2640 is to make it easier to remove employees for misconduct, inefficiency, and incompetence. It provides for new procedures based on unacceptable performance. In so doing, the bill proposes major changes in the rights now afforded Federal employees. We believe the Bill contains many provisions which would improve the present processes by which federal employees are removed, demoted, and disciplined. However, we have concerns that certain of the proposed changes in adverse action and appellate procedures would not provide a proper balance between the interest of the Federal government and the rights and protection of Federal employees.

FOR EXAMPLE, IN AN APPEAL, THE DECISION OF THE AGENCY MUST BE SUSTAINED BY MSPB UNLESS THE EMPLOYEE SHOWS AN ERROR IN PROCEDURE WHICH SUBSTANTIALLY IMPAIRS HIS OR HER RIGHTS, DISCRIMINATION, OR AN ARBITRARY OR CAPRICIOUS DECISION.

WE SUGGEST A FOURTH BASIS, THAT IS, THE ABSENCE OF SUBSTANTIAL EVIDENCE IN THE ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD TO SUPPORT THE DECISION OF THE AGENCY.

VETERANS' PREFERENCE

ME BELIEVE THAT CHANGES CAN BE MADE TO VETERANS' PREFERENCE LEGISLATION SO THAT THE SYSTEM FOR EXAMINING AND SELECTING FOR FEDERAL EMPLOYMENT CAN BE IMPROVED AND EMPLOYMENT ASSISTANCE CAN BE BETTER PROVIDED TO THOSE VETERANS WHO MOST NEED IT. WE BELIEVE THE ADMINISTRATION'S PROPOSALS ARE DESIGNED TO BALANCE THE GOVERNMENT'S OBLIGATION TO ITS VETERANS FOR THEIR SACRIFICES, ITS OBLIGATION TO PROVIDE EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY, AND ITS COMMITMENT TO IMPROVE FEDERAL STAFFING UPERATIONS.

WE FAVOR AMENDING THE RULE-OF-THREE SELECTION REQUIREMENT OF THE VETERANS' PREFERENCE ACT OF 1944. EXAMINATIONS ARE
NOT PRECISE ENOUGH TO JUDGE THE POTENTIAL JOB SUCCESS OF
PERSONS WITH IDENTICAL OR NEARLY THE SAME SCORES. AS A RESULT,
THE RULE-OF-THREE UNFAIRLY DENIES TO MANY APPLICANTS WHO HAVE
EQUAL QUALIFICATIONS THE OPPORTUNITY TO BE CONSIDERED
FOR FEDERAL EMPLOYMENT. WE HAVE PREVIOUSLY RECOMMENDED THAT

THE CONGRESS AMEND THE RULE-OF-THREE REQUIREMENT SIMILAR TO THE WAY IN WHICH THE PROPOSED LEGISLATION AUTHORIZES OPM TO PRESCRIBE ALTERNATE REFERRAL AND SELECTION METHODS.

THE PRESENT STATUTORY PROHIBITION AGAINST PASSING OVER A VETERAN ON A LIST OF ELIGIBLES TO SELECT A NONVETERAN WOULD BE RETAINED UNDER THE PROPOSED LEGISLATION. IN OUR OPINION, THE FLEXIBILITY TO BE GAINED BY ELIMINATING THE RULE-OF-THREE AND USING ALTERNATE EXAMINING AND SELECTION METHODS WILL BE SERIOUSLY DIMINISHED BY RETAINING THIS PASS OVER PROHIBITION.

THE BILL AUTHORIZES AGENCIES TO MAKE NON-COMPETITIVE APPOINTMENTS OF CERTAIN COMPENSABLY DISABLED VETERANS-THOSE WITH SERVICE CONNECTED DISABILITIES OF 50 PERCENT OR MORE AND THOSE WHO TAKE JOB-RELATED TRAINING PRESCRIBED BY THE VETERANS ADMINISTRATION. WE BELIEVE EMPLOYMENT ASSISTANCE TO THOSE VETERANS WITH SPECIAL EMPLOYMENT PROBLEMS-SUCH AS DISABLED AND VIETNAM-ERA VETERANS-IS APPROPRIATE.

RETENTION PREFERENCE

THE BILL PROPOSES CHANGES TO THE PREFERENCE GIVEN

VETERANS IN RETENTION RIGHTS IN A REDUCTION-IN-FORCE. ONLY A

DISABLED VETERAN (OR CERTAIN RELATIVES OF A VETERAN) WOULD

RETAIN PERMANENT RETENTION PREFERENCE. GTHER VETERANS WOULD

RETAIN ABSOLUTE RETENTION PREFERENCE FOR A 3 YEAR PERIOD.

UNCE THE 3 YEAR PERIOD HAS BEEN COMPLETED, NONDISABLED VETERANS

WILL BE ENTITLED TO 5 YEARS SERVICE CREDIT IN COMPUTING

LENGTH OF SERVICE FOR RETENTION DETERMINATIONS.

AS A GENERAL RULE, VETERANS HAVE RETENTION RIGHTS

OVER NONVETERANS REGARDLESS OF LENGTH OF SERVICE. SINCE

VETERANS ARE PREDOMINATELY MALE AND NON-MINORITY, ABSOLUTE

PREFERENCE WORKS TO THE DISADVANTAGE OF WOMEN AND MINORITIES.

THE PROPOSED CHANGES SHOULD HELP TO REMEDY THIS SITUATION.

RETIREMENT

THE BILL WOULD GREATLY EXPAND THE PROVISIONS ALLOWING EMPLOYEES TO RETIRE BEFORE REACHING NORMAL RETIREMENT ELIGIBILITY. PRESENTLY, THE CIVIL SERVICE RETIREMENT SYSTEM GENERALLY ALLOWS EMPLOYEES TO RETIRE AT AGE 55 WITH 30 YEARS OF SERVICE. EMPLOYEES WHO ARE SEPARATED INVOLUNTARILY, EXCEPT FOR REASONS OF MISCONDUCT OR DELINQUENCY, MAY RECEIVE AN IMMEDIATE ANNUITY IF THEY ARE AGE 50 WITH 20 YEARS OF SERVICE OR AT ANY AGE WITH 25 YEARS. CURRENT LAW ALLOWS EMPLOYEES TO VOLUNTEER FOR EARLY RETIREMENT WHEN THEIR EMPLOYING AGENCY IS UNDERGOING A MAJOR REDUCTION—IN—FORCE, EVEN IF THEY ARE NOT DIRECTLY AFFECTED BY THE REDUCTION. UNDER \$.2640, THE EARLY RETIREMENT OPTION WOULD ALSO BE MADE AVAILABLE TO EMPLOYEES IF THEIR AGENCY IS UNDERGOING A MAJOR REORGANIZATION OR A MAJOR TRANSFER OF FUNCTION.

WE CANNOT SUPPORT THE LIBERALIZATION OF THE EARLY
RETIREMENT PROVISIONS PROPOSED BY S.2640. As THE COMMITTEE

IS UNDOUBTEDLY AWARE, GAO HAS LONG BEEN CONCERNED ABOUT THE CIVIL SERVICE AND OTHER FEDERAL RETIREMENT SYSTEMS. AS WE DISCLOSED IN AN AUGUST 3, 1977, REPORT ON RETIREMENT MATTERS, THE CIVIL SERVICE SYSTEM ALREADY COSTS MUCH MORE THAN IS BEING RECOGNIZED AND COVERED BY AGENCY AND EMPLOYEE CONTRIBUTIONS. AS OF JUNE 30, 1976, THE SYSTEM'S UNFUNDED LIABILITY WAS \$107 BILLION AND IS ESTIMATED TO GROW TO \$169 BILLION BY 1986. ANY ADDITIONAL EARLY RETIREMENTS RESULTING FROM S.2640 WOULD ADD TO THIS TREMENDOUS LIABILITY.

SENIOR EXECUTIVE SERVICE

WE AGREE WITH THE OBJECTIVES OF THE PROPOSAL TO ESTABLISH A SENIOR EXECUTIVE SERVICE WHICH WOULD COVER ABOUT 9,000 POSITIONS ABOVE GENERAL SCHEDULE 15 AND BELOW EXECUTIVE LEVEL III.

Some excellent Government managers have been provided by the present system. However, we think that more managers of this calibre would result from a Senior Executive Service.

THE PROPOSED SENIOR EXECUTIVE SERVICE WOULD ESTABLISH AT LEAST FIVE EXECUTIVE SALARY LEVELS, FROM THE SIXTH STEP OF GS-15 (\$42,200) TO AN EXECUTIVE LEVEL IV SALARY LEVEL (\$50,000). UNDER THE PROPOSAL EXECUTIVES COULD INCREASE THEIR COMPENSATION THROUGH PERFORMANCE AWARDS, TO 95 PERCENT OF A LEVEL II SALARY, OR \$54,625 AT THE PRESENT PAY LEVELS.

THERE IS A PROBLEM OF COMPRESSION AT THE SENIOR LEVELS OF THE GENERAL SCHEDULE. BECAUSE THE SALARY RATE FOR LEVEL V OF THE EXECUTIVE SCHEDULE IS THE CEILING FOR SALARY RATES OF MOST OTHER FEDERAL PAY SYSTEMS, ALL GS-18s AND 17s, AND SOME GS-16s NOW RECEIVE THE SAME SALARY--\$47,500. THIS CREATES A SITUATION WHERE MANY LEVELS OF RESPONSIBILITY RECEIVE THE SAME PAY AND IS NOT CONSISTENT WITH BASIC FEDERAL PAY PRINCIPLES OF:

-- COMPARABILITY WITH PRIVATE ENTERPRISE, AND

TO SENIOR POSITIONS THROUGHOUT THE FEDERAL SERVICE.

WE BELIEVE THAT CHANGES ARE NEEDED TO GIVE MANAGEMENT

GREATER FLEXIBILITY IN ASSIGNING PAY AND ESTABLISHING RESPONSIBILITY

LEVELS. IN FEBRUARY 1975, WE REPORTED ON THE NEED FOR A BETTER

SYSTEM FOR ADJUSTING SALARIES OF TOP FEDERAL OFFICIALS. ONE OF

OUR MAIN CONCERNS AT THAT TIME, AND WHICH STILL EXISTS, WAS

THE COMPRESSION OF SALARY RATES WHICH RESULT IN DISTORTED PAY

RELATIONSHIPS IN THE FEDERAL PAY SYSTEMS. OUR RECOMMENDATION

WAS FOR THE CONGRESS TO INSURE THAT EXECUTIVE SALARIES

ARE ADJUSTED ANNUALLY—EITHER BASED ON THE ANNUAL

CHANGE IN THE COST—OF—LIVING INDEX OR THE AVERAGE PERCENTAGE

INCREASE IN GS SALARIES. THE LAW NOW PROVIDES FOR AUTOMATIC

ADJUSTMENT OF EXECUTIVE SCHEDULE PAY RATES EQUAL TO THE

AVERAGE GENERAL SCHEDULE INCREASE.

WE BELIEVE THERE IS A NEED TO ESTABLISH A NEW SALARY SYSTEM FOR FEDERAL EXECUTIVES. WE DO HAVE SOME CONCERNS, HOWEVER, THAT THE PROVISIONS OF THE PROPOSED SENIOR EXECUTIVE SERVICE DO NOT GO FAR ENOUGH IN THIS REGARD. WE ARE NOT SURE, FOR EXAMPLE, THAT THE PROPOSED SALARY RANGE INCLUDING PERFORMANCE AWARDS--\$42,200 to \$54,625--provides sufficient FLEXIBILITY. Most of the Employees that will be covered are ALREADY AT THE \$47,500 CEILING, AND COULD REACH THE PROPOSED \$54,625 CEILING BY RECEIVING LESS THAN THE MAXIMUM 20 PERCENT PAY INCREASE FOR PERFORMANCE ALLOWED BY THE BILL. THEREFORE, THERE MAY NOT BE ENOUGH OF A PAY DIFFERENTIAL TO PROVIDE AN INCENTIVE FOR EXECUTIVES TO JOIN THE NEW SERVICE OR FOR THE

WE ALSO QUESTION THE ADVISABILITY OF LIMITING INCENTIVE AWARDS AND RANKS, AS WELL AS PERFORMANCE PAY, TO AN ARBITRARILY SELECTED PERCENTAGE OF EMPLOYEES.

PROPOSALS HAVE BEEN MADE BY GAO AND OTHERS TO PROVIDE MORE FLEXIBILITY IN THE PAY-SETTING PROCESSES FOR TOP FEDERAL OFFICIALS. WE FAVOR A SALARY SYSTEM WITH A BROAD SALARY BAND; COMPENSATING WITHIN THIS BROAD BAND, ON THE BASIS OF AN INDIVIDUAL'S CAPABILITY OR CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE JOB, WITH CONGRESSIONAL CONTROL OVER THE AVERAGE SALARY LEVEL FOR THE SERVICE, BY AGENCY.

IN SUMMARY, WE QUESTION WHETHER THERE IS ENOUGH PAY INCENTIVE TO MAKE THE SENIOR EXECUTIVE SERVICE A SUCCESS. WE BELIEVE IT WOULD BE MORE ACCEPTABLE TO SENIOR EXECUTIVES IF THE SALARY RANGES WERE SUBSTANTIALLY INCREASED OR IF PERFORMANCE AWARDS WERE NOT SUBJECT TO THE PROPOSED \$54,625 CEILING. TO DO THIS, HOWEVER, WOULD REQUIRE BREAKING THE LINKAGE BETWEEN EXECUTIVE AND CONGRESSIONAL SALARIES.

IN ITS DECEMBER 1975 REPORT, THE PRESIDENT'S PANEL ON FEDERAL COMPENSATION POINTED OUT THAT THE "EXISTING LINKAGE BETWEEN LEVEL II OF THE EXECUTIVE SCHEDULE AND CONGRESSIONAL SALARIES SHOULD NOT BE PERMITTED TO CONTINUE TO DISTORT OR IMPROPERLY DEPRESS EXECUTIVE SALARIES."

Two features of the proposed Service affect the civil cervice retirement system. An executive who is separated for less than fully successful performance would be entitled to an immediate annuity if he or she is at least 50 years of age with 20 years of service or at any age with 25 years. In addition, each year of service in which an executive receives a performance award will include a retirement factor of 2.5 percent in lieu of the lesser percentage (1.5, 1.75, or 2 percent) that would otherwise be applied.

WE CANNOT SUPPORT EITHER OF THESE PROVISIONS. THEY WOULD ADD TO THE SYSTEM'S UNFUNDED LIABILITY, AND, IN OUR OPINION, WOULD BE INAPPROPRIATE USES OF THE RETIREMENT PROGRAM.

GRANTING THE EARLY RETIREMENT OPTION TO EXECUTIVES WHEN THEIR PERFORMANCE IS LESS THAN SUCCESSFUL IS VERY DIFFICULT FOR US TO UNDERSTAND. WHY REWARD MARGINAL PERFORMERS WITH MORE LIBERAL RETIREMENT BENEFITS THAN OTHER EMPLOYEES RECEIVE?

THE CONCEPT OF BASING PAY INCREASES ON EMPLOYEE PERFORMANCE IS NOT NEW. GAO, AS WELL AS OTHER STUDY GROUPS, HAVE RECOGNIZED THAT A NEED EXISTS TO RECOGNIZE EMPLOYEE PERFORMANCE RATHER THAN LONGEVITY IN AWARDING WITHIN-GRADE SALARY INCREASES. WE HAVE RECOMMENDED THAT THE CHAIRMAN, CSC, IN COORDINATION WITH THE DIRECTOR OF OMB DEVELOP A METHOD OF GRANTING WITHIN-GRADE SALARY INCREASES WHICH IS INTEGRATED WITH A PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL SYSTEM

IN DECEMBER 1975, THE PRESIDENT'S PANEL ON FEDERAL

COMPENSATION, CHAIRED BY THE VICE PRESIDENT, REVIEWED WITHINGRADE INCREASES AS PART OF ITS STUDY OF FEDERAL COMPENSATION

ISSUES. THE PANEL CONCLUDED THAT FOR EMPLOYEES IN OCCUPATIONS
WHICH PROVIDE SIGNIFICANT OPPORTUNITY FOR INDIVIDUAL INITIATIVE
AND IMPACT ON THE JOB, A NEW PROCEDURE WAS NEEDED TO PROVIDE
A CONNECTION BETWEEN PERFORMANCE AND WITHIN-GRADE ADVANCEMENT.

THE PANEL RECOMMENDED A METHOD OF WITHIN-GRADE ADVANCEMENT
FOR THESE EMPLOYEES THAT WOULD BE BASED ON PERFORMANCE. THE

PANEL NOTED, HOWEVER, THAT THE SYSTEM SHOULD TAKE INTO

CONSIDERATION THE EXPERIENCE OF THE PRIVATE SECTOR WITH SUCH
PLANS AND THAT THE SYSTEM SHOULD BE THOROUGHLY TESTED PRIOR

TO IMPLEMENTATION.

IN ITS DECEMBER 1977 FINAL STAFF REPORT THE PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT PROJECT ALSO RECOMMENDED USING MERIT PAY TO IMPROVE AND REWARD PERFORMANCE OF MANAGERS BELOW THE LEVELS INCLUDED IN THE SENIOR EXECUTIVE SERVICE, BUT NOTED THAT THE NEW APPROACH SHOULD BE CAREFULLY TESTED AND EVALUATED BEFORE FULL SCALE APPLICATION.

WHILE WE ENDORSE THE PRINCIPLE OF PERFORMANCE PAY
INCENTIVES, WE HAVE SOME CONCERN OVER THE EQUITY OF THE PROPOSED
SYSTEM. WE BELIEVE IT WOULD BE MORE EQUITABLE IF IT WERE
LIMITED TO WITHIN-GRADE INCREASES, COVERED EMPLOYEES IN OTHER
GS GRADES, AND INCLUDED ALL EMPLOYEES IN AFFECTED GRADES
RATHER THAN JUST MANAGERS AND SUPERVISORS.

PERSONNEL RESEARCH AND DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS

THE COST OF PERSONNEL RESOURCES IN THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT IS ENORMOUS. IN FISCAL YEAR 1978, THE GOVERNMENT WILL PAY AN ESTIMATED \$75 BILLION IN DIRECT COMPENSATION AND PERSONNEL BENEFITS TO ITS CIVILIAN EMPLOYEES AND ACTIVE-DUTY MILITARY PERSONNEL.

IN VIEW OF THESE EXPENDITURES, IT IS VITAL THAT WE DEVELOP

AND USE THE MOST EFFECTIVE METHODS AND TECHNIQUES TO MANAGE

PERSONNEL RESOURCES. AN AGGRESSIVE PERSONNEL RESEARCH AND

DEMONSTRATION PROGRAM IS A KEY LINK IN DOING THIS.

FURTHER, IF GOVERNMENT IS TO EFFECTIVELY DEAL WITH THE RECENT DECLINE IN PRODUCTIVITY GROWTH, IT MUST SUPPORT A RESEARCH BASE DIRECTED TOWARDS DEVELOPING AND APPLYING NEW

TECHNIQUES AND WAYS TO BETTER MANAGE ITS HUMAN RESOURCES.

WITH THIS IN MIND, WE SUPPORT THE NEED FOR AN AGGRESSIVE PERSONNEL RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM. WE DO NOT BELIEVE, HOWEVER, THAT ADEQUATE CONTROLS AND SAFEGUARDS ARE PROVIDED IN \$5.2640 TO PROTECT THE EMPLOYEES AFFECTED BY THE DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS AND TO ASSURE THAT THE MOST EFFECTIVE AND EFFICIENT USE IS MADE OF RESEARCH FUNDS.

KESPONSIBILITY OF THE GENERAL ACCOUNTING OFFICE

ONE OTHER MATTER OF CONCERN TO 'S IS THE PROPOSED LANGUAGE CONCERNING GAO'S ROLE IN AUDITING PERSONNEL PRACTICES AND POLICIES. THE PROPOSED NEW SECTION 2303 OF TITLE 5, U.S.C. MAY BE SUSCEPTIBLE OF MISINTERPRETATION IN ITS PRESENT FORM WHICH IS AS FOLLOWS:

"IF REQUESTED BY EITHER HOUSE OF THE CONGRESS

(OR ANY MEMBER OR COMMITTEE THEREOF), OR IF DEEMED

NECESSARY BY THE COMPTROLLER GENERAL, THE GENERAL

ACCOUNTING ÚFFICE SHALL CONDUCT, ON A CONTINUING

BASIS, AUDITS AND REVIEWS TO ASSURE COMPLIANCE

WITH THE LAWS, RULES, AND REGULATIONS GOVERNING

EMPLOYMENT IN THE EXECUTIVE BRANCH AND IN THE

COMPETITIVE SERVICE AND TO ASSESS THE EFFECTIVENESS

AND SOUNDNESS OF FEDERAL PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT.".

IT SHOULD BE MADE CLEAR THAT THE FUNCTION OF GAO IS TO ASSIST IN CONGRESSIONAL OVERSIGHT AND THAT THE EXECUTIVE BRANCH IS NOT IN ANY WAY RELIEVED OF ITS RESPONSIBILITY FOR REVIEWING, EVALUATING, AND IMPROVING PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT OR FOR INVESTIGATING AND CORRECTING DEFICIENCIES THEREIN. AS ELSEWHERE, GAO'S ROLE IS MORE PROPERLY ONE OF OVERSFEING THE WORKING OF THE PROGRAM RATHER THAN INTERVENING ON A CASE-BY-CASE BASIS.

WE SUGGEST THAT THE LANGUAGE BE AMENDED TO CONFORM, IN SUBSTANCE, TO THAT USED IN THE LEGISLATIVE REORGANIZATION ACT OF 1970, 84 STAT. 1140, 1168, AS FOLLOWS:

"WHEN ORDERED BY EITHER HOUSE OF CONGRESS,

UR UPON HIS OWN INITIATIVE, OR WHEN REQUESTED BY

ANY COMMITTEE OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES OR

THE SENATE, OR ANY JOINT COMMITTEE OF THE TWO

HOUSES HAVING JURISDICTION CVER FEDERAL PERSONNEL

PROGRAMS AND ACTIVITIES, THE COMPTROLLER GENERAL

SHALL CONDUCT AUDITS AND REVIEWS TO DETERMINE

COMPLIANCE WITH THE LAWS, RULES, AND REGULATIONS

GOVERNING EMPLOYMENT IN THE EXECUTIVE BRANCH AND

IN THE COMPETITIVE SERVICE AND TO ASSESS THE

EFFECTIVENESS AND SOUNDNESS OF FEDERAL PERSONNEL

MANAGEMENT."

WE HAVE DESIGNATED FEDERAL PERSONNEL AND COMPENSATION AS A PRIORITY ISSUE AREA IN GAO. DURING FISCAL YEAR 1978 WE PLAN TO DEVOTE ABOUT 200 STAFF YEARS OF EFFORT TO OUR OVERSIGHT RESPONSIBILITY. (ABOUT 50 PERCENT OF OUR EFFORT RELATES TO CIVILIAN PERSONNEL AND THE BALANCE TO MILITARY PERSONNEL.)

THAT CONCLUDES MY PREPARED STATEMENT, WE WILL BE PLEASED TO RESPOND TO YOUR QUESTIONS.